

# The Impact of Globalization on the International Political System

Muhammad Budiana (m\_budiana70@unpas.ac.id)  
Universitas Pasundan

Submitted : 20-07-2023, Accepted : 21-08-2023, Published : 20-09-2023

## Abstract

This research aims to examine the impact of globalization on the international political system, focusing on state interdependence, national sovereignty, the role of non-state actors, and political stability. Globalization has been identified as a factor that affects international relations and political decision-making. This study uses a quantitative approach with multiple regression analysis method, where the Globalization Index, Economic Development Level, and their interaction are used as independent and moderator variables to assess their influence on the International Political System. The results showed that the Globalization Index and Economic Development Level had no significant effect on the international political system, with a significance value above 0.05. The hypothesis that globalization has a positive influence on state interdependence and reduces sovereignty in political decision-making is not supported by the data. Similarly, there is no evidence that globalization has a negative impact on political stability in countries that are highly dependent on the global economy.

**Keyword** : International Political System, State Sovereignty, Political Stability, Interdependence

## Introduction

In today's interconnected world, globalization significantly influences the dynamics of international politics, reshaping how states interact and formulate foreign policies. As states become increasingly interdependent, the actions of one state can have profound impacts on others, necessitating cooperative strategies to address common challenges such as climate change and security threats (Omar Abdi Mohamed Qasaye, 2023) and (Manfred B. Steger, 2023). This interdependence complicates the political landscape, as traditional boundaries blur and new actors emerge, leading to a reconfiguration of power dynamics among states (Iryna CHISTIAKOVA, 2024) and (Buket Çatakoğlu Aydin, 2022).

In addition, the political risks associated with globalization, including potential conflicts and clashes of civilizations, highlight the need for adaptive political strategies (Joanna Moszczyńska, 2022). As developing countries challenge established hegemonies, the international order is undergoing significant changes, prompting a re-evaluation of governance structures and the role of regional organizations (Iryna CHISTIAKOVA, 2024) and (Buket Çatakoğlu Aydin, 2022). Understanding this complexity is critical to effective global governance, as it requires a coordinated response to transnational issues while navigating the intricacies of power shifts and

political risks (Manfred B. Steger, 2023) and (Joanna Moszczyńska, 2022). Thus, a comprehensive understanding of the impact of globalization on the political landscape is essential for countries aiming to develop in this evolving global context. The elimination of physical limitations and the growth of communication technologies have significantly intensified the flow of information and interactions across countries, fostering economic and social development while simultaneously posing challenges to political stability (Murali Krishna Pasupuleti, 2024) and (Omar Abdi Mohamed Qasaye, 2023). These transformations are crucial to understanding how national identities are constructed and redefined in an interconnected world. In this context, the study of the impact of globalization on the international political system reveals fundamental shifts in power dynamics and diplomatic interactions. The increasing interdependence of states requires a re-evaluation of foreign policy approaches, as states navigate the complexity of transnational threats and opportunities (Iryna CHISTIAKOVA, 2024) and (O. V. Lemak, 2024). The interplay of these factors will shape the future of international politics, highlighting the need for adaptive strategies that address the benefits and risks associated with an integrated global landscape. Ultimately, a comprehensive analysis of these structural changes will illuminate the evolving nature of global geopolitical dynamics and their implications for political stability and cooperation among nations (Murali Krishna Pasupuleti, 2024) and (O. V. Lemak, 2024).

Globalization is significantly reshaping the international political system by changing power relations between states and challenging state sovereignty. As states become more interconnected, traditional power dynamics are shifting, leading to the emergence of new alliances and the emergence of non-state actors influencing global governance (Murali Krishna Pasupuleti, 2024) and (Ananya Gautam, 2024). These transformations complicate states' ability to maintain autonomy, as they face pressures from international organizations and economic powers that often undermine their sovereign authority (Callum Tonkins, 2024) and (O. V. Lemak, 2024).

The tension between globalization and state sovereignty raises critical questions about the future of state power and the legitimacy of international norms (O. V. Lemak, 2024). States must navigate these challenges by adapting their policies to balance global integration with national interests, often adopting neoliberal economic strategies that prioritize market forces over state control (O. V. Lemak, 2024). Understanding these dynamics is crucial to analyzing the evolving global political landscape, as the interplay between globalization and state sovereignty will shape future political developments and the roles of various actors in the global political system (Abdifatah Ahmed Ali Afyare,

2024) and (Ananya Gautam, 2024). This analysis provides insights into how states can effectively respond to the complexities of the global world while maintaining their sovereignty.

This adaptability is particularly important in a globalized world, where traditional power dynamics are increasingly being replaced by multi-layered interactions among diverse actors, including states, non-governmental organizations, and international institutions (Serhii Lysenko, 2024). Furthermore, the development of global governance structures aims to enhance cooperation and coordination, particularly to meet the needs of developing countries, thereby reinforcing the importance of collective action in addressing the challenges of globalization (Павел Игоревич Севостьянов, 2024). Regional cooperation also plays an important role, as countries within a region can align their efforts to address common problems, fostering innovation and resilience to global pressures [5]. Ultimately, globalization reshapes not only interactions between states but also the nature of the international political system itself, emphasizing the need for collaborative governance (Т. М. Tarasenko, 2024) and (Павел Игоревич Севостьянов, 2024).

## Methods

The research method used in this study is designed to comprehensively analyze the impact of globalization on the international political system. This study will use a mixed methods approach that combines qualitative and quantitative methods to gain a deeper understanding of the phenomenon being studied. Data collected from the survey will be analyzed using statistical software such as SPSS or R. Descriptive analysis will be conducted to describe the characteristics of respondents and their level of perception of the impact of globalization. Furthermore, inferential analysis, such as regression or t-test, will be used to test the proposed hypotheses.

## Results And Discussion

### 1. Validity & Reliability Test

#### Validity Test

	Correlations	
	Sig. (2tailed)	Ket
Globalization Index	0.000	Valid
Level of Economic Development	0.000	Valid
International Political System	0.000	Valid

. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level  
(2tailed).

## Interpretation

All items in this study have a significant value of 0.000 ( $>0.005$ ) and are thus declared valid.

### Reliability Test

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0,827	3

## Interpretation

All items in this study have a conbach's alpha value of 0.827 ( $<0.700$ ) thus all items in this study are declared reliable. And can be continued in further research.

## 2. Path Analysis Test Equation Model 1

### a. Coefficien determinan

#### Tabel Coefficien determinan

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Model Summary	
				Std. Error of the Estimate	
1	,777a	0,603	0,595	6,244	

a. Predictors: (Constant), Level of Economic Development, Globalization Index

## Interpretation

The R Square value shows how much the independent variables (Level of Economic Development and Globalization Index) are able to explain the variability of the dependent variable (International Political System). In this case, the R Square value of 0.603 means that 60.3% of the variation in the International Political System can be explained by changes in the Level of Economic Development and the Globalization Index. This means that the model used is quite good at explaining the relationship between these variables, although there is still 39.7% of the variation in the International Political System explained by other factors outside this model. This regression model shows that the Level of Economic Development and the Globalization Index together can explain about 60.3% of the variation in the International Political System, with a strong relationship between these variables ( $R = 0.777$ ). The model also has a fairly good predictive quality, with little difference between R Square and Adjusted R Square, as well as moderate standard errors in prediction.

### b. T-Test Analysis

**T-Test Table**

Model	Coefficientsa					
	Unstandardized		Standardize	t	Sig.	
	Coefficients	d	Coefficients			
	B	Std.	Beta			Error
1 (Constant)	17,8	4,3		4,144	0,00	
	2				0	
Globalization Index	0,83	0,159	0,456	5,234	0,00	
	2				0	
Level of economic development	0,71	0,159	0,391	4,48	0,00	
	1				0	
a. Dependent Variable: International Political System						

**Interpretation**

1. Globalization Index

The t value of 5.234 with a significance of 0.000 indicates that the Globalization Index has a significant influence on the International Political System. Because the Sig. value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis (that the Globalization Index has no effect on the International Political System) can be rejected, meaning that the influence is statistically significant.

2. Level of Economic Development

The t value of 4.48 with a significance of 0.000 indicates that the Level of Economic Development also has a significant influence on the International Political System. Because the Sig. value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis can be rejected, meaning that the influence is statistically significant. Both the Globalization Index and the Level of Economic Development have a significant influence on the International Political System. The influence of the Globalization Index ( $B = 0.832$ , Beta = 0.456) is slightly stronger than the influence of the Level of Economic Development ( $B = 0.711$ , Beta = 0.391). The significance value of the two variables (Sig. = 0.000) shows that both contribute significantly to influencing the International Political System.

**F Test Table**

Model	ANOVAa					
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
		Regression	Residual			
1	Regression	5751,459	2	2875,729	73,77	,000b
	Residual	3781,291	97	38,982		
	Total	9532,75	99			

a. Dependent Variable:  
 International Political  
 System

b. Predictors: (Constant),  
 Level of Economic  
 Development,  
 Globalization Index

**Interpretation**

1. F (F Value)

F = 73.77: This F value is the result of the comparison between Mean Square Regression and Mean Square Residual (2875.729/38.982). A high F value indicates that the independent variables (Level of Economic Development and Globalization Index) together have a significant influence on the dependent variable (International Political System). The F value of 73.77 is quite large, indicating that the regression model used fits the data.

2. Significance (Sig.)

Sig. = 0.000: This significance value indicates that the results of the F test are very significant, because the pvalue (Sig.) is less than 0.05 (generally used as the significance limit). With a Sig. value of 0.000, we can conclude that the regression model involving the Level of Economic Development and the Globalization Index is statistically significant in explaining variations in the International Political System. This means that the independent variables used significantly affect the dependent variable.

The results of the F test show that the regression model involving the Globalization Index and the Level of Economic Development can significantly explain the variation in the International Political System (F = 73.77, Sig. = 0.000). This means that the independent variables used in the model contribute significantly to changes in the dependent variable,

and the regression model used is very good overall.

### Equation Model 2

#### a. Coefficien Determinan

**Coefficient determination table**

Model Summary					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	
1	,781a	0,61	0,598	6,225	

a. Predictors: (Constant), Level of Development to Global Index, Level of Economic Development, Globalization Index

#### Interpretation

- R Square = 0.61: The R Square value indicates the percentage of variation in the dependent variable that can be explained by the independent variables in the model.
- With an R Square of 0.61, this means that 61% of the variation in the International Political System can be explained by the Level of Economic Development, the Globalization Index, and the Level of Influence on the Globalization Index. The remaining 39% is explained by other factors not included in the model.

**Table F-Test**

ANOVAa						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	5812,137	3	1937,379	49,989	,000b
	Residual	3720,613	96	38,756		
	Total	9532,75	99			

a. Dependent Variable: International Political System

#### Interpretation

F = 49.989: This F value is obtained by comparing the Mean Square Regression with the Mean Square Residual (1937.379/38.756). A high F value indicates that the independent variables together have a significant influence on the dependent variable (International Political System). Interpretation of F Value: With an F value of 49.989, this indicates that

the regression model involving the Level of Economic Development, Globalization Index, and Level of Influence on the Globalization Index is significantly better than the model that does not use predictors. This means that the independent variables in the model together make a significant contribution to the prediction of the dependent variable. *Sig.* = 0.000: This value indicates that the results of the F test are very significant, because the *pvalue* (*Sig.*) is less than 0.05. With a *Sig.* value of 0.000, we can conclude that the regression model is statistically significant. This means that the independent variables used in the model (Level of Economic Development, Globalization Index, and Level of Influence on the Globalization Index) together significantly influence the dependent variable (International Political System).

**T-Test Table**

Model	Coefficientsa					
	Unstandardized		Standardized		t	<i>Sig.</i>
	Coefficients	Coefficients	B	Std.		
Error						
1	(Constant)	47,549	24,143		1,969	0,052
	Globalization Index	0,085	0,75	0,047	0,113	0,91
	Level of Economic Development	0,147	0,704	0,081	0,209	0,835
	Level of Development of Global Index	0,026	0,021	0,897	1,251	0,214

a. Dependent Variable: International Political System

### Interpretation

#### 1. Constant

*B* value (Unstandardized Coefficient) = 47.549: This shows that when all independent variables (Globalization Index, Level of Economic Development, and Level of Influence on the Globalization Index) have a value of 0, then the value of the International Political System is predicted to be 47.549. *t* = 1.969 and *Sig.* = 0.052: With a significance value of 0.052 (greater than 0.05), this constant is not significant at the 95% confidence level. This means that the constant value in this model is not significantly different from zero.

#### 2. Globalization Index

*B* value = 0.085: The regression coefficient for the Globalization Index is 0.085,

which shows that every one unit increase in the Globalization Index will cause a decrease in the International Political System by 0.085, assuming other variables are constant.  $t = 0.113$  and  $\text{Sig.} = 0.91$ : This  $t$  value is very small (approaching zero) and the  $p$  value is 0.91 (greater than 0.05), indicating that the Globalization Index does not have a significant effect on the International Political System in this model.

### 3. Level of Economic Development

$B$  value = 0.147: The regression coefficient for the Level of Economic Development is 0.147, which means that every one unit increase in the Level of Economic Development is predicted to decrease the International Political System by 0.147, assuming other variables are constant.  $t = 0.209$  and  $\text{Sig.} = 0.835$ : With a small  $t$  value and a  $p$  value of 0.835 (greater than 0.05), this indicates that the Level of Economic Development is also not significant in influencing the International Political System.

### 4. Level of Influence on Globalization Index

$B$  value = 0.026: The regression coefficient for this moderator variable is 0.026, which means that every one unit increase in the Level of Influence on Globalization Index will increase the International Political System by 0.026, assuming other variables are constant.  $t = 1.251$  and  $\text{Sig.} = 0.214$ : With a  $t$  value of 1.251 and a  $p$  value of 0.214 (greater than 0.05), this indicates that the Level of Influence on Globalization Index does not significantly affect the International Political System in this model.

## Conclusion

### 1. The Influence of Globalization on the International Political System:

Based on the results of statistical tests, the Globalization Index does not have a significant influence on the International Political System. Although the coefficient shows a negative relationship, with a significance value far above 0.05 ( $p = 0.91$ ), it can be concluded that globalization, in the context of this study, does not significantly affect the dynamics of the international political system.

### 2. The Influence of the Level of Economic Development on the International Political System:

The results of the  $t$ -test also show that the Level of Economic Development does not have a significant effect on the International Political System. The negative coefficient value (0.147) indicates that there is a tendency for a decline in the international political system when the level of economic development increases, but this is not statistically significant enough ( $p = 0.835$ ).

### 3. The Moderating Influence of the Level of Economic Development on the Relationship between Globalization and the International Political System:

The Level of Influence on the Globalization Index variable which functions as a moderator also does not have a significant effect in strengthening or weakening the relationship between the Globalization Index and the International Political System. The t value (1.251) and p value (0.214) indicate that economic development moderation is not strong enough to change this relationship.

#### 4. Model Strength:

The results of the Model Summary and F test show that the overall model is indeed significant, with an F value = 49.989 and p = 0.000. However, when viewed individually, the variables analyzed do not have a significant effect on the International Political System.

This study shows that in the context of the measurements used, Globalization and the Level of Economic Development, both individually and through the influence of moderation, do not have a significant effect on the International Political System. Although the overall model is significant, the main variables in this study are not strong enough to explain changes in the international political system. This indicates that there may be other variables outside this model that are more influential in regulating the relationship between globalization, economic development, and the international political system.

## References

Abdifatah, Ahmed, Ali, Afyare. (2024). 1. The impact of globalization on state sovereignty. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, doi: 10.30574/ijjsra.2024.12.2.1434

Ajda, Hedžet. (2023). 2. Statecentrism in international relations: examining the construction of nonstate collective actors in human rights scholarship. *Teorija in praksa*, doi: 10.51936/tip.60.4.787

Amentahru, Wahlrab. (2023). 1. Globalization. doi: 10.4337/9781803921235.00059

Ananya, Gautam., Shalini, Saxena. (2024). 5. The Impact of Globalisation on the National Sovereignty: A Comparative Study. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.16388

Aziz, Y. M. A., Huraerah, A., Budiana, M., & Vaughan, R. (2023). Policy model for development of tourism villages based on local wisdom towards self-reliant village in Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia. *Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13(1), 169-181.

Budiana, M. (2022). Use of Social Media in Political Communication. *Jurnal Info Sains: Informatika dan Sains*, 12(1), 18-24.

Budiana, M. (2023). Analysis of Indonesia's Foreign Policy during President Jokowi. *Jurnal Mantik*, 6(3), 3564-3570.

Budiana, M. (2023). INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 8(3), 95-103.

Budiana, M. (2023). POLITICAL CULTURE AND SOCIETY'S POLITICAL ORIENTATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Sahombu*, 3(01), 108-115.

Budiana, M., & Djuyandi, Y. (2023). INTERNATIONAL SECURITY BASED ON THE UNITED STATES RESPONSE POST TO THE SOUTH CHINA SEA CLAIM BY THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Jurnal Wacana Politik*, 8(1).

Budiana, M., Bainus, A., Widya, R., & Setiabudi, S. (2018). Regional Election Winning Strategy of the Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle (PDIP) in North Coast Area of West Java Province (Case Study in Subang and Cirebon Regencies). *Journal of Social and Development Sciences*, 9(1), 31-37.

Budiana, M., Djuyandi, Y., & Dermawan, W. (2020). The contribution of organization of Islamic cooperation in southern Thailand conflict. *Rivista di studi sulla sostenibilità: X, special issue*, 2020, 81-95.

Budiana, M., Muhammad Fedryansyah, M. F., Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D., & Ramadhan Pancasilawan, R. P. (2023). Indonesia military power under the increasing threat of conflict in the South China Sea. *Central European Journal of International and Security CEJISS*, 13(4), 259-274.

Buket, Çatakoğlu, Aydin. (2022). 5. 2. Globalization and global politics. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192898142.003.0002

Callum, Tonkins. (2024). 3. Myth of Sovereignty in the Era of HyperGlobalisation. doi: 10.36399/groundingsug.15.135

Carolyn, Chisadza., Eleni, Yitbarek. (2024). 2. Globalisation and income inequality. doi: 10.4324/97810034604598

Chang, Xu. (2023). 4. The Interaction between the Investment Behavior of Transnational Corporations and the International Political and Economic System. *Advances in politics and economics*, doi: 10.22158/ape.v6n4p1

Christina, L., Davis. (2024). 1. The social context of international institutions. *World Politics*, doi: 10.1353/wp.0.a932837

Colin, Hay. (2024). 1. 17. International Relations Theory and Globalization. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.003.0017

Daniel, Efrén, Morales, Ruvalcaba. (2024). 4. Dependency/ Worldsystems Theories and Structural Position of Latin American Countries. Social Change, doi: 10.1177/00490857231221207

Deepa, Dhama. (2023). 2. Role of International Organisation in Shaping the global governance. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i06.9341

Dmytro, Tkach., Hanna, Bilokur. (2022). 5. Impact of globalization on the development of international socioeconomic processes. Včenì zapiski univerzitetu "KROK", doi: 10.31732/266322092022682835

H., Irene, Su. (2023). 5. Research on the Regulation of Human Rights Responsibility of Multinational Companies. Highlights in business, economics and management, doi: 10.54097/hbem.v16i.10616

Héctor, Ignacio, Martínez, Álvarez. (2024). 3. Imperialismo y dependencia: América Latina en la crisis contemporánea mundial. Revista de Estudios Globales Análisis Histórico y Cambio Social, doi: 10.6018/reg.620371

Iryna, CHISTIAKOVA., Valentyna, Babina. (2024). 1. Effectiveness of regional political organizations in international relations in the context of globalization. Filosofiâ ta polìtologiâ v kontekstì sučasnoï kul'turi, doi: 10.15421/352438

Jack, Donnelly. (2023). 5. Systems, Relations, and the Structures of International Societies. doi: 10.1017/9781009355193

Joanna, Moszczyńska. (2022). Legal Metrology and Global Trade. 121. doi: 10.1007/9789811915505\_1081

Jonathan, Kirshner. (2024). 4. Classical realism and the challenge of global economic governance. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, doi: 10.1093/oxrep/grae010

Jorge, E., Núñez. (2024). 2. State Sovereignty: Concept and Conceptions. International journal for the semiotics of law, doi: 10.1007/s1119602410170y

K., M., Fierke. (2024). 2. 11. Constructivism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.003.0011

Kim, Moloney., Tim, Legrand. (2024). 1. Actors, alterations, and authorities: three observations of global policy and its transnational administration. Policy and Society, doi: 10.1093/polsoc/puae003

Klaus, Brummer., Kai, Oppermann. (2024). 2. 2. Realism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192857453.003.0002

Knud, Erik, Jørgensen. (2024). 2. 6. Liberalism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.003.0006

Luis, Garrido, Soto. (2024). 1. Problematizing lineages: simultaneity and divergence between dependency theory and worldsystem analysis. Латиноамериканский исторический алманах, doi: 10.32608/230587732024421148181

Manfred, B., Steger. (2023). 3. The political dimension of globalization. doi: 10.1093/actrade/9780192886194.003.0004

Mosab, I., Tabash., Yasmeen, Elsantil., Abdullah, Hamadi., Krzysztof, Drachal. (2024). 5. Globalization and Income Inequality in Developing Economies: A Comprehensive Analysis. Economies, doi: 10.3390/economies12010023

Murali, Krishna, Pasupuleti. (2024). 2. Global Dynamics: Exploring International Relations, Global Studies, and Comparative Politics. doi: 10.62311/nesx/31421

Nasulea, Christian., Cliff, Joseph. (2024). 5. Global Inequality Challenge: An Analysis of the Disparities in Wealth and Power. African journal of social sciences and humanities research, doi: 10.52589/ajsshrxcwus32j

Nguyễn, Trọng, Yên. (2022). 5. The Concept of “Political Globalization” and Global Challenges. doi: 10.1163/9789004516007\_012

NinaLulushca, AguiarMariño. (2024). 3. Constructivism in the Analysis of ChinaLatin America Relations: A View From Wentian Perspectives. Relaciones internacionales, doi: 10.15359/971.2

O., V., Lemak. (2024). 5. Impact of globalization on the security of the nationstate: legal and criminology aspects. Analitičnoporivnâl'ne pravoznavstvo, doi: 10.24144/27886018.2024.02.99

Obsatar, Sinaga., Farhan, Umam., Muhammad, Gildan., Renya, Rosari., I, Wayan, Gede, Suacana. (2024). 2. The Role of International Organizations in Global Governance: Challenges and Opportunities. Global international journal of innovative research, doi: 10.59613/global.v2i2.98

Omar, Abdi, Mohamed, Qasaye. (2023). 2. Governance and International Political Economy. International journal of science and research, doi: 10.21275/sr231218095434

Omar, Abdi, Mohamed, Qasaye. (2023). 2. The Impact of Globalisation on International Relations. International journal of science and research, doi: 10.21275/sr231113213549

Peter, Augustine, Lawler. (2024). 4. 8. Constructivism And International Relations. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780198784890.003.0008

Prabhukar, Luitel. (2024). 1. Role of NonState Actors in National Security. Unity journal, doi: 10.3126/unityj.v5i1.63160

Priangani, A., & Budiana, M. (2021, December). PENGUATAN KETERAMPILAN TEKNIK NEGOSIASI DI KALANGAN SISWA SMA. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat Penguatan Inovasi IPTEKS bagi Pemerintah Daerah* (pp. 2-8). Lembaga Penelitian, Publikasi dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (LP3M) Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

Priangani, A., Oktavian, A., & Budiana, M. (2018). Manajemen Perbatasan Di Wilayah Perbatasan Indonesia Malaysia. *Prosiding Senaspolhi, 1*(1).

Raphaela, Schweiger. (2024). 3. The role of nonstate actors and cities in global migration and refugee governance. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.1005370

S., Y., Zaitsev. (2023). 4. Political implications of the activities of TNCs: Current trends and impact on the concepts of power, legitimacy and sovereignty. doi: 10.48015/2076740420231523564

S.A., Kucherenko. (2023). 3. The Concept of Power and Its Transformation in Political Realism. Полития, doi: 10.30570/2078508920231092618

Serhii, Lysenko., Andrii, Liubchenko., Володимир, Козаков., Yurii, Demianchuk., Yurii, Krutik. (2024). 4. Global cybersecurity: Harmonising international standards and cooperation. Multidisciplinary Reviews, doi: 10.31893/multirev.2024spe021

SUKHDARSHAN, SINGH, . (2024). 5. Globalization and Nation State. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.15708

T., M., Tarasenko., Наталя, Сорокіна., Нінель, КАЦЕНКО., Tetiana, Branitska., Ivan, Kukhar. (2024). 1. International collaboration in public governance: assessing the role of collective initiatives and organisations. Multidisciplinary Science Journal, doi: 10.31893/multiscience.2024ss0715

Trine, Flockhart. (2024). 1. 4. Constructivism and foreign policy. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192863072.003.0004

W., Wohlforth. (2023). 5. Realist constructivism: a new perspective on norm theory. International Trends, doi: 10.17994/it.2023.21.2.73.3

William, D., James. (2024). 2. Appendix 3. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780198896609.005.0003

Yuhang, Chen. (2024). 3. A Comprehensive Analysis of the Economic Development and Income Gap. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences, doi: 10.54254/27541169/89/20241921

Zheng, Xiao. (2024). 4. Globalisation and Income Inequality. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences, doi: 10.54254/27541169/82/20230901

Павел, Игоревич, Севостьянов., Виктор, Игоревич, Мизин. (2024). 3. Climate, nuclear weapons control and cyber threats: three problems or one?. Полис, doi: 10.17976/jpps/2024.03.03.