

Analysis of the Effect of Economic Growth, Open Unemployment Rate, and Capital Expenditure on Income Disparity

Daya Amarta Suwarjana^{1*}, Niniek Imaningsih²

^{1,2}Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Jawa Timur, Surabaya, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: May 4, 2025
Revised: January 31, 2026
Accepted: April 1, 2026
DOI : 10.57151/jeko.v5i1.1048

KEYWORDS

capital expenditure; economic growth; income disparity; open unemployment rate

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Name : Daya Amarta Suwarjana
Address: Surabaya
E-mail : dayaamarta77@gmail.com

A B S T R A C T

Income disparity is an important issue in regional development as it reflects inequality in the distribution of welfare across regions or social groups. This study aims to analyze the effect of regional economic growth, open unemployment rate, and regional government capital expenditure on income disparity in Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency during the period 2010–2023. This research employs a quantitative approach using secondary data obtained from the Central Statistics Agency (BPS) of East Java and Central Java Provinces, as well as BPS of Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency. The study population consists of annual socio-economic indicator data, with a total sampling technique of 14 years of observation for each regency. Data analysis is conducted using multiple linear regression with the assistance of IBM SPSS 25 software. The partial test results indicate that the effects of independent variables on income disparity differ between regions. In Pacitan Regency, regional economic growth and open unemployment rate have no significant effect on income disparity, while capital expenditure has a positive and significant effect. Conversely, in Wonogiri Regency, regional economic growth and open unemployment rate have a negative and significant effect on income disparity, whereas capital expenditure has no significant effect. These findings provide policy implications for local governments in designing more equitable and inclusive development strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Income inequality is a structural problem commonly faced by developing countries, including Indonesia. It represents a major challenge in national development as it can hinder poverty alleviation efforts and generate social as well as economic instability. High open unemployment rates, uneven regional economic growth, and limited access to infrastructure are among the primary factors that exacerbate income inequality. Therefore, one of the main objectives of national development is to achieve sustainable and inclusive economic growth so that economic welfare can be distributed more evenly across society (Sari et al., 2022).

Regional income disparity remains a fundamental and unresolved issue in Indonesia. Regions with adequate infrastructure and abundant resources tend to experience accelerated economic growth, while other regions lag behind due to limited access and investment. Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency represent two regions with distinct geographical and socio-economic characteristics; however, both face significant challenges related to income inequality. This study is designed to identify and analyze the effects of economic growth, open unemployment rates, and capital expenditure on income disparity in these two regions.

In this study, economic growth is defined as regional economic growth measured by the growth rate of Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP) at constant prices. Regional economic development plays a crucial role in reducing socio-economic disparities. The Indonesian government has emphasized the importance of inclusive economic development, not only through increases in GRDP but also through improvements in education, health, and equitable distribution of development outcomes (Lestari et al., 2021). Effective allocation of capital expenditure is considered capable of creating employment opportunities and attracting investment, which in turn promotes economic growth and more equitable welfare distribution (Tjahjanto et al., 2024).

Previous studies indicate that income inequality is closely associated with geographical differences, demographic conditions, and access to resources. Hidayadi & Niam (2022) argue that regions with limited resources and poor infrastructure are more vulnerable to economic inequality. Meanwhile, Suryono (2000) emphasizes that well-planned economic development can improve welfare and reduce income disparities. Dzikirullah et al. (2024) also highlight the importance of human capital development as a long-term solution to addressing interregional economic inequality.

The phenomenon of income disparity can be observed through a comparison between Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency. Pacitan faces geographical challenges such as hilly terrain and limited accessibility, which contribute to a relatively high poverty rate of 13.65% in 2023—above both provincial and national averages. In contrast, Wonogiri, despite having better infrastructure access and economic potential, continues to experience inequality, as reflected in the increasing Williamson Index over time. These differences indicate that the impacts of capital expenditure, unemployment, and economic growth on income disparity need to be examined within a specific regional context.

Although numerous studies have investigated the determinants of interregional income disparity in Indonesia, most have been conducted partially, either focusing on a single region or examining only one key factor. Moreover, studies that directly compare two regions with contrasting geographical and socio-economic characteristics over a relatively long period remain limited. Consequently, a research gap exists regarding how economic growth, open unemployment rates, and capital expenditure affect income disparity when analyzed comparatively across regions. This study seeks to fill this gap by comparing Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency over the 2010–2023 period.

From a theoretical perspective, regional economic growth is expected to reduce income disparity if such growth is inclusive and evenly distributed, forming the basis of the first hypothesis. The open unemployment rate is expected to increase income disparity due to limited employment opportunities that widen income distribution gaps, forming the second hypothesis. Meanwhile, regional government capital expenditure is expected to influence income disparity depending on its allocation pattern and distribution equity, forming the third hypothesis. Based on these considerations, this study aims to analyze the effects of economic growth, open unemployment rates, and capital expenditure on income disparity in Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency.

METHOD

This study employs a quantitative approach using secondary data. The data were obtained from official institutions, namely the Central Bureau of Statistics (Badan Pusat Statistik/BPS) of East Java Province and Central Java Province, as well as BPS of Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency. Secondary data refer to data that are not collected directly through field observations but are obtained from previously available third-party sources (Sugiyono, 2015). The data used in this study consist of time-series data covering regional economic growth, open unemployment rate, capital expenditure, and income disparity indicators over the period 2010–2023.

The population of this study includes all annual socio-economic indicator data related to the research variables in Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency during the observation period. The sampling technique applied is total sampling, in which all data within the 2010–2023 period are used as research samples. Accordingly, this study comprises 14 years of observations for each region. The analytical method applied in this study is multiple linear regression. This method was selected based on the research objective of examining the simultaneous effects of multiple independent variables on a single dependent variable. A descriptive comparative approach is only able to illustrate differences in income disparity levels across regions but cannot explain causal relationships among variables. Therefore, multiple linear regression is employed to identify the direction, magnitude, and significance of the effects of regional economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure on income disparity in each study area.

The dependent variable in this study is income disparity, measured using the Williamson Index. The independent variables include regional economic growth, measured by the growth rate of Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP) at constant prices; the open unemployment rate, expressed as the percentage of unemployed individuals relative to the total labor force; and capital expenditure, measured by the realized capital expenditure of local governments in monetary units (rupiah).

The research model is specified as follows:

$$IW = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PE + \beta_2 TPT + \beta_3 BM + \varepsilon$$

where *IW* represents the Williamson Index as an indicator of income disparity, *EG* denotes regional economic growth, *OUR* refers to the open unemployment rate, *CE* represents capital expenditure, β_0 is the constant term, β_1 – β_3 are regression coefficients, and ε is the error term. Based on economic development theory and previous empirical studies, the hypotheses proposed in this study are as follows:

- H1:** Regional economic growth affects income disparity.
H2: The open unemployment rate affects income disparity.
H3: Capital expenditure affects income disparity.

The collected data were analyzed using multiple linear regression with the assistance of IBM SPSS software version 25.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Classical Assumption Tests

Normality Test

Table 1. One-Sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov Normality Test (Pacitan Regency)

		Unstandardized Residual
N		14
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	.01746151
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.130
	Positive	.130
	Negative	-.098
Test Statistic		.130
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.200 ^{c,d}

Source: Processed data, 2025

Based on the results presented in Table 1, the Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) value is 0.200, which is greater than the significance level of 0.05. This indicates that the residuals are normally distributed. Therefore, the variables of economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure are suitable for further analysis in examining income disparity in Pacitan Regency.

Table 2. One-Sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov Normality Test (Wonogiri Regency)

		Unstandardized Residual
N		14
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	.00868750
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.190
	Positive	.190
	Negative	-.098
Test Statistic		.190
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.182 ^c

Source: Processed data, 2025

As shown in Table 2, the Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) value is 0.182, which exceeds the 0.05 significance level. This result confirms that the data are normally distributed, indicating that the variables of economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure are appropriate for further analysis in Wonogiri Regency

Multicollinearity Test

Table 3. Multicollinearity Test Results (Pacitan Regency)

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Collinearity Statistics		
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1 (Constant)	.309	.025		12.438	.000		

PE	-.003	.003	-.299	-1.243	.242	.862	1.160
TPT	.003	.008	.083	.345	.737	.854	1.171
BM	1,74E-07	.000	-.673	-2.970	.014	.972	1.029

Source: Processed data, 2025

The results of the multicollinearity test in Table 3 indicate that all independent variables—economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure—have tolerance values greater than 0.10 and Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values below 10. These results suggest that no multicollinearity problem exists among the independent variables in the regression model for Pacitan Regency.

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test Results (Wonogiri Regency)

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Collinearity Statistics	
	Model	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance
1	(Constant)	.407	.029		13.916	.000	
	PE	-.006	.002	-.638	-3.477	.006	.749
	TPT	-.015	.005	-.758	-2.757	.020	.334
	BM	1,33E-08	.000	.108	.421	.682	.386

Source: Processed data, 2025

Similarly, the multicollinearity test results in Table 4 show that the tolerance values for all independent variables exceed 0.10 and the VIF values are less than 10. Thus, it can be concluded that the regression model for Wonogiri Regency is free from multicollinearity issues.

Heteroskedasticity Test

Table 5. Glejser Heteroskedasticity Test Results (Pacitan Regency)

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	Model	B	Std. Error	Beta	T	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.008	.013		.661	.523
	PE	.001	.001	.195	.638	.538
	TPT	-.002	.004	-.224	-.730	.482
	BM	2,29E-08	.000	.218	.756	.467

Source: Processed data, 2025

Based on the Glejser test results in Table 5, the significance values for economic growth (0.538), open unemployment rate (0.482), and capital expenditure (0.467) are all greater than 0.05. These findings indicate the absence of heteroskedasticity in the regression model. Therefore, the regression model for Pacitan Regency satisfies the homoskedasticity assumption and is appropriate for further analysis.

Table 6. Glejser Heteroskedasticity Test Results (Wonogiri Regency)

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	Model	B	Std. Error	Beta	T	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.017	.013		1.349	.207
	PE	.000	.001	-.091	-.345	.737
	TPT	.000	.002	-.057	-.144	.889
	BM	2,68E-08	.000	-.723	-1.963	.078

Source: Processed data, 2025

The Glejser test results for Wonogiri Regency presented in Table 6 show that the significance values for economic growth (0.737), open unemployment rate (0.889), and capital expenditure (0.078) are all greater than 0.05. This confirms that the regression model does not exhibit heteroskedasticity and meets the homoskedasticity assumption.

Autocorrelation Test

Table 7. Run Test Results (Pacitan Regency)

	Unstandardized Residual
Test Value ^a	-.00248
Cases < Test Value	7
Cases >= Test Value	7
Total Cases	14
Number of Runs	10
Z	.835
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.404

Source: Processed data, 2025

The Run Test results in Table 7 indicate that the Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) value is 0.404, which is greater than 0.05. This result suggests that the residuals are randomly distributed, indicating the absence of autocorrelation in the regression model for Pacitan Regency.

Table 8. Run Test Results (Wonogiri Regency)

	Unstandardized Residual
Test Value ^a	-.00052
Cases < Test Value	7
Cases >= Test Value	7
Total Cases	14
Number of Runs	7
Z	-.278
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.781

Source: Processed data, 2025

Similarly, the Run Test results in Table 8 show an Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) value of 0.781, which exceeds the 0.05 threshold. This finding confirms that the regression model for Wonogiri Regency is free from autocorrelation problems. From a theoretical perspective, regional economic growth is expected to have a negative relationship with income disparity if such growth is inclusive and evenly distributed. The open unemployment rate is expected to have a positive relationship with income disparity, as higher unemployment levels tend to widen income distribution gaps. Meanwhile, local government capital expenditure is expected to have a negative relationship with income disparity when allocated evenly; however, it may exert a positive effect if concentrated in specific areas.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis (t-test)

Table 9. Multiple Linear Regression Results (Pacitan Regency)

	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Collinearity Statistics		
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	.309	.025		12.438	.000		
	PE	-.003	.003	-.299	-1.243	.242	.862	1.160
	TPT	.003	.008	.083	.345	.737	.854	1.171

BM	1,74E-07	.000	-.673	-2.970	.014	.972	1.029
----	----------	------	-------	--------	------	------	-------

Source: Processed data, 2025

The multiple linear regression analysis for Pacitan Regency produces the following regression equation $IW = 0.309 - 0.003EG + 0.003OUR + 1.742E-10CE$. The constant value of 0.309 indicates that income disparity would increase by 0.309% if all independent variables were held constant. Economic growth has a negative coefficient of -0.003 , implying that a 1% increase in economic growth tends to reduce income disparity by 0.003%. Conversely, the open unemployment rate has a positive coefficient of 0.003, indicating that a 1% increase in unemployment leads to a 0.003% increase in income disparity. Capital expenditure also shows a positive effect, with a coefficient of $1.742E-10$, suggesting that a 1% increase in capital expenditure slightly increases income disparity.

Table 10. Multiple Linear Regression Results (Wonogiri Regency)

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	Collinearity Statistics		
Model		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance VIF
1	(Constant)	.407	.029		13.916	.000	
	PE	-.006	.002	-.638	-3.477	.006	.749 1.334
	TPT	-.015	.005	-.758	-2.757	.020	.334 2.996
	BM	1,33E-08	.000	.108	.421	.682	.386 2.591

Source: Processed data, 2025

For Wonogiri Regency, the estimated regression equation is as follows $IW = 0.407 - 0.006EG - 0.015OUR + 1.325E-11CE$. The constant value of 0.407 indicates that income disparity would increase by 0.407% if all independent variables were constant. Economic growth has a negative coefficient of -0.006 , suggesting that a 1% increase in economic growth reduces income disparity by 0.006%. The open unemployment rate also exhibits a negative coefficient of -0.015 , indicating that a 1% increase in unemployment reduces income disparity by 0.015%. Capital expenditure has a positive but relatively small coefficient of $1.325E-11$, indicating a negligible impact on income disparity.

Coefficient of Determination (R^2)

Table 11. Coefficient of Determination (R^2) – Pacitan Regency

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.708 ^a	.501	.352	.01991	2.253

Source: Processed data, 2025

The R^2 value for Pacitan Regency is 0.501, indicating that 50.1% of the variation in income disparity can be explained by economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure. The remaining 49.9% is influenced by other variables not included in this study.

Table 12. Coefficient of Determination (R^2) – Wonogiri Regency

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.865 ^a	.748	.672	.00991	2.252

Source: Processed data, 2025

For Wonogiri Regency, the R^2 value is 0.748, meaning that 74.8% of the variation in income disparity is explained by the three independent variables, while the remaining 25.2% is attributable to factors outside the research model.

Effect of Economic Growth on Income Disparity in Pacitan Regency

Based on the regression results, the economic growth variable has a probability value of 0.242, which exceeds the 0.05 significance level. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_0) is accepted and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is rejected, indicating that economic growth does not have a significant

effect on income disparity in Pacitan Regency. This insignificant effect can be attributed to several structural factors, including limited infrastructure, geographically remote conditions, and a predominantly hilly terrain that slows the flow of goods and services and causes economic growth to be spatially concentrated. In addition, limited employment opportunities, high labor migration, low levels of investment, and weak technology adoption prevent the benefits of economic growth from being evenly distributed across society. Consequently, the existing economic growth is not strong enough to significantly reduce income disparity. This finding is consistent with previous studies by Rosalitta & Muljaningsih (2022) in East Java Province and Hartanti & Taufiq (2023) at the national level, which conclude that economic growth does not significantly affect income disparity.

Effect of Economic Growth on Income Disparity in Wonogiri Regency

The regression analysis shows that economic growth has a probability value of 0.006, which is lower than the 0.05 significance level. Thus, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted and the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, indicating that economic growth has a significant negative effect on income disparity in Wonogiri Regency. This finding supports the hypothesis that economic growth can significantly influence income inequality. Statistically, economic growth in Wonogiri Regency during the 2010–2023 period demonstrates positive stability, where increases in GRDP, household consumption, and both domestic and foreign investment play an important role in reducing income disparity. The entry of various industries and real estate sectors has stimulated regional economic activity, thereby contributing to a more even distribution of welfare. This result is in line with the findings of Kunenengan et al. (2023) dan Novia (2023), which indicate that economic growth negatively affects income disparity.

Effect of Open Unemployment Rate on Income Disparity in Pacitan Regency

Based on the regression results, the open unemployment rate has a probability value of 0.737, which is greater than the 0.05 significance level. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_0) is accepted and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is rejected, indicating that the open unemployment rate does not significantly affect income disparity in Pacitan Regency. This finding does not support the initial hypothesis that unemployment has a significant effect on income disparity. The insignificant relationship can be explained by the relative stability of the unemployment rate during the 2010–2019 period, followed by only minor fluctuations after 2020. These limited changes were insufficient to significantly influence income distribution. In addition, local government programs such as *Padat Karya Tunai* have helped mitigate the impact of unemployment on inequality, although their implementation has not been optimal. This result is consistent with previous studies by Feraliani et al. (2023) serta Firdianisa & Asmara (2023), which find that the open unemployment rate does not significantly affect income disparity.

Effect of Open Unemployment Rate on Income Disparity in Wonogiri Regency

The regression results indicate that the open unemployment rate has a probability value of 0.020, which is below the 0.05 significance level. Thus, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted and the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, meaning that the open unemployment rate has a significant effect on income disparity in Wonogiri Regency. This finding supports the hypothesis that unemployment negatively affects income inequality. Statistically, the declining trend of the open unemployment rate in Wonogiri Regency during the 2010–2023 period reflects the success of local government policies in expanding employment opportunities, as outlined in regional development missions aimed at enhancing community economic potential and reducing unemployment. As more individuals become employed, income sources are more widely distributed across society, which in turn reduces income disparity. This result is consistent with the findings of Ersad et al. (2022) dan Gustini & Sentosa (2024), who report that the open unemployment rate has a negative and significant effect on income inequality.

Effect of Capital Expenditure on Income Disparity in Pacitan Regency

Based on the regression results, capital expenditure has a probability value of 0.014, which is lower than the 0.05 significance level. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted and the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, indicating that capital expenditure has a positive effect on income disparity in Pacitan Regency. This finding supports the hypothesis that capital expenditure influences income inequality. Statistically, the increase in capital expenditure in Pacitan Regency during the

2010–2023 period is accompanied by a rise in income disparity, suggesting that capital expenditure allocation tends to be concentrated and uneven. This condition is closely related to development policies that have not proportionally reached all regions or social groups. Consequently, higher capital expenditure has instead widened interregional income gaps. This finding aligns with studies by Yasni & Yulianto (2020) and Sutiono & Syafitri (2018), which conclude that capital expenditure positively affects income disparity.

Effect of Capital Expenditure on Income Disparity in Wonogiri Regency

The regression results show that capital expenditure has a probability value of 0.682, which exceeds the 0.05 significance level. Thus, the null hypothesis (H_0) is accepted and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is rejected, indicating that capital expenditure does not have a significant effect on income disparity in Wonogiri Regency. This finding contradicts the initial hypothesis that capital expenditure affects income disparity. Statistically, the insignificance of capital expenditure can be explained by its allocation pattern, which is largely focused on long-term infrastructure development and public service provision. During the 2010–2023 period, increased capital expenditure was primarily directed toward supporting the implementation of the Regional Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMD), particularly in the health sector and the improvement of science- and technology-based public services. Although these investments aim to enhance overall welfare, their effects on reducing income disparity are not immediately observable due to their long-term nature. The redistributive impact is expected to become more evident as connectivity and accessibility improve over time. This result is consistent with previous studies by Putri (2024) and Pranata & Idris (2021), which find that capital expenditure does not significantly affect income inequality.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study on the effects of economic growth, open unemployment rate, and capital expenditure on income disparity in Pacitan Regency and Wonogiri Regency, it can be concluded that each variable exerts different impacts depending on regional characteristics. Economic growth in Pacitan Regency does not significantly affect income disparity, which can be attributed to limited job creation, low investment levels, and inadequate infrastructure. In contrast, economic growth in Wonogiri Regency has a significant negative effect on income disparity, indicating that stable and high-quality growth contributes to reducing income inequality. The open unemployment rate in Pacitan Regency does not influence income disparity, whereas in Wonogiri Regency it has a significant negative effect, demonstrating that effective job creation plays a crucial role in achieving a more equitable income distribution. Furthermore, capital expenditure in Pacitan Regency has a positive and significant effect on income disparity due to its uneven allocation, which tends to benefit only certain areas. Conversely, capital expenditure in Wonogiri Regency does not significantly affect income disparity, as it is primarily directed toward long-term development whose impacts have not yet been directly observed.

This study has several limitations. First, it relies on secondary data obtained from publications of the Central Bureau of Statistics (BPS), which, although reliable, are limited in their ability to capture detailed micro-level conditions. Second, the analysis is confined to two regencies, which restricts the generalizability of the findings to other regions in Indonesia. Therefore, future studies are encouraged to incorporate additional relevant variables and expand the geographical scope of analysis to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the determinants of interregional income disparity.

Based on the research findings, the local government of Pacitan Regency is advised to improve the effectiveness of capital expenditure allocation by prioritizing balanced regional development, particularly in basic infrastructure and productive employment creation. Development policies in Pacitan should also focus on increasing investment and strengthening regional leading sectors to ensure that economic growth is more evenly distributed. Meanwhile, the local government of Wonogiri Regency is encouraged to maintain inclusive economic growth by strengthening labor-intensive sectors and improving the quality of human resources. Continuous efforts to control open unemployment should remain a key instrument in reducing income disparity, while ensuring that capital expenditure is consistently directed toward sectors that support long-term and equitable welfare distribution.

REFERENCES

- Dzikrirullah, D., Maulana, F., & Tirtawati, Y. (2024). Pengaruh Ekonomi Pembangunan Terhadap Sumber Daya Manusia. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Manajemen*, 3(2), 1525–1530.
- Ersad, M. E., Amir, A., & Zulgani, Z. (2022). Dampak IPM, tingkat pengangguran dan tingkat kemiskinan terhadap ketimpangan pendapatan di Sumatera Bagian Selatan. *Jurnal Paradigma Ekonomika*, 17(2), 425–438. <https://doi.org/10.22437/jpe.v17i2.15614>
- Feraliani, F., Valeriani, D., & Yusnita, M. (2023). Analisis Disparitas Pendapatan Di Provinsi Kepulauan Bangka Belitung. *Equity: Jurnal Ekonomi*, 9(1), 61–71. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33019/equity.v9i1.167>
- Firdianisa, N., & Asmara, kiky. (2023). Analisis Pengaruh Disparitas Di Provinsi Jawa Timur Tahun 2007 - 2021. *JEMSI (Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Dan Akuntansi)*, 9(5), 1900–1907. <https://doi.org/10.35870/jemsi.v9i5.1505>
- Gustini, S., & Sentosa, S. U. (2024). Analisis Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Dan Ketimpangan Pendapatan Di Sumatera Barat Menggunakan Model Simultan. *Media Riset Ekonomi Pembangunan (MedREP)*.
- Hartanti, anjar noer, & Taufiq, M. (2023). Analisis Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Dana Alokasi Umum, Subsidi Bbm Terhadap Disparitas Pendapatan Indonesia. *Journal of Economic, Bussines and Accounting (COSTING)*.
- Hidayadi, T., & Niam, A. M. (2022). Analisis Disparitas Ekonomi Wilayah Jabodetaek Pada Masa Pandemi Covid 19. *ILTIZAM Journal of Shariah Economics Research*, 6(1), 117–130. <https://doi.org/10.30631/iltizam.v6i1.1301>
- Kunenengan, R. M. ., Engka, D. S. ., & Rorong, I. P. F. (2023). Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Dan Kemiskinan Terhadap Ketimpangan Pendapatan Lima Kabupaten/Kota Di Bolaang Mongondow Provinsi Sulawesi Utara. *Jurnal Berkala Ilmiah Efisiensi*, 23(3), 133–144.
- Lestari, N., Pasha, P. A., Oktapianti, M., & Noviarita, H. (2021). Teori Pembangunan Ekonomi. *REVENUE: Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Islam*, 2(2), 95–112. <https://doi.org/10.24042/revenue.v2i2.9071>
- Novia, T. (2023). Analisis Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Pengeluaran Pemerintah, Tenaga Kerja, Pekerja Berpendidikan Sma Ke Atas, Dan Investasi Terhadap Ketimpangan Pendapatan Di Provinsi Bali Tahun 2016-2020. *PARETO: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Kebijakan Publik*, 5(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.32663/pareto.v5i2.2765>
- Pranata, Y., & Idris, I. (2021). Analiiis Pengaruh Belanja Modal Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Ketimpangan Pendapatan di Sumatera Barat. *Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan*, 3(1), 79. <https://doi.org/10.24036/jkep.v3i1.13523>
- Putri, N. D. (2024). PENGARUH PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI, PENDIDIKAN, DESENTRALISASI FISKAL, DAN BELANJA MODAL TERHADAP KETIMPANGAN PENDAPATAN. *JOURNAL OF DEVELOPMENT ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL STUDIES*, 3(4), 1294–1307. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21776/jdess.2024.03.4.25>
- Rosalitta, D., & Muljaningsih, S. (2022). ANALISIS PENGARUH PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI, TINGKAT PENDIDIKAN, ANGKATAN KERJA, DAN PERPAJAKAN TERHADAP DISPARITAS PENDAPATAN DI PROVINSI JAWA TIMUR. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 9(2).
- Sari, J. D., Sufiawan, N. A., Rizky, B., & Weriantoni, W. (2022). Analisis Ketimpangan Pendapatan Terhadap Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Melalui Akses Listrik Di Indonesia. *Equilibrium : Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi, Manajemen Dan Akuntansi*, 11(2), 35. <https://doi.org/10.35906/equili.v11i2.1106>
- Sugiyono. (2015). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif dan R and D. In *Bandung: Alfabeta* (Vol. 3, Issue April).
- Suryono. (2000). *Ekonomika Pembangunan*. Penerbit Salemba Empat: Jakarta.
- Sutiono, F., & Syafitri, W. (2018). Belanja Kementerian/Lembaga, Belanja APBD, Kontribusi Sektor, dan Ketimpangan Pendapatan di Jawa Timur. *Indonesian Treasury Review Jurnal Perbendaharaan Keuangan Negara Dan Kebijakan Publik*, 3(3), 186–201. <https://doi.org/10.33105/itrev.v3i3.75>
- Tjahjanto, D. L., Fauzi, A., & Juanda, B. (2024). Pengaruh Dana Keistimewaan Terhadap Ketimpangan Pembangunan Ekonomi di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta (DIY). *TATALOKA*, 26(3), 143–153. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/tataloka.26.3.143-153>
- Yasni, R., & Yulianto, H. (2020). Peran Belanja Modal Dan Belanja Bantuan Sosial Pemerintah

Daerah Terhadap Ketimpangan Pendapatan Di Indonesia. *Substansi: Sumber Artikel Akuntansi Auditing Dan Keuangan Vokasi*, 4(1), 39–63. <https://doi.org/10.35837/subs.v4i1.819>