THE INFLUENCE OF PRICE, FACILITIES, AND SERVICE QUALITY ON PARENTAL SATISFACTION (AT NURUL HUDA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN SURABAYA CITY)

Shinta NURLAILIYAH[1]
[1]IBMT Surabaya College of Economics, Indonesia
Corresponding author: Shinta Nurlailiyah
Email: shintanurlailiyah13@gmail.com

Abstract:
This study aims further to examine the "Influence of Price, Facilities, and Service Quality on Parent Satisfaction" at MI Nurul Huda. The main issue addressed is the relationship between these three variables and the level of parent satisfaction. The background of this research is driven by parents' high expectations regarding educational quality and provided facilities, as well as the role of price, which is expected to align with service quality. The objectives are to analyze the individual impact of price, facilities, and service quality on customer satisfaction, as well as their combined (simultaneous) effect on overall parent satisfaction. A quantitative method with a survey approach was used, employing questionnaires distributed to parents of students from grades 1 to 6. The population consisted of all students at MI Nurul Huda, with a sample of 80 respondents selected using the Slovin formula. Data analysis involved multiple linear regression, and validity was tested using Spearman's Rank correlation. The results show that, in summary, neither price nor facilities significantly affect parent satisfaction, whereas service quality has a positive and significant impact. Additionally, the three variables together significantly influence the level of parent satisfaction. The conclusion is that service quality is the main factor affecting parent satisfaction. Although price and facilities do not have a significant effect individually, they contribute to overall satisfaction when combined.

Keywords: Price, Facilities, Service Quality, Parent Satisfaction

INTRODUCTION

Education plays a crucial role in shaping the personality and future of students. In this increasingly complex era, the quality of education is a determining factor in how well individuals adapt to change. Community participation is essential to support the creation of a generation that is not only intellectually intelligent but also imbued with noble morals and strong character. In urban areas like Surabaya, educational institutions, particularly Islamic Elementary Schools (MI), bear the essential responsibility of equipping students with the skills necessary to face future challenges, focusing not only on academics but also on character development from an early age.

MI Nurul Huda Surabaya, founded in 1995, has been committed to providing learning that balances academics and character development. Parental satisfaction is an important indicator of a school's success, as it reflects public trust in the quality of educational services provided. Parental satisfaction is a key factor in determining the success of an educational institution. Satisfied parents tend to be more willing to support school activities and place their full trust in the institution to educate their children. Therefore, it is important to examine the factors that influence parent satisfaction. This research specifically focuses on three main factors: price, facilities, and service quality at MI Nurul Huda, which interact and influence parents' perceptions.

Tuition fees are a crucial factor for parents when choosing a school. Transparency in cost information can foster trust and comfort in the educational investment process. Reasonable and affordable prices are a major attraction for educational institutions. Furthermore, the availability of

facilities also influences the quality of the learning process. Adequate facilities and infrastructure, such as comfortable classrooms, complete teaching aids, and other supporting facilities, create a conducive learning environment, which in turn encourages optimal student learning.

Furthermore, the quality of services provided by the school also plays a significant role in fostering parent satisfaction. Good communication between the school (teachers and educational staff) and parents fosters trust, creates harmonious relationships, and fosters mutual support for children's educational success. High levels of parent satisfaction not only positively impact the educational institution but also significantly impact student development, as students who learn in an environment supported by satisfied parents tend to have higher learning motivation and achieve better academic performance.

To further understand this issue, researchers present data on the number of students and teachers at MI Nurul Huda over the past three years. This data provides an overview of the growth and development of MI Nurul Huda.

Table 1. Number of Students at MI Nurul Huda

No.	Student	2023	2024	2025
1	Class I	66	69	69
2	Class II	85	60	61
3	Class III	66	65	70
4	Class IV	83	73	75
5	Class V	74	60	60
6	Class VI	69	75	75
	Total	443	402	410

Source: MI Nurul Huda Internal Data (2025)

The increase in student enrollment, despite a slight decline in 2024, reflects the level of public trust in the quality of educational services provided by the school. To support this learning process, MI Nurul Huda is supported by a number of teaching staff with appropriate qualifications.

Table 2. Number of Teachers at MI Nurul Huda

No.	Teacher	2023	2024	2025
1	Man	8	7	9
2	Woman	17	19	17
	Total	25	26	26

Source: MI Nurul Huda Internal Data (2025)

As the number of students increases, MI Nurul Huda strives to maintain the quality of education by paying attention to the student-to-student ratio. The addition of qualified teaching staff is expected to improve interaction between teachers and students and ensure that each student receives adequate attention. However, despite the many positive factors in MI Nurul Huda's development, challenges remain. Some parents stated that the tuition fees they pay do not fully reflect the quality of the facilities and services they receive. This situation can lead to dissatisfaction, which impacts the level of trust in the educational institution.

Therefore, an in-depth study is needed to determine the extent to which price, facilities, and service quality influence parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda. This research is urgent for several reasons:

- Identifying Critical Factors: To identify in-depth the influence of price, facilities, and service quality on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda. • Basis for Strategic Decision-Making: Provides a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between these three variables, which can serve as a basis for MI Nurul Huda management to develop strategic recommendations to improve the quality of services and facilities.
- Increased Competitiveness: Facing increasingly fierce competition among educational institutions, innovation in services and facilities is crucial for retaining and attracting more parents.
- Academic and Practical Contributions: The results of this study are expected to serve as a reference for other educational institutions in their efforts to improve the quality of services and learning experiences for students and parents, as well as facilitate more efficient resource management and the formulation of more effective marketing strategies.

This study has several main objectives:

- Analyze the effect of price on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.
- Analyze the effect of facilities on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.
- Analyze the effect of service quality on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.
- Analyze the simultaneous influence of price, facilities, and service quality on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

Based on the background, this research's theoretical framework describes the relationship between the independent variables (Price, Facilities, Service Quality) and the dependent variable (Parent Satisfaction). This framework serves as the basis for developing the research hypotheses.


Figure 1. Theoretical Framework

Referring to the previously outlined framework, the hypotheses proposed in this study include:

H1: Price influences parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

H2: Facilities influence parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

H3: Service quality influences parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

H4: Price, facilities, and service quality simultaneously influence parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

METHODS

This research adopted a quantitative approach using a survey method. The quantitative approach was chosen because it aimed to examine the interplay between variables through numerical data processing and statistical analysis, allowing for generalizability of findings and providing a clear picture of the magnitude of each variable's influence. The variables studied included price (X1), facilities (X2), and service quality (X3) as independent variables, and parent satisfaction (Y) as the dependent variable.

MI Nurul Huda was selected as the research location based on its reputation for providing quality education and its large and diverse parent population.

Population: The population of this study was all parents whose children were enrolled at MI Nurul Huda Surabaya, totaling 410 students.

Sample: Given the relatively small population and the characteristics of parents who had at least one year of experience at MI Nurul Huda, a census sampling technique was used. However, to ensure a representative sample size, the Slovin formula was applied with a 10% margin of error.

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

Where:
- (n) = Number of samples sought
- (N) = Population size
- (e) = Margin of error (in this case 10%)

Calculation:

Given:

    N = 410
     e = 0,10

So:

$$n = \frac{410}{1 + 410(0,10)^2}$$
$$n = \frac{410}{1 + 410\ X\ 0,01}$$
$$n = \frac{410}{1 + 4,1}$$
$$n = \frac{410}{5,1}$$
$$n = 80,3/80$$

Using the Slovin formula and a 10% error rate, a sample of 80 respondents was obtained from a total population of 410 students, which served as the data source for this study.

Quantitative data analysis was conducted after data were collected from all respondents. This process included grouping data, organizing it into tables, and systematically presenting the information. The data were analyzed to answer the research questions and test the hypotheses.

Validity Test: Conducted to ensure the instrument accurately measures the variables. Validity decisions are based on comparing the correlation coefficient (r-value) with the r-value at a significance level of 0.05. If the r-value is greater than the r-value, the questionnaire is considered valid.

Reliability Test: Conducted to measure the consistency of the instrument. Cronbach's Alpha (α) was used for testing. The instrument is considered reliable if the Cronbach's Alpha value exceeds 0.60.

Classical Assumption Test; Normality Test: Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with SPSS. Data are considered normally distributed if the significance value (p-value) is greater than 0.05. If the data are not normal, the analysis is continued with the Spearman correlation test.

Multicollinearity Test: Conducted to detect correlation between independent variables. Criteria: Tolerance value > 0.10 and VIF < 10.

Heteroscedasticity Test: Conducted by analyzing the scatterplot between predicted and residual values. If the points are randomly distributed without a pattern, the model is free of heteroscedasticity.

Autocorrelation Test: Use the Durbin-Watson (DW) test. A DW value close to 2 indicates no autocorrelation. A range of 1.5 to 2.5 is considered safe.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis: Used to determine the simultaneous influence of several independent variables on a single dependent variable. The multiple linear regression equation is:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 \times_1 + \beta_2 \times_2 + \beta_3 \times_3 + \varepsilon$$

Y   = Parent Satisfaction
A   = Constant
B   = Regression Coefficient
X1   = Price
X2   = Facilities
X3   = Service Quality
E   = Error

Hypothesis Testing.

- Partial t-Statistic Test: Tests the individual influence of independent variables. If the significance value (p-value) is <0.05, the effect is significant.
- Simultaneous F-Statistic Test: Tests the joint influence of independent variables. A calculated F-value greater than the F-table, and a significance value (p-value less than 0.05, indicates a significant effect.
- Coefficient of Determination (R^2): Measures the proportion of variation in the dependent variable explained by the independent variables. An R^2 value close to 1 indicates a good model.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

MI Nurul Huda is an elementary school that has been operating since 1995, located at Jalan Sambisari I No. 5, Lontar Village, Sambikerep District, Surabaya City. This school was founded with the vision of developing a young generation with noble morals, intelligence, and character in accordance with Islamic teachings. With an approach that integrates academic and moral development, MI Nurul Huda is committed to producing students who excel intellectually and possess good character and morals.

Over time, MI Nurul Huda continues to adapt and innovate to improve the quality of its educational services. The school has a total student body of approximately 410. This substantial student population demonstrates the school's appeal and the community's trust in it over the years.

With this large student body, MI Nurul Huda is supported by 26 professional and experienced teaching staff and administrative staff, ensuring an effective and efficient learning process.

Respondent identities are presented to illustrate the background and circumstances of the parents of the students sampled in this study. Respondents were classified based on gender, age, and their experience supporting their children's education at MI Nurul Huda. A total of 80 respondents were selected, all active parents with at least one year of experience at MI Nurul Huda. Given the limited number of parents at MI Nurul Huda, and all of them meeting these criteria, the researcher used a saturated sampling technique.

Validity Test. A validity test was conducted to ensure that the research instrument, the questionnaire, was able to measure the variables studied accurately and precisely. An instrument is considered valid if each item has a significant correlation with the total score. In this study, validity testing was conducted on all items in the variables of price, facilities, service quality, and parent satisfaction.

Table 3. Validity Test for Price Variable

Price Variable	R Count	R Table	Probability	Information
X1.1	0,885	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.1.2	0,907	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.1.3	0,891	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.1.4	0,852	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.1.5	0,870	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.1.6	0,828	0,195	0,000	Valid

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the data in the table, all items in the Price variable have calculated r values greater than the table r values. This indicates that each statement item in this variable meets the validity criteria and is suitable for use as a data collection instrument in this study.

Table 4. Validity Test of the Facilities Variable

Price Variable	R Count	R Table	Probability	Information
X2.1	0,730	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.2.2	0,851	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.2.3	0,868	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.2.4	0,818	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.2.5	0,849	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.2.6	0,850	0,195	0,000	Valid

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the data presented in the table, all items in the facilities variable have a calculated r value higher than the table r value. This indicates that each statement item in this variable meets the validity criteria and is suitable for use as a data collection instrument in this study.

Table 5. Validity Test of Service Quality Variables

Price Variable	R Count	R Table	Probability	Information
X3.1	0,787	0,195	0,000	Valid

X.3.2	0,930	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.3.3	0,924	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.3.4	0,890	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.3.5	0,899	0,195	0,000	Valid
X.3.6	0,883	0,195	0,000	Valid
X3.7	0,869	0,195	0,000	Valid
X3.8	0,914	0,195	0,000	Valid
X3.9	0,936	0,195	0,000	Valid
X3.10	0,927	0,195	0,000	Valid

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Referring to the data presented in the table, all items in the service quality variable have a calculated r value greater than the table r value. This indicates that each statement item in the variable meets validity requirements and is suitable for use as a data collection instrument in this study.

Table 6. Validity Test of the Parent Satisfaction Variable

Price Variable	R Count	R Table	Probability	Information
Y1	0,870	0,195	0,000	Valid
Y2	0,831	0,195	0,000	Valid
Y3	0,852	0,195	0,000	Valid
Y4	0,849	0,195	0,000	Valid
Y5	0,716	0,195	0,000	Valid
Y6	0,606	0,195	0,000	Valid

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the data in the table, all items in the parent satisfaction variable show calculated r values greater than the table r values. This indicates that each item in the variable meets the validity criteria and is suitable for use as a data collection instrument in this study.

Reliability Test. The purpose of the reliability test is to measure the consistency or stability of the instrument in producing reliable data. An instrument is considered reliable if the measurement results show high consistency even when administered at different times. Reliability testing is conducted using Cronbach's Alpha, where a score greater than 0.60 indicates an adequate level of reliability.

Table 7. Reliability Test

Variable	Reliability Test	Item	Cronbach Alpha	Information
Price	0,637	6	0,60	Reliable
Facilities	0,871	6	0,60	Reliable
Service Quality	0,904	10	0,60	Reliable
Parent Satisfaction	0,889	6	0,60	Reliable

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Referring to the results of the reliability test table, all variables in this study had Cronbach's Alpha values above 0.60. This indicates that the questionnaire instrument for the variables Price, Facilities, Service Quality, and Parental Satisfaction met reliability standards, making it reliable and suitable for use in research data collection.

Classical Assumption Test; Normality Test. The normality test in this study aims to ensure that the residual data from the regression model are normally distributed. The test was conducted using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test as an appropriate statistical method.

Table 8. Kolmogorov-Smirnov Normality Test

Sample	Kolmogorov-Smirnov value	Signification	Information
80	0,05	0,000	Abnormal

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Referring to the results of the normality test using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the significance value (Sig.) for all variables was less than 0.05 (Sig. = 0.000). This indicates that the data is not normally distributed. Therefore, the next analysis used the non-parametric correlation test, Spearman's Rho, which is suitable for examining relationships between variables without having to meet the assumption of normality. The results of the Spearman correlation test between the variables price, facilities, service quality, and parent satisfaction are presented in the following table:

Table 9. Spearman Correlation Test

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Sign.(p)	Information
Price	0,574	0,000	Significant
Facilities	0,799	0,000	Significant
Service Quality	0,850	0,000	Significant

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Referring to the results in Table 3.13, we can conclude that:
- There is a positive and significant relationship between the Price variable (X1) and Parent Satisfaction (Y), indicated by a correlation coefficient of 0.574.
- The Facilities variable (X2) also has a positive and significant relationship with Parent Satisfaction (Y), with a correlation coefficient of 0.799.
- The strongest relationship is found between the Service Quality variable (X3) and Parent Satisfaction (Y), with a correlation coefficient of 0.850.
- Thus, although the data is not normally distributed, the three independent variables still show a significant relationship with the dependent variable based on the Spearman correlation test.

Multicollinearity Test. The multicollinearity test was conducted to detect high correlations between independent variables in the regression model, to ensure the stability and reliability of the regression coefficient estimates. According to applicable criteria, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value should be less than 10, and the tolerance value should be more than 0.1.

Table 10. Multicollinearity Test

Model	VIF
(Constant)	
Price	1,816
Facilities	4,344
Service Quality	3,734

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

In this study, the results of the multicollinearity test shown in the table show that the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value for each variable is below the threshold of 10, and the tolerance value also exceeds 0.1. Thus, the regression model used does not show any indication of multicollinearity.

Heteroscedasticity Test. The heteroscedasticity test is conducted to evaluate whether the residual variance in the regression model is constant or exhibits a specific pattern. The test is performed through a scatterplot analysis of the residuals and predicted values. If the points on the scatterplot are randomly distributed and do not form a specific pattern, the model is considered free of heteroscedasticity.

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025
Figure 2. Scatterplot Heteroscedasticity Test

Based on Figure 2, the results of the scatterplot analysis show that the data points are randomly distributed without forming any particular pattern, either a straight line or a wavy pattern. This condition indicates that the residual variance is constant; thus, the assumption of homoscedasticity is met. Therefore, the regression model used in this study does not experience heteroscedasticity.

Autocorrelation Test. The autocorrelation test aims to detect a relationship or correlation between the residuals of one observation and the residuals of other observations. This test is important, especially in regression analysis using time series data. In this study, the autocorrelation test was conducted using the Durbin-Watson (DW) statistic. A DW value approaching 2 indicates that there is no autocorrelation in the analyzed regression model.

Table 11. Autocorrelation Test

Sample	Durbin Watson Values
80	2,269

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the autocorrelation test results shown in the table, the Durbin-Watson (DW) value obtained was 2.269. With a sample size of 80 (n) and 3 independent variables (Price, Facilities, and Service Quality), at the 5% significance level, the lower limit (dL) value was 1.600 and the upper limit (dU) value was 1.690 (this value needs to be reconfirmed using the Durbin-Watson table corresponding to N=80 and k=3). Based on the decision-making criteria, if dU < DW < 4–dU, there is no autocorrelation in the model. In this case, the DW value of 2.269 falls between 1.690 and 2.310 (4 – dU), thus concluding that the regression model used does not experience autocorrelation issues.

Multiple Linear Regression Test. The multiple linear regression test was used to measure the extent to which the independent variables, namely Price, Facilities, and Service Quality, influence the dependent variable, namely Parent Satisfaction. Furthermore, this test also aims to determine the direction of the relationship between the variables, whether it is positive or negative, as indicated by the regression coefficient values generated from the analysis model.

Table 12. Multiple Linear Regression Test

Variable	B	Std. Eror	Beta	t	Sig. (p)
(Constant)	0,994	1,010	-	0,984	0,328
Price	0,040	0,067	0,034	0,604	0,548
Facilities	-0,014	0,092	-0,013	-0,148	0,882
Service Quality	0,550	0,049	0,920	11,291	0,000

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the data presented in the table above, the resulting multiple linear regression equation is as follows:

$$Y = 0.0994 + 0.040X1 + 0.014X2 + 0.550X3 + e$$

Based on the results of the multiple linear regression analysis, the explanation for each variable in the regression model is as follows:

1. The constant value (Y) of 0.0994 indicates that if all independent variables—namely Price, Facilities, and Service Quality—are considered to have no effect (zero), then the level of parent satisfaction remains at the baseline value of 0.0994. This indicates an inherent initial level of satisfaction, even without the contribution of these three variables.
2. The regression coefficient for the price variable of 0.040 indicates that every one-unit increase in perception of price will increase parent satisfaction by 0.040 units. However, a significance value of 0.548 (>0.05) indicates that the effect of the price variable on parent satisfaction is not statistically significant. Therefore, price perception has not been proven to have a significant influence on parent satisfaction.
3. The regression coefficient for the Facilities variable of 0.014 indicates that a one-unit increase in perception of facilities is followed by a 0.014-unit increase in parent satisfaction. However, a significance value of 0.882 (>0.05) indicates that this effect is not significant. This means that perception of facilities is not a determining factor in directly influencing parent satisfaction.
4. The regression coefficient for the Service Quality variable of 0.550 indicates that every one-unit increase in perception of service quality increases parent satisfaction by 0.550 units. With a significance value of 0.000 (<0.05), the effect of this variable is statistically significant. Therefore, service quality is the most dominant factor influencing parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.

Hypothesis Testing; T-Test (Partial). The t-test is used to examine the partial effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable. This test is performed by comparing the significance value (p-value) of each variable to the predetermined significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Table 13. T-Test (Partial)

Variabel	Signification	α	Information
Price	0,548	0,05	No effect
Facility	0,882	0,05	No effect
Quality of Service	0,000	0,05	Influential

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the results in the table, the significance value for the Price variable is 0.548, and for Facilities, it is 0.882, both greater than the 0.05 level of significance. This indicates that these two variables do not have a significant partial effect on parent satisfaction. Conversely, the Service

Quality variable has a significance value of 0.000, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, only the service quality variable has a significant influence on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda, Surabaya City.

F Test (Simultaneous). The F test is used to determine whether the independent variables, namely Price, Facilities, and Service Quality, simultaneously have a significant effect on the dependent variable, Parent Satisfaction. This test aims to ensure that the three independent variables, when viewed as a whole, contribute significantly to variations in parent satisfaction levels.

Table 14. F Test (Simultaneous)

Variabel	Signification	α	Information
Price	0,548	0,05	No effect
Facility	0,882	0,05	No effect
Quality of Service	0,000	0,05	Influential

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the results in the table, the significance value for the Price variable is 0.548, and for Facilities, it is 0.882, both greater than the 0.05 significance level. This indicates that these two variables do not have a significant partial effect on parent satisfaction. Conversely, the Service Quality variable has a significance value of 0.000, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, only the service quality variable has a significant influence on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda, Surabaya City.

Determination Test (R2). The coefficient of determination (R^2) test is used to assess how much of the variation in the dependent variable can be explained by the independent variables in the regression model. The higher the R^2 value and the closer it is to 1, the better the model is at explaining the influence of the independent variables on the dependent variable.

Table 15. Determination Test

R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
0,930	0,865	0,859	1,245	2,269

Source: Processed by Researchers, 2025

Based on the data in the table, the coefficient of determination (R^2) of 0.865 indicates that 86.5% of the variation in the dependent variable, namely parent satisfaction level, can be explained by the independent variables: price, facilities, and service quality. Therefore, it can be concluded that these three independent variables contribute significantly to parent satisfaction, while other variables outside this research model explain the remaining 13.5%.

Discussion of Results: The Effect of Price on Parent Satisfaction. Partial test results indicate that the price variable does not significantly influence parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda (Sig. = 0.548 > 0.05). This finding indicates that although price is a factor considered by parents, it is not the primary determinant of their satisfaction. This could occur if parents have higher expectations for other aspects, such as the quality of education or services, or if they perceive the fees as being in line with general standards at similar educational institutions. These results are consistent with research by Hadyarti and Kurniawan (2022) and Nazarudin and Nurleli (2020), which also found that price is not always a significant factor in customer satisfaction in certain contexts.

The Influence of Facilities on Parental Satisfaction. The Facilities variable also did not show a significant partial effect on parent satisfaction (Sig. = 0.882 > 0.05). This suggests that parents may already consider the facilities available at MI Nurul Huda adequate or standard, and therefore are no longer a differentiating factor that directly increases or decreases their satisfaction. Parents may be more focused on the non-physical aspects of education. This finding aligns with research by

Nurfadlun et al. (2022) and Wahyono and Nuraini (2023), which reported similar results. However, it should be noted that other studies, such as Lumempow et al. (2023), found that facilities had a significant effect, indicating that context and respondents' expectations significantly influenced the results.

The Effect of Service Quality on Parental Satisfaction. In contrast to price and facilities, the Service Quality variable was shown to have a positive and significant effect on parent satisfaction (Sig. = 0.000 < 0.05). This is the most dominant finding in this study, confirming that the interaction, responsiveness, professionalism, and empathy of MI Nurul Huda staff and teachers are crucial in shaping parent satisfaction. The better the quality of service provided, the higher the level of parent satisfaction. These results are consistent with existing literature and previous research by Novad and Hendrawan (2024) and Sumirat et al. (2023), which emphasize the importance of service quality in building customer satisfaction.

The Simultaneous Effect of Price, Facilities, and Service Quality on Parental Satisfaction. Although price and facilities did not have a significant partial effect, the F-test results indicate that all three variables (Price, Facilities, and Service Quality) simultaneously significantly influenced parental satisfaction (Sig. = 0.000 < 0.05). This means that while price and facilities may not be the primary determinants individually, their combination and interaction are significant in explaining parental satisfaction levels. The regression model used was able to explain 86.5% of the variation in parental satisfaction, indicating that these three factors collectively contribute significantly. This finding is supported by research by Sinaga et al. (2020) and Lumempow et al. (2022/2023), which also found a significant simultaneous effect of similar factors.

Overall, this discussion underscores that in the context of MI Nurul Huda, service quality is the primary driver of parental satisfaction. However, price and facilities remain integral parts of the overall experience, which, when combined with service quality, significantly influence parental satisfaction. This shows that a holistic approach in school management is necessary to achieve optimal parent satisfaction.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study on the influence of price, facilities, and service quality on parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda, it can be concluded that:

- Price does not have a significant partial effect on parent satisfaction. This indicates that although price is a consideration, it is not the dominant factor individually determining parent satisfaction at MI Nurul Huda.
- Facilities also have a significant partial effect on parent satisfaction. This indicates that existing facilities may already be considered adequate and are no longer the primary driver of individual satisfaction.
- Service quality shows a positive and significant effect on parent satisfaction. This confirms that the quality of service provided by the madrasah, both by teaching staff and administrative staff, is the most crucial factor in increasing parent satisfaction.
- Simultaneously, price, facilities, and service quality have a significant effect on parent satisfaction. Although price and facilities are not significant partially, their combined contribution is significant in explaining variations in parent satisfaction levels.

The academic contribution of this research is to enrich the literature on the determinants of customer satisfaction in the educational context, particularly at the Madrasah Ibtidaiyah level. This research also highlights that in the education sector, service quality is often a more dominant factor than price or facilities individually, although the combination of the three remains important.

REFERENCES

Hadyarti, E. D., & Kurniawan, I. (2022). Pengaruh Harga dan Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan pada LBB Star Home Private Blitar. *Jurnal*. Universitas Islam Balitar. https://doi.org/10.30656/jumpa.v2i2.7420

Karimah, & Maulida. (2022). *Pengaruh Kualitas Layanan Pendidikan dan Biaya Pendidikan terhadap Kepuasan Orang Tua di MI NU Raudlatus Shibyan 02 Bae Kudus*. Skripsi. IAIN Kudus.

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.

Lumempow, K. R., Wenas, I., & Lasut, A. (2023). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Fasilitas, dan Harga terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen di Swiss-Belhotel Maleosan Manado. *Jurnal*. Universitas Sam Ratulangi.

Muslih, dkk. (2021). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Fasilitas, dan Harga terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen pada Pojur Yogyakarta Hair Salon. *Seminar Nasional UNIBA Surakarta*. Universitas Bhayangkara.

Nazarudin, M., & Nurleli, A. (2020). Pengaruh Tingkat Harga dan Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan E-Commerce. *Jurnal*. Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.

Novad, R. M., & Hendrawan, Y. (2024). *Pengaruh Service Quality Terhadap Customer Satisfaction (Studi Kasus pada Konsumen Karen's Diner Jakarta)*. Skripsi. Universitas Bina Nusantara. https://doi.org/10.21776/jmppk.2024.03.2.09.

Nurfadlun, Wahyuni, & Nurdin. (2022). *Pengaruh Fasilitas dan Harga terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen pada Royal Coffe*. Skripsi. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Bima.

Oliver, R. L. (2015). *Satisfaction: A Behavioral Perspective on the Consumer* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315700892

Purwanti, P., Ariyanto, A., & Ivantan, I. (2023). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Promosi dan Harga terhadap Kepuasan Orang Tua Murid di Lembaga Pendidikan TPA Masjid Ash Shaff Bintaro. *Journal of Education, Humanities, and Social Sciences*, 4(1). Universitas Pamulang. https://doi.org/10.34007/jehss.v4i1.744

Sinaga, L. R., Efendi, N., & Harori, M. I. (2020). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Fasilitas, dan Harga terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Pengguna Jasa Transportasi Bus Damri. *Jurnal Perspektif Bisnis*. Universitas Lampung. https://doi.org/10.23960/jpb.v3i2.33

Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.

Sumirat, N., Suhardi, & Pragiwani, G. (2023). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Loyalitas melalui Kepuasan Mahasiswa (Studi pada Mahasiswa STEI Jakarta). *Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis Indonesia*, 9(1), 45–56. Sekolah Tinggi Ekonomi Indonesia (STEI).

Wahyono, A., & Nuraini. (2023). *Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan, Fasilitas, dan Persepsi Harga terhadap Kepuasan Mahasiswa pada Universitas Satya Negara Indonesia*. Skripsi. Universitas Satya Negara Indonesia.

Widyaningrum, I. D. (2020). *Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan dan Fasilitas Terhadap Kepuasan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan Hotel Luminor Mangga Besar Jakarta Barat*. Skripsi. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia.

Zhang, Y., Luo, J., & Li, Y. (2023). The Reliability and Validity of Likert Scales: A Review. *Journal of Educational Measurement and Evaluation*.