

AUTHOR REVISION REPORT

Note: For comments/questions/Answer/Revisions, use the language according to the language used in the manuscript (English or Bahasa Indonesia).

I. Manuscript Identity

Title	Grounding Analysis Based On Different Soil Characteristics In The Distribution System
Manuscript number	69278
Article Type	Research article / Review Article
First Submission date	2023-08-21
Recommendation on First Submission Manuscript	Reviewer 1 (RV1) : Major Revisions Reviewer 2 (RV2) : Minor Revisions
Revision Submission Date	

II. Reviewer's Comments**2.1. General Impression**

	Reviewers (R1 & R2)	Authors
	Comments/Questions	Comments/Answers/Revisions in the Manuscript
General comprehension of the manuscript		
Language/style/Grammar in general		
Structure		
Level of enthusiasm		

2.2. Revision on Major Comments

	Reviewers (R1 & R2)	Authors
	Major Comments	Answers/Revisions in the Manuscript
Abstract	Example: [R1.1] (Reviewer 1, Write explicitly what is the importance/what is the contribution of the research results obtained in the field being studied? because this is an analysis, it is not shown. The grounding installed at the location is not explained In abbreviations, an explanation must be displayed at the beginning (example PT. PLN = ??)] [R1.2] (Reviewer 1, where is the caption in question??	Example: [R1.1] (I have explained in the abstract that the background to the problem was taken at PT PLN UP3 South Surabaya, the result and method have been shown at last paragraf) [R1.2]] (Answer Reviewer 1, I have deleted the BOE explanation due to a typo) [R2.1] (Reviewer 2, Already) [R2.2] (Reviewer 2, Already)

	[R2.1] (Reviewer 2, ...) [R2.2] (Reviewer 2 consistent)	
Introduction	[R1.3] (Reviewer 1, Where does the title taken relate to this statement? [R1.4] (Reviewer 1, Statement where it relates to the title of the proposed research] [R1.5] (Reviewer 1, What other areas are there in the study? Research area in Surabaya or Lamongan ?) [R1.6] (Reviewer 1, Distinguishes or novelties of the study is mandatory to show.] [R2.3] (Reviewer 2, ...) [R2.4] (Reviewer 2 consistent)	[R1.3] (This paragraph explains the data from the field survey. The relevant title of this sentence has been cited in [2]) [R1.4] (Reviewer 1, I have found appropriate citations [4]) [R1.5] (Reviewer 1, This paragraph shows that another PT PLN has 5 ohm grounding, as a reference and this paragraph has also been cited [3]. The research was conducted in Surabaya and has been explained above) [R1.6] (Rev 1, I have answered using the IETAP calculation and simulation method) [R2.3] (Reviewer 2, already) [R2.4] (Reviewer 2 already)
Methodology	R1.7](Rev 1, 15 out of 100 points, what is the reason only 15 points do it represent..?) [R1.8](Rev 1, Point out the reference to the rule stating this) [R1.9](Rev 1, Where does the data come from, do you forward the analysis from previous research or?) [R1.10](...??) [R2.5] (Reviewer 2, ...) [R2.6] (Reviewer 2,...)	[R1.7](Rev 1, I have explained the reason) [R1.8](Rev 1, I've corrected) [R1.9](Rev 1, data form PLN Surabaya, and the calculation based on research [15]) [R1.10] (Rev 1, I have deleted) [R2.5] (Reviewer 2, already) [R2.6] (Reviewer 2 already)
Results and Discussions	[R1.11] (Rev 1, BD 311 what is meant give an explanation, first in advance) [R1.12](Rev 1, set the writing format, double- check the ELKHA template) [R1.13](Rev 1, Where's the reference?) [R1.14](Rev 1, Figure displayed for clarification) [R2.7] (Reviewer 2, ...) [R2.8] (Reviewer 2,...)	[R1.11](Rev 1, I've explained) [R1.12](Rev 1, Already) [R1.13](Rev 1, This is form measurement at PT PLN Surabaya) [R1.14](Rev 1, Already) [R2.7] (Reviewer 2, already) [R2.8] (Reviewer 2 already)
Conclusions		

2.3. Revision on Minor Comments

	Reviewers (R1 & R2)	Authors
	Minor Comments/Questions	Comments/Answers/Revisions in the Manuscript
Style/Grammar		
Consistency/Terminology		
References		
Tables		
Figures		

***** Add a yellow background to the revised/improved manuscript, according to the results of the review.***