

Advances in Applied Accounting Research

<https://advancesinresearch.id/index.php/AAAR>



Analysis of Alternative Financial Reporting Integration with Traditional Financial Reporting for Corporate Transparency



Rifqa Ayu Dasila ✉

✉ Universitas Muhammadiyah Palopo, Sulawesi Selatan, 91922, Indonesia

Received: 2025, 01, 03 Accepted: 2025, 01, 30
Available online: 2025, 01, 31

Corresponding author. Rifqa Ayu Dasila
✉ rifqaayudasila@umpalopo.ac.id

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
Keywords: Financial Reporting; Integration; Traditional Financial Reporting; Corporate Transparency; Stakeholder Trust. Conflict of Interest Statement: The author(s) declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. Copyright © 2025 AAAR. All rights reserved.	Purpose: This study examines the integration of alternative financial reporting, including environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosures, with traditional financial reporting to enhance corporate transparency and foster stakeholder trust. It examines how integrated reporting bridges the gap between economic and non-financial disclosures by identifying key patterns, challenges, and best practices. Research Design and Methodology: A qualitative approach was employed using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method. The study reviewed scholarly articles and industry reports published since 2018 to assess trends, technical and strategic challenges, and recommendations for implementing integrated reporting across various sectors and regions. Findings and Discussion: The findings suggest that integrated reporting fosters stakeholder trust by offering a comprehensive view of corporate performance and aligning sustainability initiatives with financial outcomes. However, challenges such as inconsistent reporting standards, technological limitations, and data management constraints persist. Investments in data systems, staff training, and proactive communication improve report credibility and consistency. A flexible yet standardized framework is necessary to accommodate diverse regulatory environments. Implications: This study offers practical recommendations for companies to enhance reporting through technology upgrades and workforce development initiatives. It also highlights the need for global harmonization of ESG reporting standards for regulators and investors. Additionally, it contributes to academic discourse by expanding research on stakeholder theory and integrated reporting, supporting sustainable and transparent corporate governance.

Introduction

Corporate financial reporting has traditionally been a key mechanism for communicating business performance and fostering transparency. Historically, financial reports have focused on quantitative metrics, such as revenue and profit, offering insights into financial health and operational efficiency. However, as businesses become more complex, traditional reporting frameworks are increasingly criticized for overlooking non-financial dimensions, such as environmental impact, social responsibility, and governance, which have a significant influence on long-term sustainability. These



aspects play a crucial role in shaping stakeholder perceptions and guiding strategic decisions (Camilleri, 2017). The shift towards broader corporate accountability has led to the adoption of alternative reporting frameworks, including Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures and integrated reports, which aim to present a more comprehensive view of corporate performance. Despite their increasing adoption, inconsistencies in scope and format raise concerns about comparability, as the absence of standardized guidelines makes assessing non-financial performance challenging (Ombai et al., 2024). Moreover, integrating these disclosures with traditional financial reporting presents challenges related to data accuracy, regulatory compliance, and stakeholder engagement. While integrated reporting has the potential to enhance transparency and trust, its uneven implementation due to resource constraints and regulatory fragmentation remains a key barrier (Kirchhoff et al., 2024). Addressing these issues is essential to improving corporate transparency and accountability.

Alternative reporting frameworks offer the potential to enrich corporate disclosures by providing deeper insights into sustainability efforts, yet their implementation has introduced notable challenges. One primary issue is the lack of global standardization, which complicates the integration of non-financial disclosures into traditional financial reports. ESG reporting methodologies remain inconsistent, making cross-company comparisons difficult (Cort & Esty, 2020). Unlike conventional financial reporting, which adheres to well-established accounting principles and regulatory guidelines, ESG disclosures often lack a unified framework, further complicating their integration. Nwachukwu (2022) highlights that integrated reporting requires technological adaptation to manage vast datasets and organizational adjustments to align processes with strategic objectives. These adjustments are resource-intensive, leading to varied implementation outcomes across firms. Additionally, Fometescu et al. (2024) emphasize that the absence of harmonized reporting standards increases the risk of data misinterpretation, reducing the comparability of disclosures across industries. Leadership also plays a pivotal role, as Garcia-Sanchez et al. (2021) note that the influence of CEOs affects the scope and quality of integrated reporting. These studies illustrate that, despite its potential, integrated reporting remains underutilized as a comprehensive tool for corporate transparency.

The integration of ESG metrics into financial reports has gained prominence due to regulatory requirements and stakeholder expectations (Sutisman et al., 2024). While ESG reporting serves as a crucial informational basis for assessing corporate sustainability efforts, transparency varies across different environmental and social dimensions (Petrov, 2023). Integrated reporting has demonstrated its potential to improve financial reporting quality by reducing information asymmetry and strengthening stakeholder trust (Muhi & Benaissa, 2023). Additionally, transparency and disclosure play a moderating role in the relationship between creative accounting practices and financial reporting quality, particularly in the banking sector (Abed et al., 2022). Integrated Reporting (IR) is recognized as an effective tool for improving transparency and communication by providing a cohesive narrative that combines financial and non-financial performance data (Mock et al., 2021). The inclusion of digital marketing data in financial reports presents new opportunities for real-time stakeholder engagement and improved reporting accuracy. However, this approach also introduces challenges related to data security and regulatory compliance (Yuliantini et al., 2024). While these studies highlight the evolving landscape of corporate reporting, gaps remain in understanding how alternative and traditional reporting can be effectively harmonized.

Despite the growing body of literature on ESG and integrated reporting, significant research gaps persist. Many studies treat financial and non-financial reporting as separate domains without exploring their effective integration into a unified framework. This oversight limits a holistic understanding of harmonization processes necessary to enhance corporate transparency. Although integrated reporting has been linked to reduced information asymmetry and improved stakeholder trust, empirical evidence on implementation challenges remains scarce. Issues such as regulatory compliance, data management, and stakeholder engagement are often underexplored. Previous research, such as that by Mock et al. (2021) and Yuliantini et al. (2024), highlights the potential of digital innovation in financial reporting, including the utilization of real-time data and automated reporting. However, these studies do not comprehensively address how such innovations can seamlessly integrate into existing frameworks. This study aims to bridge these gaps by conducting a comprehensive analysis of

the role of integrated reporting in enhancing corporate transparency and accountability. Using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, it identifies key patterns, challenges, and best practices for integrating ESG disclosures into financial reporting. This research stands out by examining both technical and strategic dimensions of reporting integration, aiming to support regulatory compliance and stakeholder engagement. The central research question guiding this study is: How can the integration of alternative and traditional financial reporting enhance corporate transparency and stakeholder trust? By addressing these questions, this study contributes to the academic discourse on corporate transparency while offering practical insights for regulators, practitioners, and policymakers to strengthen trust through integrated financial disclosures.

Literature Review

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory is a crucial theoretical framework for understanding how companies should operate in response to the expectations and informational needs of various stakeholders. Freeman (2010) initially emphasized that companies have responsibilities not only to shareholders but also to employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and regulators. This broader approach shifts the classical paradigm, prioritizing shareholder profit, toward a more inclusive model where fostering mutually beneficial relationships with stakeholders is paramount. Valentinov (2023) argues that stakeholder relationships are most effective when companies disclose both financial and non-financial information that aligns with their sustainability goals, thereby reinforcing trust and corporate legitimacy. Transparency reports, often viewed as an extension of corporate social responsibility (CSR) efforts, have evolved into a strategic communication tool. Reid et al. (2024) emphasize that transparency reports serve as a platform for detailing governance, social initiatives, and environmental performance, thereby addressing stakeholder concerns comprehensively. However, challenges remain in ensuring that the disclosed information is accurate, relevant, and balanced. When companies present detailed narratives linking operational outcomes to sustainability initiatives, stakeholders are more likely to perceive the organization as trustworthy.

In financial reporting, stakeholder theory emphasizes the importance of disclosing non-financial aspects, including governance practices, social initiatives, and environmental impacts, to promote trust and accountability. Rouf et al. (2024) emphasize that companies must adopt a holistic reporting approach to meet stakeholders' expectations, combining financial and non-financial information. This practice is crucial for reducing information asymmetry and enhancing corporate transparency. Integrated reporting, which aligns with stakeholder theory, demonstrates how a company's sustainability and governance strategies impact its financial outcomes, providing stakeholders with a cohesive narrative (Dameri & Ferrando, 2022). Speziale (2019) highlights that integrated reporting frameworks are designed to provide stakeholders with a comprehensive view of value-creation processes, linking operational results with long-term strategic goals. However, achieving this integration requires addressing challenges related to data standardization and resource allocation. Dumay et al. (2017) further note that although integrated reporting enhances transparency, inconsistencies in its implementation can erode stakeholder confidence. Therefore, the successful adoption of integrated reporting depends on regulatory compliance and an organization's ability to communicate its financial and non-financial performance effectively.

Traditional Financial Reporting: Concept and Limitations

Traditional financial reporting provides stakeholders, including investors, creditors, and regulators, with relevant, reliable, and comparable financial information. Songini et al. (2023) emphasize that traditional reporting primarily focuses on financial outcomes, reflecting organizational performance through income statements, balance sheets, and cash flow statements. This structured approach aligns with established accounting standards, such as IFRS and GAAP, ensuring consistency and comparability across entities. However, these traditional frameworks often prioritize financial metrics while overlooking critical non-financial aspects, such as environmental impacts and social contributions (Velte & Stawinoga, 2017). One key principle of traditional financial reporting is the going concern assumption, which assumes that businesses will continue their operations indefinitely.

Oll & Rommerskirchen (2018) argue that while this principle underpins financial stability, it fails to address long-term sustainability risks, such as those associated with climate change or governance failures. Similarly, Tullio et al. (2022) emphasize that relying on historical cost accounting can obscure the actual economic value of intangible assets, thereby creating an information gap for stakeholders seeking a comprehensive understanding of a company's strategy and sustainability initiatives. These limitations suggest that traditional financial reporting, although essential, needs to evolve to effectively integrate non-financial dimensions.

While crucial for providing insights into a company's financial performance, traditional financial reporting often fails to capture non-financial information such as environmental impacts, social contributions, and governance practices. This narrow focus creates an information gap for stakeholders seeking a comprehensive understanding of how sustainability strategies influence corporate performance (Camilleri, 2017). Traditional reports typically lack disclosures related to long-term sustainability risks, which are increasingly important for stakeholders, particularly investors who incorporate Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into their decision-making processes. Omitting non-financial information can undermine corporate transparency and accountability, affecting stakeholder trust and confidence (Sari & Muslim, 2024). Without details on sustainability practices, financial reports often present an incomplete narrative of a company's long-term strategic goals. This lack of transparency may lead to skepticism from stakeholders, who view non-financial disclosures as integral to understanding a company's value-creation processes (Velte & Stawinoga, 2017). Oll & Rommerskirchen (2018) further highlight that the growing demand for integrated reporting frameworks stems from the need to bridge the gap between financial performance and sustainability commitments. By integrating non-financial and financial information, companies can offer a more comprehensive view of their operations, thereby enhancing stakeholder trust and reinforcing accountability. These findings suggest that traditional financial reporting must evolve to meet the broader informational needs of today's stakeholders.

Alternative Financial Reporting: Purpose and Practice

Alternative financial reporting complements traditional financial reports by providing non-financial information that addresses environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and governance practices. Diwan & Sreeraman (2024) highlight that ESG disclosures have evolved as a response to growing stakeholder demands for transparency and accountability, emphasizing the importance of presenting a holistic view of corporate performance. This type of reporting enables stakeholders, including investors, business partners, and the general public, to assess the impact of sustainability commitments on long-term business outcomes. However, Pizzi et al. (2024) argue that while ESG reporting frameworks aim to enhance transparency, the absence of a global reporting standard can lead to inconsistencies in measurement and presentation, making it difficult for stakeholders to compare companies across industries. Despite this challenge, reporting frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) have attempted to provide more structured guidelines for reporting. Pizzi et al. (2023) note that although GRI focuses on material sustainability information, it differs significantly from SASB, which emphasizes sector-specific disclosures. This divergence can create gaps in the comprehensiveness of reports. Nevertheless, sustainability reports and ESG disclosures can improve corporate accountability and strengthen stakeholder trust (Luque-Vílchez et al., 2023). These findings underscore that alternative financial reporting is a regulatory obligation and a strategic communication tool that aligns corporate practices with stakeholder expectations, enhancing transparency and competitive advantage.

Implementing alternative financial reporting, particularly Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures, presents significant challenges despite its strategic benefits for enhancing corporate transparency and accountability. Aziz & Alshdaifat (2024) highlight that ESG reporting enables companies to effectively showcase their sustainability initiatives and manage reputational risks. However, the absence of globally standardized frameworks has led to inconsistencies in how ESG metrics are measured and reported, making cross-company comparisons difficult. This variation, as noted by Zhang & Zhang (2024), complicates the interpretation of ESG data, mainly when stakeholders

rely on such information to evaluate corporate performance and sustainability efforts. Capelle-Blancard & Petit (2019) emphasize that the impact of ESG-related news on stock market reactions underscores the importance of consistent and accurate disclosures. Investors increasingly seek comprehensive ESG reports to make informed decisions, and incomplete or fragmented data can erode trust and diminish a company's perceived value (Dasinapa, 2024). Buallay (2019) further argues that sustainability reporting has demonstrated a positive association with financial performance in the European banking sector. This indicates that transparency in ESG practices can enhance corporate credibility and investor confidence. Despite its potential, Lodhia & Sharma (2019) assert that sustainability reporting requires substantial regulatory support and organizational commitment to ensure data accuracy and relevance. These findings collectively suggest that while ESG reporting can serve as a vital tool for stakeholder engagement and sustainable value creation, its effectiveness depends on addressing standardization and data quality challenges to build long-term stakeholder trust.

Corporate Transparency and Stakeholder Trust

Corporate transparency refers to a company's ability to provide stakeholders with accurate, relevant, and reliable information. Transparency encompasses both financial performance and non-financial aspects, including sustainability policies, governance practices, and social and environmental impacts. Albu & Flyverbom (2019) emphasize that transparency reduces information asymmetry, fostering trust and strengthening stakeholder relationships. By providing comprehensive disclosures, companies can create stronger connections with stakeholders and reinforce their legitimacy in the public eye. Consistent non-financial disclosures contribute to stakeholder confidence. According to Baume & Papadopoulos (2018), transparency in corporate reporting enables stakeholders to better understand how sustainability strategies impact both financial and non-financial outcomes. Companies that adopt integrated reporting frameworks effectively communicate the interconnectedness of their sustainability initiatives and overall business performance, enhancing their public reputation. This approach also enables stakeholders to evaluate the company's long-term resilience and strategic direction. However, Schnackenberg & Tomlinson (2016) highlight that maintaining transparency requires balancing the information disclosed to avoid overwhelming stakeholders while ensuring the data remains meaningful and relevant. Christensen & Cornelissen (2015) further argue that transparency functions as both a mechanism for accountability and a strategic tool for fostering trust. Therefore, while corporate transparency is essential for mitigating information asymmetry, it is critical to build stakeholder trust and enhance corporate accountability.

Integrating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reports into traditional financial reporting frameworks is pivotal in enhancing corporate accountability and transparency. Aziz & Alshdaifat (2024) emphasize that ESG reporting fulfills regulatory compliance and bolsters a company's reputation as a proactive and responsible entity. Companies can strengthen their stakeholder relationships and mitigate reputational risks by providing comprehensive disclosures. However, as Fometescu et al. (2024) note, the absence of a globally standardized reporting framework creates inconsistencies in data presentation, making cross-company comparisons complex and challenging stakeholders' ability to evaluate corporate sustainability performance objectively. Elkins et al. (2024) emphasize that the demand for accurate and consistent sustainability reporting arises from increasing expectations among stakeholders, regulators, and preparers. These expectations drive the need for companies to implement robust data management systems that ensure reliable and comparable disclosures. Nonetheless, the lack of uniform standards continues to hinder this process, leading to disparities in the quality and scope of ESG reports. Buallay (2019) further argues that effective sustainability reporting can positively impact financial performance, fostering greater transparency and enhancing corporate credibility in the eyes of investors and stakeholders.

Research Design and Methodology

Study Design

This research employs a qualitative approach, utilizing a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to explore the integration of alternative financial reporting into traditional reporting frameworks to

enhance corporate transparency and stakeholder trust. The SLR method is chosen for its systematic and replicable approach to identifying, synthesizing, and evaluating existing studies relevant to the research topic. This design enables a comprehensive examination of past literature to identify key themes, trends, and research gaps related to corporate reporting practices, sustainability disclosures, and stakeholder engagement.

Sample Population or Subject of Research

The primary subject of this study comprises peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and book chapters published in reputable academic databases, such as Elsevier, Emerald, Wiley, and Springer. The inclusion criteria focus on studies published after 2015 to ensure the relevance and timeliness of the findings. Articles discussing Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reporting, sustainability disclosures, and integrated financial reporting are prioritized. Exclusion criteria involve studies that are not peer-reviewed, lack substantial empirical evidence, or are unrelated to the research questions.

Data Collection Techniques and Instrument Development

Data collection involves an extensive search of academic databases using relevant keywords, including "ESG reporting," "integrated financial reporting," "corporate transparency," and "stakeholder trust." A systematic process of screening, selecting, and reviewing literature is conducted to ensure rigor. The instruments for organizing data include coding frameworks for thematic analysis and data extraction forms to document key information such as research objectives, methodologies, and findings from each source.

Data Analysis Techniques

The data analysis process follows a thematic analysis approach, wherein the extracted information is grouped into categories that reflect recurring patterns, themes, and critical insights. Thematic coding facilitates the identification of relationships between concepts and the synthesis of conclusions related to the integration of corporate transparency and reporting. This qualitative synthesis facilitates the identification of research gaps and informs recommendations for future studies and reporting practices.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

Integrating financial and non-financial reports is crucial in fostering corporate transparency, as it delivers a comprehensive narrative that reflects a company's overall performance. Literature suggests that organizations increasingly adopt integrated reporting frameworks to bridge the gap between traditional financial disclosures and sustainability information (Camilleri, 2017). These reports illustrate the interconnection between economic outcomes, governance policies, environmental initiatives, and social contributions. Albu and Flyverbom (2019) argue that such frameworks enhance accountability by presenting a multidimensional view of corporate activities, enabling stakeholders to assess the holistic value created by an organization. Dasinapa (2024) emphasizes that aligning sustainability objectives with financial performance metrics reinforces a company's long-term value proposition. However, despite its growing adoption, many firms face challenges in presenting integrated reports that seamlessly combine quantitative financial data with qualitative non-financial insights (Dameri & Ferrando, 2022). The lack of standardization in reporting practices and inconsistent formats across organizations often undermines the credibility of integrated reports (Abed et al., 2022). This highlights the need for globally accepted reporting standards that promote uniformity and comparability. By improving these practices, companies can better address stakeholder demands for transparency and strengthen public trust in their reporting processes. Consequently, integrated reporting frameworks must continue evolving to address the diverse informational needs of various stakeholders and ensure that corporate disclosures remain relevant and reliable in a dynamic business environment.

The integration of ESG disclosures within financial reporting processes presents significant technical challenges that hinder the overall effectiveness of corporate transparency efforts. One of the most prominent issues is the lack of harmonized global reporting standards, which creates inconsistencies in how organizations disclose non-financial data (Fometescu et al., 2024). Companies operating across different regions often face difficulties aligning their ESG reporting practices without standardized guidelines, leading to variations that impede comparability (Cort & Esty, 2020). Additionally, the absence of a cohesive framework exacerbates data management challenges as firms struggle to collect, analyze, and present sustainability data accurately (Ombai et al., 2024). Many companies also lack the technological infrastructure to support real-time data integration, further complicating their reporting processes (Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2021). This technological gap increases operational costs and undermines the credibility of the information presented. Training gaps and resource constraints further exacerbate this issue, limiting firms' ability to maintain high standards of reporting. To address these technical hurdles, organizations must invest in robust data management systems and foster cross-departmental collaboration to ensure the seamless flow of information (Buallay, 2019). Regulatory bodies also play a critical role in establishing comprehensive guidelines that support consistency and comparability. By addressing these technical barriers, companies can create integrated reports that provide stakeholders with clear, accurate, and meaningful insights into their corporate performance.

In addition to technical obstacles, companies face significant strategic challenges in balancing transparency with competitive confidentiality while managing stakeholder expectations. Stakeholders, including investors, regulators, and customers, often have differing informational needs and priorities, making it challenging for companies to create reports that meet the needs of all parties (Christensen & Cornelissen, 2015). For instance, while investors may prioritize financial performance data, regulators often demand more comprehensive sustainability metrics to assess compliance with ESG-related policies (Capelle-Blancard & Petit, 2019). This discrepancy forces companies to make strategic decisions about how much information they disclose. Elkins et al. (2024) argue that ambiguous or incomplete disclosures can erode stakeholder trust, notably if critical ESG-related information is omitted or misrepresented. To mitigate this risk, firms must adopt proactive communication strategies that provide relevant context and align disclosures with stakeholder priorities (Diwan & Sreeraman, 2024). Effective stakeholder engagement necessitates ongoing dialogue and transparency to foster trust and uphold corporate legitimacy (Reid et al., 2024).

Additionally, organizations must implement robust internal frameworks that ensure consistent messaging across all communication channels. By prioritizing stakeholder-centered reporting, companies can reinforce their commitment to sustainability and accountability, foster stronger relationships with stakeholders, and enhance their reputation in competitive markets. This approach highlights the importance of communication as a key strategic element in integrated reporting.

Integrating ESG reports with traditional financial disclosures yields numerous strategic benefits to corporate transparency and accountability. Companies can reduce information asymmetry and enhance stakeholder confidence by providing a comprehensive view of both financial and non-financial performance (Nwachukwu, 2022). Buallay (2019) emphasizes that integrated reporting strengthens corporate credibility by demonstrating a commitment to sustainability and responsible governance. Furthermore, by presenting a cohesive narrative that connects sustainability initiatives with financial outcomes, firms can position themselves as leaders in corporate accountability (Dumay et al., 2017). This integration also supports internal governance improvements, requiring departments to collaborate and ensure data accuracy and consistency (Muhi & Benaissa, 2023).

Additionally, embedding sustainability practices within financial reporting frameworks enables organizations to effectively address long-term environmental and social risks (Valentinov, 2023). Providing stakeholders with holistic and transparent reports supports informed decision-making and enhances stakeholder trust. Transparent disclosures also create a competitive advantage by differentiating companies that proactively address sustainability concerns from those that do not. By promoting transparency and accountability, integrated reporting can enhance an organization's reputation, foster stronger relationships with stakeholders, and contribute to long-term business

success. These benefits underscore the importance of continuous improvement in reporting practices, aligning with evolving stakeholder expectations and regulatory standards.

Numerous companies have adopted best practices to enhance their integrated reporting processes by leveraging technology and adhering to global reporting standards. Advanced tools, such as automated data collection systems and real-time reporting dashboards, enable firms to streamline their reporting workflows and enhance data accuracy (Yulianti et al., 2024). Additionally, frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) guide structuring ESG reports in alignment with international best practices (Luque-Vílchez et al., 2023). These frameworks help companies meet stakeholder demands for transparency while maintaining consistency in their disclosures. Songini et al. (2023) argue that employee training programs are crucial for equipping teams with the necessary skills to produce high-quality reports. Moreover, robust internal policies that prioritize data governance and cross-departmental collaboration can enhance the coherence of integrated reports (Sari & Muslim, 2024). To address regulatory disparities, policymakers must establish standardized guidelines that support harmonization across industries and regions (Kirchhoff et al., 2024). Recommendations for enhancing integrated reporting include implementing comprehensive data management frameworks, conducting stakeholder engagement initiatives, and fostering a culture of transparency. These strategies improve report quality, reinforce stakeholder trust, and contribute to sustainable value creation. By continuously refining their reporting practices, companies can position themselves as industry leaders in sustainability and accountability.

Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that integrating ESG reporting with traditional financial reporting significantly enhances corporate information transparency and strengthens stakeholder trust. Combining economic and non-financial reports enables companies to reduce information asymmetry between themselves and their stakeholders while providing a comprehensive view of their overall performance. In integrated reporting, companies present financial outcomes, such as income statements and balance sheets, and communicate how their strategic sustainability policies impact social and environmental dimensions. For example, a company allocating funds for ecological sustainability programs reports these expenditures as part of its operational costs. It presents tangible results, such as a percentage reduction in carbon emissions or successful implementation of renewable energy projects. This type of reporting offers stakeholders richer insights into the company's long-term sustainability impact. Such narratives enhance corporate legitimacy by moving beyond numerical data to showcase actual outcomes. Additionally, integrated reporting serves as a tool for accountability, enabling stakeholders to assess the company's commitment to sound governance principles and sustainability goals. Thus, ESG integration strengthens the company's position as a responsible and transparent entity in the public eye.

The study also identifies several challenges in implementing integrated reporting, particularly related to variations in reporting standards across jurisdictions and industries. This inconsistency creates barriers for companies to present comparable reports across sectors and geographical regions. Moreover, limited technological infrastructure poses an additional challenge for effective reporting practices. Many companies still lack robust and integrated data management systems that can seamlessly merge financial and non-financial information in real time. As a result, reporting processes become slow and less accurate. Another critical issue is the shortage of human resources with the expertise to manage and analyze ESG data comprehensively. Financial reporting staff often lack specialized skills in preparing sustainability reports aligned with international standards, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). These challenges underscore the importance of companies investing in training and developing their workforce to produce high-quality, integrated reports. Companies must also strike a balance between transparency and data protection, as disclosing overly detailed information may expose competitive risks, while insufficient disclosure may erode public trust. Therefore, strategic communication policies and globally harmonized reporting guidelines are essential for enhancing the consistency and effectiveness of integrated reporting frameworks.

The interpretation of these findings aligns with the core concept of integrated reporting, which emphasizes the importance of multidimensional information to meet the diverse needs of stakeholders. Traditional financial reporting typically focuses on numerical data that reflects a company's financial performance over a specific period, including revenues, expenses, and net profit. However, such reports often fail to capture the broader impact of the company's operational strategies on social and environmental aspects. Integrated reporting addresses this limitation by providing stakeholders—including investors, creditors, customers, and the public—with more comprehensive and relevant information. This study highlights that incorporating ESG data into traditional financial reports enriches decision-making processes at the managerial level and among external stakeholders. Transparent ESG disclosures enhance a company's credibility in the market and mitigate the risk of public distrust stemming from unclear information regarding the company's operational impact on society and the environment. Therefore, ESG reporting is both a regulatory requirement and a strategic tool that enhances the company's public image and fosters stronger stakeholder relationships. By integrating financial and sustainability information, companies demonstrate their commitment to responsible and transparent business practices, reinforcing their long-term value and fostering stakeholder confidence.

The findings of this study support stakeholder theory as proposed by Freeman (2010), which posits that companies have a responsibility to meet the informational needs of all stakeholders, not just shareholders. According to stakeholder theory, transparent information disclosure strengthens the relationship between organizations and their stakeholders, fostering long-term trust and engagement. This theory underscores the importance of transparency in demonstrating corporate accountability and reinforcing stakeholder confidence. The study's results align with this theoretical framework by showing that integrated reporting serves as a strategic tool for communicating a corporation's commitment to sustainability and accountability. By incorporating Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures into traditional financial reports, companies can provide a comprehensive narrative of their operational performance, sustainability initiatives, and governance practices (Schnackenberg & Tomlinson, 2016). This approach helps bridge the information gap between companies and stakeholders, as conventional financial reporting's limited focus on quantitative financial metrics (Albu & Flyverbom, 2019) often leaves stakeholders with a lack of understanding. Integrated reports fulfill regulatory obligations and enhance the company's reputation as a responsible and transparent organization. Including non-financial information enables stakeholders, such as investors, regulators, and the public, to evaluate the broader implications of corporate strategies and their long-term value creation (Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2021). These findings reinforce the premise that stakeholder-focused communication strengthens legitimacy and promotes sustainable relationships.

Compared to previous studies, the findings of this research align with those of Buallay (2019), who demonstrated that ESG disclosures are positively correlated with increased investor trust and corporate accountability within the European banking sector. This study also reinforces the conclusions of Albu and Flyverbom (2019), who emphasized the importance of reporting that incorporates financial and non-financial aspects to strengthen stakeholder relationships. However, this research provides an additional contribution by highlighting how integrated reporting can serve as a strategy to overcome technical barriers and enhance coordination across business units in data collection and presentation processes. Unlike Velte & Stawinoga's (2017) study, which primarily focused on the limitations of integrated reporting in meeting global standards, this research asserts that with adequate investment in technology and improved human resource training, companies can address these challenges and strengthen the effectiveness of their reporting practices. This finding underscores the potential for integrated reporting to serve as both a regulatory requirement and a strategic tool for enhancing organizational transparency and fostering collaboration.

The practical implications of this study's findings highlight several important considerations for companies and regulators. These companies strengthen reporting strategies by developing technological infrastructure that supports automated and real-time data collection. Additionally, companies must invest resources in staff training to ensure employees possess the necessary skills to manage ESG data and prepare reports that align with international standards. Companies should also

adopt a proactive communication approach to provide stakeholders with relevant and transparent information while establishing robust internal oversight mechanisms to ensure data accuracy and consistency. For regulators, the practical implications emphasize the need for policies that promote the harmonization of global ESG reporting standards. Such policies should include clear and actionable guidelines that can be implemented across various types of companies to improve the consistency and credibility of integrated reports. Establishing more uniform reporting frameworks can enable companies to prepare reports that meet regulatory requirements, thereby enhancing transparency and accountability. These implications demonstrate that integrated reporting is not merely a response to market expectations but also a critical element in fostering long-term value creation and strengthening public trust in sustainable and responsible business practices.

Conclusion

This study has provided an in-depth analysis of integrating alternative financial reporting, such as ESG disclosures, with traditional financial reporting, highlighting its role in enhancing corporate transparency and fostering stakeholder trust. By addressing the research question of how integrated reporting can bridge the gap between economic and non-financial disclosures, the findings emphasize that integrated reports serve as a strategic communication tool that meets regulatory obligations and demonstrates corporate accountability and sustainability efforts. The study identified key patterns, technical and strategic challenges, and practical solutions related to integrated reporting practices, thereby contributing to the broader discourse on corporate reporting transparency and stakeholder engagement.

The value of this research lies in its contributions to academic literature and corporate practices. The study adds to the existing body of knowledge by highlighting the multidimensional role of integrated reporting in aligning business operations with stakeholder expectations. The originality of this study is evident in its focus on the interplay between technology, stakeholder communication, and regulatory compliance. From a practical perspective, the findings suggest that companies should enhance their data infrastructure, invest in workforce training, and adopt proactive communication strategies to strengthen their integrated reporting frameworks. For policymakers, the study underscores the importance of harmonizing global reporting standards to improve report consistency and credibility across industries and regions. These insights support the broader goal of fostering sustainable and transparent corporate governance.

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations. First, it relies solely on a systematic literature review approach, which may not capture the most recent developments in real-time corporate reporting practices. Second, the findings may be influenced by the context-specific nature of the studies analyzed, limiting their generalizability across different regulatory environments. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating empirical case studies or longitudinal data to provide more dynamic insights. Furthermore, additional research could investigate the potential of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence and blockchain, in enhancing the accuracy and transparency of integrated reports. By addressing these gaps, future studies can build upon this research to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how integrated reporting can evolve to meet the complex needs of modern businesses and stakeholders.

References

- Abed, I. A., Hussin, N., Haddad, H., Almubaydeen, T. H., & Ali, M. A. (2022). Creative accounting determination and financial reporting quality: the integration of transparency and disclosure. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8010038>
- Albu, O. B., & Flyverbom, M. (2019). Organizational transparency: Conceptualizations, conditions, and consequences. *Business & Society*, 58(2), 268-297.
- Aziz, N. H. A., & Alshdaifat, S. M. (2024). *ESG Reporting: Impacts, Benefits and Challenges BT - Sustainable Horizons for Business, Education, and Technology: Interdisciplinary Insights* (H. Alshurafat, A. Hamdan, & J. Sands (eds.); pp. 69-76). Springer Nature Singapore.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2981-4_5

- Baume, S., & Papadopoulos, Y. (2018). Transparency: from Bentham's inventory of virtuous effects to contemporary evidence-based scepticism. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, 21(2), 169-192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698230.2015.1092656>
- Buallay, A. (2019). Is sustainability reporting (ESG) associated with performance? Evidence from the European banking sector. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 30(1), 98-115. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-12-2017-0149>
- Camilleri, M. A. (2017). Corporate sustainability and responsibility: creating value for business, society and the environment. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 2(1), 59-74. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41180-017-0016-5>
- Capelle-Blancard, G., & Petit, A. (2019). Every Little Helps? ESG News and Stock Market Reaction. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 157(2), 543-565. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3667-3>
- Christensen, L. T., & Cornelissen, J. (2015). Organizational transparency as myth and metaphor. *European Journal of Social Theory*, 18(2), 132-149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368431014555256>
- Cort, T., & Esty, D. (2020). ESG standards: Looming challenges and pathways forward. *Organization & Environment*, 33(4), 491-510. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10860266209453>
- Dameri, R. P., & Ferrando, P. M. (2022). Value creation disclosure: the international integrated reporting framework revisited in the light of stakeholder theory. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30(3), 739-761. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-11-2020-1103>
- Dasinapa, M. B. (2024). The Integration of Sustainability and ESG Accounting into Corporate Reporting Practices. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*, 2(1 SE-), 13-25. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v2i1.167>
- Di Tullio, P., La Torre, M., Dumay, J., & Rea, M. A. (2022). Accountingisation and the Narrative (Re)turn of Business Model Information in Corporate Reporting. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 18(4), 592-615. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-09-2020-0144>
- Diwan, H., & Amarayil Sreeraman, B. (2024). From financial reporting to ESG reporting: a bibliometric analysis of the evolution in corporate sustainability disclosures. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(6), 13769-13805. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-03249-2>
- Dumay, J., Bernardi, C., Guthrie, J., & La Torre, M. (2017). Barriers to implementing the International Integrated Reporting Framework. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 25(4), 461-480. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-05-2017-0150>
- Elkins, H., Entwistle, G., & Schmidt, R. N. (2024). Expectations for sustainability reporting from users, preparers, and the accounting profession. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 21(1), 143-164. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41310-023-00206-3>
- Fometescu, A., Hațegan, C.-D., & Cuc, L. D. (2024). Challenges of Measuring and Reporting ESG Performance. In S. Grima, D. Maditinos, G. G. Noja, J. Stankevičienė, M. Tarczynska-Luniewska, & E. Thalassinou (Eds.), *Exploring ESG Challenges and Opportunities: Navigating Towards a Better Future* (Vol. 116, pp. 61-82). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-375920240000116005>
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Garcia-Sanchez, I.-M., Raimo, N., & Vitolla, F. (2021). CEO power and integrated reporting. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(4), 908-942. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-11-2019-0604>
- Kirchhoff, K. R., Niefünd, S., & von Pressentin, J. (2024). *CSRD: The Revision of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive BT - ESG: Sustainability as a Strategic Success Factor* (K. R. Kirchhoff, S. Niefünd, & J. von Pressentin (eds.); pp. 45-57). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-45831-7_5

- Lodhia, S. K., & Sharma, U. (2019). Sustainability accounting and reporting: recent perspectives and an agenda for further research. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 31(3), 309-312. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PAR-02-2019-121>
- Luque-Vílchez, M., Cordazzo, M., Rimmel, G., & Tilt, C. A. (2023). Key aspects of sustainability reporting quality and the future of GRI. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 14(4), 637-659. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-03-2023-0127>
- Mock, M., González-Gallego, N., & Razik, S. (2021). *The Role of Integrated Reporting in Enhancing Corporate Transparency and Communication*. [https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.5\(4\).76-89.2021](https://doi.org/10.21272/bel.5(4).76-89.2021)
- Muhi, M. A., & Benaissa, M. (2023). The impact of integrated reporting on improving the quality of financial reporting. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*, 8(5), 65. <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i5.1737>
- Nwachukwu, C. (2022). Systematic review of integrated reporting: recent trend and future research agenda. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 20(3/4), 580-598. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-10-2020-0308>
- Oll, J., & Rommerskirchen, S. (2018). What's wrong with integrated reporting? A systematic review. *NachhaltigkeitsManagementForum | Sustainability Management Forum*, 26(1), 19-34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00550-018-0475-x>
- Ombai, P., Kiflemariam, A., & Odollo, L. (2024). Financial and Non-Financial Information on Strategy Management Process: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Strategic Management*, 8(1), 33-64. <https://doi.org/10.53819/81018102t2343>
- Petrov, S. V. (2023). Analyzing the transparency of corporate non-financial reporting. *Economic Analysis: Theory and Practice*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.24891/ea.23.1.150>
- Pizzi, S., Caputo, F., & de Nuccio, E. (2024). Do sustainability reporting standards affect analysts' forecast accuracy? *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15(2), 330-354. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-04-2023-0227>
- Pizzi, S., Principale, S., & de Nuccio, E. (2023). Material sustainability information and reporting standards. Exploring the differences between GRI and SASB. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 31(6), 1654-1674. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-11-2021-1486>
- Reid, A., Ringel, E., & Pendleton, S. M. (2024). Transparency Reports as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Reports: Motives, Stakeholders, and Strategies. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 20(1), 81-107. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-03-2023-0134>
- Rouf, M. A., Siddique, M. N.-E.-A., & Akhtaruddin, M. (2024). Exploring corporate financial reporting theories: a systematic approach. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems, ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-04-2024-0111>
- Sari, R., & Muslim, M. (2024). Corporate Transparency and Environmental Reporting: Trends and Benefits. *Amkop Management Accounting Review (AMAR)*, 4(1 SE-Articles), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.37531/amar.v4i1.1448>
- Schnackenberg, A. K., & Tomlinson, E. C. (2016). Organizational transparency: A new perspective on managing trust in organization-stakeholder relationships. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1784-1810. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314525202>
- Songini, L., Pistoni, A., Comerio, N., & Tettamanzi, P. (2023). A decade of integrated reporting studies: state of the art and future research implications. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 36(9), 226-252. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-10-2021-5490>
- Speziale, M.-T. (2019). *Theoretical Perspectives on Purposes and Users of Integrated Reporting: A*

Literature Review BT - Integrated Reporting: Antecedents and Perspectives for Organizations and Stakeholders (S. O. Idowu & M. Del Baldo (eds.); pp. 13-60). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01719-4_2

- Sutisman, E., Auliyah, I., & Noy, I. (2024). Accounting for Society: Examining the Interplay between Financial Reporting and Social Responsibility. *Advances in Applied Accounting Research*, 2(1 SE-), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.60079/aaar.v2i1.166>
- Valentinov, V. (2023). Sustainability and Stakeholder Theory: A Processual Perspective. *Kybernetes*, 52(13), 61-77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-05-2023-0819>
- Velte, P., & Stawinoga, M. (2017). Integrated reporting: The current state of empirical research, limitations, and future research implications. *Journal of Management Control*, 28(3), 275-320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00187-016-0235-4>
- Yuliantini, Y., Saribanon, E., Olfebri, O., Rahmawati, A., & Yulihapsari, I. U. (2024). Digital Marketing and the Presentation of Corporate Financial Statements: An Analysis of the Literature on Transformation and Impact. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 7(2), 1072-1090. <https://doi.org/10.57178/atestasi.v7i2.912>
- Zhang, A. Y., & Zhang, J. H. (2024). Renovation in environmental, social, and governance (ESG) research: the application of machine learning. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 32(4), 554-572. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARA-07-2023-0201>