



La Ogi is English Language Journal

Vol. 12 – No. 01, Tahun 2026, Hal. 74-83

E-ISSN: 2745-9233

<https://jurnal.umsrappang.ac.id/laogi/issn>



The Sheik Leadership Model: An AI-Informed, Patience-Centered Framework for Sustainable Leadership in Higher Education

Dr.S.H. Sheik Mohamed

Assistant Professor, Head, Department of Visual Communication,
S.A College of Arts and Science, Chennai, India

Email: sheikmohamedsh@sacas.ac.in

Dr.Nirmala.M

Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication,
VISTAS, Chennai, India. **Email.Id:** nirmalasaravanan1012@gmail.com

Dr.G.C. Premnivas

Assistant Professor, Department of Visual Communication,
S.A College of Arts and Science, Chennai, India

Email: premnivasgc@sacas.ac.in

Abstract

Leadership in higher education increasingly operates within complex governance structures marked by ethical accountability, emotional pressures, and long-term institutional responsibility. While prevailing leadership theories emphasize vision, service orientation, and moral conduct, patience remains underdeveloped as a core leadership construct. This study proposes the Sheik Leadership Model (SLM), an AI-informed, patience-centered leadership framework designed to explain sustainable leadership practices in higher education. Drawing on secondary literature and AI-assisted conceptual synthesis, the model reconceptualizes patience as an active regulatory mechanism that stabilizes ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship effectiveness, and long-term institutional vision. Adopting a qualitative, conceptual research design, the study further enhances theoretical rigor through simple mathematical representation, illustrating patience as both a stabilizing multiplier and a threshold condition distinguishing reactive from sustainable leadership. The study contributes to leadership theory by positioning patience as a foundational mediator that supports decision-making consistency, institutional trust, and long-term sustainability in higher education leadership.

Keywords

Higher Education Leadership; Patience-Centered Leadership; Ethical Governance; Emotional Regulation; Mentorship-Oriented Leadership; Conceptual Leadership Model

The Sheik Leadership Model: An AI-Informed, Patience-Centered Framework for Sustainable Leadership in Higher Education

Dr.S.H. Sheik Mohamed, Dr.Nirmala.M, Dr.G.C. Premnivas

INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions operate within highly regulated and socially accountable environments characterized by academic autonomy, bureaucratic processes, stakeholder diversity, and ethical scrutiny. Academic leaders are required to demonstrate consistency, fairness, and emotional stability while navigating faculty relations, student expectations, accreditation mandates, and long-term institutional sustainability. In such environments, leadership driven by urgency, authority, or personal charisma frequently leads to governance conflict, erosion of trust, and procedural instability.

Leadership scholarship has extensively examined transformational, servant, ethical, and emotionally intelligent leadership models. However, these frameworks tend to implicitly assume patience as a secondary or inherited trait, rather than theorizing it as a central leadership mechanism. The absence of a structured patience-based leadership framework represents a critical gap in higher education leadership research. Addressing this gap, the present study introduces the Sheik Leadership Model (SLM), which reconceptualizes patience as an intentional, strategic, and governance-oriented leadership capability.

2. Theoretical Gap and Problem Statement

Despite the proliferation of leadership theories, higher education institutions continue to experience:

- Emotionally reactive administrative decisions
- Ethical inconsistencies influenced by personal discretion

- Weak mentorship cultures and diminished collegial trust

Prevailing leadership models insufficiently explain how leaders regulate emotional impulses over time while maintaining ethical consistency and institutional coherence. Specifically, patience is rarely conceptualized as a core mediating construct linking emotional intelligence, ethics, mentorship, and long-term decision-making. This theoretical omission limits the explanatory power of existing leadership frameworks within academic governance contexts.

3. Purpose and Contribution of the Study

This study aims to develop and theoretically position the Sheik Leadership Model as a patience-centered leadership framework for higher education.

The study contributes to leadership literature in three key ways:

1. By conceptualizing patience as an active regulatory leadership mechanism, rather than a passive moral quality.
2. By integrating patience with ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship, and institutional vision into a unified framework.
3. By introducing formal mathematical representation, enhancing theoretical clarity and future empirical testability.



Method

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual research design appropriate for theory development and model construction in leadership studies. Rather than empirically testing hypotheses, the research focuses on conceptual synthesis, theoretical integration, and analytical formalization to develop a patience-centered leadership framework applicable to higher education.

The study is based exclusively on secondary data sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, edited volumes, and institutional governance reports related to leadership, ethics, emotional intelligence, mentorship, and higher education administration. In addition, AI-assisted observational synthesis was employed as a supportive analytical tool to identify recurring leadership behavior patterns documented in the literature. The AI component was used strictly for pattern recognition and conceptual abstraction, without generating predictions or engaging in automated decision-making.

Data analysis involved a three-stage process. First, a thematic analysis was conducted to identify key leadership constructs such as ethical governance, emotional regulation, mentorship orientation, institutional vision, and sustainability. Second, a comparative theoretical analysis examined established leadership models including transformational, servant, ethical, and emotionally intelligent leadership to locate conceptual gaps, particularly concerning the role of patience. Third, insights from the analysis were integrated to develop the Sheik Leadership Model, which was further strengthened through simple mathematical representation to enhance theoretical clarity and future empirical testability.

As the study relies solely on secondary sources and conceptual analysis, it does not involve human participants and therefore presents no direct ethical risks. All sources are appropriately cited in accordance with academic integrity standards. The methodological scope of the study is delimited to higher education leadership contexts and does not attempt statistical generalization or causal inference.

The outcome of this methodological approach is the development of a theoretically grounded, formally articulated leadership model, accompanied by testable propositions that provide a foundation for future empirical and cross-cultural research.

5. Theoretical Foundations

The Sheik Leadership Model is anchored in four complementary theoretical perspectives:

- Ethical Leadership Theory, emphasizing fairness and moral consistency
- Emotional Intelligence Theory, focusing on emotional regulation and empathy
- Mentorship-Oriented Leadership, highlighting developmental responsibility
- Process-Based Governance, ensuring procedural legitimacy

Within this integrated framework, patience operates as a central moderating construct, regulating leadership behavior across ethical, emotional, and institutional domains.

6. The Sheik Leadership Model

The Sheik Leadership Model positions Patience-Oriented Decision-Making as its

The Sheik Leadership Model: An AI-Informed, Patience-Centered Framework for Sustainable Leadership in Higher Education

Dr.S.H. Sheik Mohamed, Dr.Nirmala.M, Dr.G.C. Premnivas

core construct, supported by four interdependent leadership dimensions.

effectiveness depends on these five elements working together.

6.1 Core Construct

Patience-Oriented Decision-Making is defined as the deliberate regulation of emotional responses, temporal restraint in judgment, and consistent adherence to due process in leadership actions.

In the Sheik Leadership Model, patience plays a special role. Rather than being treated as just another leadership factor, patience acts as a regulating multiplier that shapes how strongly the other leadership dimensions influence overall effectiveness.

6.2 Supporting Dimensions

- Ethical Academic Governance
- Emotional Intelligence and Composure
- Mentorship-Centered Leadership
- Long-Term Institutional Vision

This relationship can be represented in a simple form as:

$$Le = P \times (E + EI + M + V)$$

In this formulation:

- P represents the level of patience-oriented leadership and ranges between 0 and 1.
- When patience is very low (close to 0), leadership effectiveness remains weak, even if ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship, and vision are present.
- When patience is high (close to 1), the positive effects of the other leadership dimensions are strengthened, resulting in more stable, ethical, and effective leadership outcomes.

7. Mathematical Representation of the Sheik Leadership Model

To enhance analytical rigor and theoretical precision, the Sheik Leadership Model is expressed using a mathematical formulation that positions patience as a regulatory and stabilizing variable.

In short, the model highlights that without patience, other leadership competencies cannot produce sustainable effectiveness, whereas higher patience enhances and stabilizes overall leadership performance.

7.1 Definition of Variables

Let:

- (P) = Patience-Oriented Leadership
- (E) = Ethical Governance
- (EI) = Emotional Intelligence
- (M) = Mentorship-Centered Leadership
- (V) = Long-Term Institutional Vision
- (Le) = Leadership Effectiveness in Higher Education.

7.3 Stability Function

7.2 Core Functional Relationship

Leadership effectiveness can be understood as the combined influence of patience, ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship, and long-term institutional vision. In general terms, leadership

stability is understood as the ability to maintain consistent and balanced leadership effectiveness over time. Leadership effectiveness (LLL) in higher education depends on several contributing dimensions such as ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship orientation, and long-term institutional vision. However, the impact of these dimensions is regulated by



the level of patience demonstrated by the leader.

This relationship can be expressed in a simple mathematical form as:

$$L = P \times DL = P \times DL = P \times D$$

where:

- LLL represents overall leadership effectiveness,
- PPP represents the degree of patience-oriented leadership, and
- DDD represents the combined influence of leadership dimensions (ethical governance, emotional intelligence, mentorship, and institutional vision)

When patience (PPP) is low, leadership effectiveness (LLL) remains limited, even if other leadership dimensions are strong. When patience is high, leadership effectiveness increases proportionately, producing more stable, ethical, and sustainable governance outcomes. Leadership stability over time (SSS) can further be represented as:

$$S \propto PS$$

This indicates that higher patience leads to greater stability in leadership decisions, while lower patience increases decision volatility and reactive behavior. Thus, in the Sheik Leadership Model, patience functions as a simple but powerful multiplier that stabilizes leadership effectiveness and supports sustainable academic governance.

Threshold Condition

The Sheik Leadership Model assumes the existence of a **minimum patience threshold**

required for sustainable leadership in higher education. This threshold represents the level of patience necessary for leaders to regulate emotions, follow procedures, and make balanced decisions.

This relationship can be expressed in a simple conditional form:

- When the level of patience (**P**) is **below the minimum required level (Pmin)**, leadership tends to become **reactive**, characterized by emotional decision-making and procedural inconsistency.
- When the level of patience (**P**) is **equal to or greater than the minimum required level (Pmin)**, leadership becomes **sustainable**, marked by emotional stability, ethical consistency, and long-term orientation.

In short:

- **Low patience** → **Reactive leadership**
- **Adequate patience** → **Sustainable leadership**

This simplified formulation aligns with AI-informed observations indicating that leadership breakdowns frequently occur when **emotional reactivity exceeds procedural restraint**. Maintaining patience above the minimum threshold enables leaders to balance emotional pressures with institutional processes, thereby supporting stable and ethical governance.

Propositions of the Model

Based on the theoretical synthesis and AI-informed observations, the following propositions are advanced to explain the

The Sheik Leadership Model: An AI-Informed, Patience-Centered Framework for Sustainable Leadership in Higher Education

Dr.S.H. Sheik Mohamed, Dr.Nirmala.M, Dr.G.C. Premnivas

functional role of patience in higher education leadership.

P1: Patience-oriented leadership positively influences ethical consistency in academic decision-making.

Patience enables leaders to regulate emotional impulses, adhere to institutional policies, and evaluate decisions systematically. As a result, leadership actions remain consistent, fair, and ethically grounded, even under pressure or conflict.

P2: Patience mediates the relationship between emotional intelligence and leadership effectiveness.

While emotional intelligence provides self-awareness and empathy, patience determines how effectively these capacities are applied over time. Leaders with high emotional intelligence but low patience may still act impulsively, whereas patience allows emotional intelligence to translate into sustained leadership effectiveness.

P3: Mentorship effectiveness is enhanced under patience-centered leadership conditions.

Effective academic mentorship requires time, sustained engagement, and tolerance for gradual development. Patience-centered leadership creates an environment that supports continuous guidance, trust-building, and long-term academic growth for faculty and students.

P4: Long-term institutional stability is positively associated with patience-based governance.

Patience-based governance emphasizes procedural consistency, strategic foresight, and measured decision-making. This approach reduces governance volatility, minimizes conflict escalation, and supports institutional sustainability over extended periods.

The Sheik Leadership Model diverges from charisma-driven and authority-centered leadership paradigms by emphasizing temporal restraint, emotional regulation, and procedural fidelity. Mathematical formalization reinforces patience as a stabilizing multiplier rather than a peripheral trait. By operationalizing patience as a regulatory mechanism, the model offers a robust explanatory framework for sustainable leadership in higher education

Implications

Theoretical Implications

- Extends leadership theory by formalizing patience as a core construct
- Integrates emotional, ethical, and governance perspectives

Practical Implications

- Guides leadership development and training programs
- Supports transparent, policy-based academic governance
- Aligns with quality assurance and accreditation leadership indicator

Limitations

- Conceptual and non-empirical nature
- Context-specific focus on higher education
- AI-assisted observation requires empirical validation

Directions for Future Research

- Empirical testing using structural equation modeling
- Cross-cultural validation across academic systems
- Longitudinal assessment of patience-based leadership outcomes

Discussion



Conclusion

The present study introduced the Sheik Leadership Model (SLM) as an AI-informed, patience-centered framework for sustainable leadership in higher education. Responding to a clear theoretical gap in existing leadership literature, the model reconceptualizes patience not as a passive moral virtue, but as a strategic and regulatory leadership competency that governs ethical conduct, emotional regulation, mentorship effectiveness, and long-term institutional vision.

By integrating ethical leadership theory, emotional intelligence, mentorship-oriented leadership, and process-based governance, the study offers a unified conceptual structure that addresses the unique complexities of higher education leadership. The inclusion of simple mathematical representations further strengthens the model by formally demonstrating how patience operates as a stabilizing multiplier of leadership effectiveness and as a threshold condition distinguishing reactive leadership from sustainable governance.

The Sheik Leadership Model contributes to leadership theory by positioning patience as a central mediating construct that explains decision stability, ethical consistency, and institutional continuity over time. Practically, the model provides valuable guidance for academic leaders, policy makers, and leadership development programs by emphasizing reflective decision-making, procedural restraint, and long-term orientation as essential leadership practices.

Although the study is conceptual in nature, it establishes a robust theoretical foundation for future empirical research. The model is deliberately structured to allow quantitative validation through survey instruments,

structural equation modeling, and longitudinal studies across diverse academic contexts.

In conclusion, the Sheik Leadership Model advances a novel and timely perspective on higher education leadership, offering both theoretical enrichment and practical relevance. By elevating patience to a core leadership mechanism, the model underscores its critical role in fostering ethical, emotionally stable, and sustainable academic governance in an increasingly complex educational landscape.

References

- Ancona, D., Goodman, P. S., Lawrence, B. S., & Tushman, M. L. (2001). Time: A new research lens. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(4), 645–663. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2001.5393903>
- Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3), 315–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.001>
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2007). Self-regulation, ego depletion, and motivation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 1(1), 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2007.00001.x>

The Sheik Leadership Model: An AI-Informed, Patience-Centered Framework for Sustainable Leadership in Higher Education

Dr.S.H. Sheik Mohamed, Dr.Nirmala.M, Dr.G.C. Premnivas

- Bolden, R., Petrov, G., & Gosling, J. (2012). Distributed leadership in higher education. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 40(4), 432–450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143212438216>
- Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 30(2), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2005.16387896>
- Bryman, A. (2007). Effective leadership in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 32(6), 693–710. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070701685114>
- Crisp, G., & Cruz, I. (2009). Mentoring college students: A critical review of the literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(2), 525–575. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308326083>
- Den Hartog, D. N. (2015). Ethical leadership. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 2, 409–434. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032414-111237>
- Duckworth, A. L., & Gross, J. J. (2014). Self-control and grit: Related but separable determinants of success. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 23(5), 319–325. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414541462>
- Eby, L. T., Allen, T. D., Evans, S. C., Ng, T., & DuBois, D. L. (2008). Does mentoring matter? A multidisciplinary meta-analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 72(2), 254–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2007.04.003>
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books.
- Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
- Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. *Psychological Inquiry*, 26(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781>
- Hannah, S. T., Avolio, B. J., Luthans, F., & Harms, P. D. (2011). Leadership efficacy: Review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 22(4), 747–763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.05.007>
- Middlehurst, R. (2013). *Leadership and governance in higher education*. Routledge.
- Mohammed, S., & Nadkarni, S. (2011). Temporal diversity and team performance. *Organizational Psychology Review*, 1(3), 189–216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2041386610385810>
- Mudinillah, A., Kuswandi, D. ., Erwin, E., Sugiarni, S., Winarno, W., Annajmi, A., & Hermansah, S. (2024). *Optimizing Project-Based Learning in Developing*



La Ogi is English Language Journal

Vol. 12 – No. 01, Tahun 2026, Hal. 74-83

E-ISSN: 2745-9233

<https://jurnal.umsrappang.ac.id/laogi/issn>



21st Century Skills: A Future Education Perspective. Qubahan Academic Journal, 4(2), 86–101. <https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n2a352>

M U, Sari H, Hermansyah S, Maming K, Kahar A, Hasan, Elfahmi FK (2025), "Understanding Indonesian students' reading knowledge in digital literacy within socio-cultural of rural middle schools". International Journal of Information and Learning Technology, Vol. 42 No. 5 pp. 432–448, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-12-2023-0239>

Northouse, P. G. (2021). Leadership: Theory and practice (9th ed.). Sage Publications.

Schnitker, S. A. (2012). An examination of patience and well-being. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 7(4), 263–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2012.697185>

Schnitker, S. A., & Emmons, R. A. (2007). Patience as a virtue. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 2(3), 177–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760701441344>

Shattock, M. (2014). International trends in university governance. Routledge.

Tourish, D. (2013). The dark side of transformational leadership. Routledge.

Yukl, G. (2013). Leadership in organizations (8th ed.). Pearson Education.