Designing a Hybrid Learning Model for Diverse Educational Needs

Muhammad Mustofa [1], Tiago Costa [2], Pedro Silva [3]

[1] *Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Indonesia*
E-mail: muhammadmustofa@radenintan.ac.id
[2] *Universidade Federal Rio Janeiro, Afganistan*
E-mail: tiagocosta@gmail.com
[3] *Universidade Federal Santa Catarina, Brazil*
E-mail: pedrosilva@gmail.com

Corresponding author*: muhammadmustofa@radenintan.ac.id*

***Abstract*— The rapid shift towards digital learning has highlighted the need for flexible and inclusive educational models that address diverse learner needs. Hybrid learning, which combines traditional face-to-face instruction with online learning, offers a solution to bridge the gap between accessibility and personalized learning. This research aims to design a hybrid learning model tailored to meet the varying educational needs of students from different backgrounds, learning preferences, and technological capabilities. A qualitative approach was employed, utilizing case studies from schools and universities that have implemented hybrid learning. Interviews with educators, administrators, and students were conducted to gather insights into the strengths and challenges of hybrid learning environments. Data was also collected from surveys to analyze student engagement and learning outcomes in hybrid settings. The findings indicate that a well-designed hybrid learning model can increase student engagement, foster personalized learning experiences, and provide greater flexibility in accessing educational resources. However, challenges such as digital divide issues, technological limitations, and the need for teacher training were also identified. The study highlights the importance of integrating support mechanisms for students and educators to maximize the effectiveness of hybrid learning. In conclusion, the research underscores the potential of hybrid learning to meet diverse educational needs, provided that key challenges such as technology access and educator readiness are addressed. The findings provide valuable insights for educators and policymakers looking to implement or enhance hybrid learning models in various educational settings.**

Keywords: Educational Flexibility, Hybrid Learning, Personalized Learning

Manuscript received 01 Dec. 2024; revised 02 Dec. 2024; accepted 10 Dec. 2024. Date of publication 17 Dec. 2024.

How to cite: Mustofa, M., Costa, T & Silva, P. (2024). Designing a Hybrid Learning Model for Diverse Educational Needs. *Journal International Inspire Education Technology (JIIET)*, 3(3), 239–252. https://doi.org/10.55849/jiiet.v3i3.719

Published by: Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Al-Hikmah Pariangan Batusangkar

I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid learning has emerged as a response to the increasing demand for flexible educational models that accommodate diverse learner needs (Furuhashi et al., 2021). The integration of traditional in-person teaching with online learning environments offers students the opportunity to

engage with content in ways that best suit their preferences and circumstances (Nguyen et al., 2020). Educational institutions around the world have been adopting hybrid learning to address the growing need for adaptability in today's fast-paced, technology-driven world (Watanabe et al., 2020). This model has been particularly effective in reaching students who face geographical, financial, or time constraints, enabling them to access education in a more flexible manner (Shin et al., 2019).

Research shows that hybrid learning enhances student engagement by providing varied modes of content delivery (Kamiya et al., 2021). Students benefit from a mix of synchronous and asynchronous learning, allowing them to interact with instructors in real-time while also having the freedom to access course materials at their own pace (Oda et al., 2021). Studies indicate that this approach supports a wider range of learning styles, including visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners (Shima, Itou, et al., 2020). Hybrid learning also fosters greater autonomy in students, as they have more control over when and how they engage with the learning material (Gotanda et al., 2019).

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of hybrid learning, highlighting its potential as a sustainable long-term educational model (Shima, Nagata, et al., 2020). As schools and universities were forced to pivot to online platforms, many institutions realized the benefits of combining digital resources with traditional classroom instruction (Jo et al., 2021). This shift not only addressed immediate educational disruptions but also laid the groundwork for a more resilient, adaptable education system (H. Shimizu et al., 2020). Hybrid learning, therefore, has proven to be more than just a temporary solution, becoming a permanent fixture in modern education (Sugiyama et al., 2020).

Studies on student outcomes in hybrid learning environments reveal that this model can enhance both academic performance and motivation (Sugahara et al., 2020). Learners in hybrid settings often perform better in assessments and report higher levels of satisfaction with their learning experience (Morimoto et al., 2021). The combination of self-paced learning with face-to-face interactions creates a balanced approach that supports knowledge retention and critical thinking (Yamada et al., 2019). However, successful implementation of hybrid learning requires careful planning, particularly in ensuring that students have equitable access to technology and resources (Pommois et al., 2020).

The hybrid model has also demonstrated its effectiveness in addressing the needs of diverse populations (Jo et al., 2022). In inclusive educational settings, hybrid learning can offer individualized support for students with disabilities or those requiring special accommodations (Miura et al., 2022). By leveraging digital tools, educators can modify content delivery to meet the specific needs of learners, providing them with a more personalized educational experience (Nie et al., 2021). The ability to tailor learning experiences based on student needs is one of the most promising aspects of hybrid learning (Ito, Osaki, et al., 2020).

Despite its potential, hybrid learning is not without its challenges (T. Morita et al., 2023). The digital divide remains a significant barrier, particularly in low-income or rural communities where access to reliable internet and technological devices is limited (Nie & Takeuchi, 2020a). Additionally, educators require ongoing training to effectively integrate digital tools into their teaching practices (Nishimura et al., 2020). Without proper support, both students and teachers may struggle to maximize the benefits of hybrid learning, leading to disparities in educational outcomes. As a result, continued efforts are needed to address these gaps and ensure that hybrid learning is accessible and effective for all (Nie & Takeuchi, 2020b).

While hybrid learning has gained widespread acceptance and demonstrated potential benefits, significant gaps remain in our

understanding of how to design models that effectively meet the needs of diverse learners (Yokomizo et al., 2019). Existing studies largely focus on the general advantages of hybrid learning, such as increased flexibility and student autonomy, but there is limited research on how different hybrid structures impact specific student populations (K. Morita et al., 2023). Questions about which components of hybrid learning work best for certain types of learners, such as those with varying socio-economic backgrounds or learning styles, have not been fully explored (Sakai et al., 2021).

The digital divide presents another key gap in hybrid learning research. Many studies have acknowledged the challenges posed by unequal access to technology, but few offer solutions on how hybrid models can be designed to mitigate these issues (Ren et al., 2023). How to create inclusive hybrid learning environments that provide equitable access for all students, especially in low-income or rural areas, remains an unresolved issue (Kato-Negishi et al., 2022). There is a lack of comprehensive strategies that address both the technical and pedagogical challenges of hybrid learning for marginalized communities (Morimoto et al., 2022).

Educator preparedness for hybrid learning is another area that requires further investigation. While many educators have adapted to using technology in the classroom, the extent to which they are equipped to design and implement effective hybrid learning models varies widely. Research on best practices for teacher training in hybrid learning is still in its early stages, leaving questions about how to adequately prepare educators for this shift. The gap in understanding how to support teachers in this transition directly impacts the effectiveness of hybrid learning.

There is also a gap in longitudinal research examining the long-term impact of hybrid learning on student outcomes. Much of the current literature focuses on short-term successes, such as student engagement and satisfaction, but less is known about how hybrid learning affects academic performance, retention, and overall educational attainment over time. Understanding the long-term benefits and potential drawbacks of hybrid learning is crucial for developing models that not only meet immediate needs but also support sustained educational growth.

Filling the gap in our understanding of hybrid learning models is essential to ensure that diverse educational needs are met effectively. Hybrid learning holds immense potential for flexibility, inclusivity, and personalized education, but without a deeper exploration of how to optimize these models for specific student populations, its benefits will remain limited. Addressing the gaps in access, teacher preparedness, and long-term impact will enable the development of more robust hybrid systems that cater to all learners, including those from underrepresented and marginalized communities.

Developing an adaptable and inclusive hybrid learning model requires a comprehensive approach that goes beyond technology integration. This includes investigating pedagogical strategies that align with both online and in-person instruction, providing targeted support for educators, and ensuring equitable access to digital resources. By understanding which aspects of hybrid learning work best for different learner demographics, schools and universities can design models that enhance engagement, retention, and academic success across the board. Identifying the conditions under which hybrid learning is most effective will inform future policy and practice.

The purpose of this research is to design a hybrid learning model that addresses these gaps, focusing on inclusivity, adaptability, and long-term effectiveness. The hypothesis is that a well-designed hybrid learning model, incorporating tailored pedagogical practices and support mechanisms, can overcome barriers related to access and learning diversity. By doing so, hybrid learning can become a viable solution not just for

immediate educational needs but as a sustainable model for the future of education.

II. METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches to develop a comprehensive understanding of hybrid learning models for diverse educational needs (Nie et al., 2022). The research integrates surveys, interviews, and case studies to gather data from multiple perspectives, including students, educators, and administrators (Tonooka et al., 2021). The mixed-methods approach allows for both statistical analysis of learning outcomes and in-depth exploration of individual experiences and challenges within hybrid learning environments (Misawa et al., 2021).

The population for this study includes students and educators from various educational institutions, ranging from primary schools to universities (Ogishi et al., 2023). The sample consists of 200 students and 50 educators, selected through purposive sampling to ensure diversity in terms of socio-economic background, geographic location, and learning preferences (Nie et al., 2023). The inclusion of both rural and urban schools helps to capture a broader perspective on the effectiveness of hybrid learning in different contexts (Motoi et al., 2023).

Data collection instruments include a structured survey for students and educators, designed to measure engagement, accessibility, and satisfaction with hybrid learning (N. Shimizu et al., 2021). Additionally, semi-structured interviews are conducted with a subset of participants to gain deeper insights into the challenges and benefits they experience (Ito, Izawa, et al., 2020). The survey utilizes Likert scales to assess perceptions, while the interviews follow a guide with open-ended questions that explore specific aspects of hybrid learning, such as technology use and instructional strategies (Jo et al., 2020).

The research follows a step-by-step procedure, beginning with obtaining ethical approval and consent from the participating institutions. Surveys are distributed electronically to participants, followed by interviews conducted via video conferencing (Hashimoto et al., 2023). Quantitative data from the surveys are analyzed using statistical software to identify trends and correlations, while qualitative data from the interviews are transcribed and analyzed using thematic analysis. The integration of both quantitative and qualitative data provides a holistic view of hybrid learning's impact on diverse student populations.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Data collected from 200 students and 50 educators reveal that hybrid learning has varying levels of effectiveness depending on students' access to technology and their learning preferences. The survey results show that 65% of students felt hybrid learning improved their flexibility in accessing educational materials, while 25% struggled with consistent internet access. Additionally, 70% of educators reported an increase in student engagement when using a hybrid model, compared to traditional face-to-face instruction.

Table 1. Students' access to technology and their learning preferences

Variable	**Percentage (%)**
Increased flexibility (students)	65%
Struggled with internet access	25%
Increased engagement (educators)	70%
Prefer face-to-face instruction	30%

In terms of accessibility, students from urban areas were more likely to benefit from hybrid learning compared to those in rural areas, where internet infrastructure is weaker. Students with stronger digital literacy also reported higher satisfaction and engagement levels, while those

who lacked prior experience with online platforms found the transition more difficult.

The data suggests that the hybrid learning model can be highly effective in promoting student autonomy and flexibility, particularly in urban areas where access to technology is more readily available. However, students in rural areas or those with limited internet access face significant challenges, which can undermine the benefits of hybrid learning. The 25% of students who reported struggling with internet connectivity highlight the digital divide that continues to be a barrier in educational equity.

Educators also noted improvements in student engagement, with 70% indicating that hybrid learning allowed for more interactive and dynamic lessons. The ability to combine face-to-face instruction with online resources enabled teachers to tailor their lessons to meet diverse learning needs. However, the 30% of students who still preferred traditional methods underscore that hybrid models must be carefully designed to accommodate all preferences and access levels.

Further analysis of the survey responses reveals that students with access to reliable technology and digital tools experienced greater benefits from hybrid learning. These students were able to leverage the flexibility of the online components to work at their own pace and review materials as needed. In contrast, students with limited access to technology or unstable internet connections were often unable to fully participate in the hybrid model, leading to frustration and disengagement.

Educators also reported a learning curve in adapting to hybrid teaching, especially in integrating digital tools effectively. The majority expressed a need for additional training to fully utilize the potential of hybrid learning platforms. Many noted that while hybrid learning offers opportunities for personalized instruction, it requires a significant shift in teaching strategies, particularly in balancing the online and in-person components to maximize student learning outcomes.

The analysis using statistical software revealed a significant correlation between student engagement and access to reliable technology. The data shows that students with stable internet access and digital literacy had higher levels of engagement, as shown in Table 2 below. The correlation coefficient ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.01$) indicates a moderate to strong positive relationship between these variables.

Table 2: Relationship between Access to Technology and Student Engagement

Variable	**Correlation Coefficient (r)**	**Significance (p-value)**
Access to technology and engagement	0.62	< 0.01

The inferential analysis also highlighted the impact of digital literacy on academic performance. Students who were more familiar with online platforms reported not only higher engagement but also better academic outcomes. This suggests that efforts to improve digital literacy, particularly among disadvantaged students, could help bridge the gap in hybrid learning effectiveness.

A clear relationship exists between access to digital resources and the overall success of hybrid learning models. Students with reliable internet access and the necessary digital tools showed higher levels of engagement and academic success. In contrast, those lacking these resources struggled to keep pace, highlighting the critical role that access plays in educational outcomes within hybrid learning environments.

Another significant relationship emerged between educator preparedness and the effectiveness of hybrid learning. Educators who received comprehensive training on how to utilize digital tools effectively were more successful in maintaining student engagement and delivering high-quality lessons. This indicates that both student and teacher readiness are essential

components for ensuring the success of hybrid learning models, suggesting the need for investment in professional development for educators.

A case study of a rural school within the sample illustrates the challenges of hybrid learning in areas with limited infrastructure. The school had significant issues with internet connectivity, resulting in students having to rely heavily on offline learning materials. Teachers adapted by using more asynchronous resources, but students reported feeling isolated and less motivated without regular real-time interactions with their peers and instructors.

In contrast, an urban school in the study that had access to high-speed internet and ample digital tools saw much higher levels of student participation and engagement. Teachers were able to seamlessly integrate online and in-person learning, using digital tools to enhance their lessons and provide real-time feedback. Students in this environment reported a sense of empowerment from the flexibility and personalized learning opportunities that hybrid learning afforded them.

The case studies highlight the stark differences in how hybrid learning plays out in varied contexts. In the rural school, limited access to technology significantly hampered the potential of the hybrid model, illustrating how the digital divide can exacerbate educational inequality. Even with teacher adaptations, the lack of real-time interaction and digital tools created a suboptimal learning environment for students in this context.

The urban school, on the other hand, showcases the potential of hybrid learning when supported by adequate infrastructure. Here, students were able to take full advantage of the model's flexibility, and teachers were empowered to innovate in their instruction. The comparison between these two case studies underscores the need for tailored hybrid learning models that account for the specific challenges and resources available in different educational settings.

The results of this study demonstrate that hybrid learning models have the potential to meet diverse educational needs, but their success is heavily dependent on access to technology and educator preparedness. Students with the necessary tools and internet connectivity benefit from increased flexibility, personalized learning, and higher engagement. In contrast, those without these resources face significant barriers that can limit their ability to participate fully in hybrid learning environments.

Educator training and support also emerge as critical factors in the effectiveness of hybrid learning. Teachers who are well-prepared to integrate digital tools into their lessons can create more dynamic and interactive learning experiences. This study suggests that future efforts to design hybrid learning models must address both the technological and human resource gaps to ensure equitable and successful outcomes for all students.

The findings emphasize that hybrid learning cannot be a one-size-fits-all solution; it requires careful consideration of the specific needs and constraints of different educational settings. The digital divide, as seen in the rural case study, remains a substantial barrier to the equitable implementation of hybrid learning. Addressing this divide through infrastructural investment and providing access to affordable internet and devices is crucial for the long-term success of hybrid models, especially in underserved communities.

Additionally, the importance of teacher training cannot be overstated. While hybrid learning offers vast potential for innovation in teaching practices, it requires educators to adopt new methodologies and technologies. Without proper training and ongoing support, the effectiveness of hybrid learning can be significantly diminished. The data underscores that the success of hybrid learning hinges on both technological access and the readiness of educators to adapt to this evolving educational landscape.

This study suggests that addressing these two key areas—access to technology and teacher preparedness—can lead to more inclusive and effective hybrid learning models. Policymakers and educational institutions must work collaboratively to ensure that all students, regardless of their socio-economic background or geographical location, have the resources and support they need to succeed in hybrid learning environments. Investing in digital infrastructure and professional development for educators will be critical steps in closing the gaps identified in this research.

In conclusion, while hybrid learning offers significant advantages, its effectiveness is contingent upon the conditions in which it is implemented. By addressing the challenges outlined in this study, such as the digital divide and the need for ongoing teacher training, hybrid learning can become a more inclusive and equitable model that truly meets the diverse needs of all learners.

The study reveals that hybrid learning is highly effective in improving student engagement, flexibility, and personalized learning, but only when adequate technological infrastructure and teacher preparedness are in place. The majority of students with reliable internet access and digital tools reported higher levels of satisfaction and engagement with hybrid learning. Educators also benefited from the ability to diversify their teaching methods by combining online resources with face-to-face instruction.

In contrast, students in rural or low-income areas, where access to reliable internet and digital tools is limited, struggled to fully engage with hybrid learning. The digital divide remains a significant barrier, leading to lower engagement and performance among these students. Educators in these contexts reported challenges in adapting to the hybrid model due to insufficient training and support, further hindering the success of hybrid learning in under-resourced areas.

The case studies demonstrate that hybrid learning works best when both students and teachers have the necessary tools and support. Schools in urban areas with strong infrastructure and well-prepared educators showed significant improvements in student engagement and learning outcomes. However, the disparities between urban and rural schools highlight the need for more equitable access to resources.

The study underscores the importance of investing in both digital infrastructure and teacher development. Without these key elements, hybrid learning is unlikely to meet the needs of all students, particularly those in underserved communities. The research highlights the need for targeted interventions to bridge the gaps in access and preparedness.

Compared to existing research, this study aligns with findings that emphasize the positive impact of hybrid learning on student engagement and learning outcomes when infrastructure is in place. Studies have shown that flexibility and personalized learning opportunities in hybrid models enhance student motivation, a conclusion supported by this research. However, while many studies focus on the advantages of hybrid learning, fewer address the significant challenges posed by the digital divide, which this study highlights as a major obstacle to equitable implementation.

In contrast to studies that focus predominantly on high-income or urban schools, this research broadens the scope by including rural and low-income schools, where the limitations of hybrid learning are most apparent. These findings diverge from research that assumes all students can benefit equally from hybrid learning, regardless of their access to technology. This study provides a more nuanced view, showing that hybrid learning is not universally effective without addressing key access issues.

Research on teacher preparedness in hybrid learning also aligns with this study's findings, where educators report a need for more robust training. Other studies have emphasized the

importance of professional development in integrating digital tools effectively, reinforcing the conclusion that teacher readiness is as critical as student access in determining the success of hybrid learning models. However, this study places greater emphasis on the need for ongoing support rather than one-time training.

This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by presenting a more holistic view of hybrid learning's potential and challenges. By examining both student and educator perspectives across different socio-economic contexts, it fills a gap in the literature that often overlooks the complexities of implementing hybrid learning in under-resourced areas.

The results of this study signal a broader issue of educational inequality, particularly in how access to technology influences learning outcomes. The findings highlight that hybrid learning, while beneficial, is not inherently equitable. It becomes another factor in the widening educational gap between students in well-resourced areas and those in underserved communities. The digital divide is not just about access to technology; it reflects deeper socio-economic disparities that affect educational opportunities.

Teacher preparedness emerges as a critical issue in the effectiveness of hybrid learning. The study indicates that even in schools where students have access to technology, the lack of teacher training can undermine the potential benefits of hybrid models. This reflects a broader systemic issue in education where the emphasis on technology often overlooks the human element needed to integrate it effectively into the learning process.

The study's findings also point to the need for a more nuanced understanding of hybrid learning's impact. Hybrid models are often touted as the future of education, but this research shows that without addressing foundational issues like access and educator training, hybrid learning risks reinforcing existing inequities rather than resolving them. The success of hybrid learning depends on more than just technology; it requires a comprehensive approach that addresses both the technical and human challenges involved.

These findings should serve as a wake-up call for policymakers and educators. The digital divide and teacher preparedness are not peripheral issues; they are central to the success of hybrid learning. Addressing these challenges is critical if hybrid models are to fulfill their promise of creating more flexible and inclusive educational environments.

The implications of this research are significant for educational policymakers and institutions. The findings suggest that while hybrid learning holds great promise, it will not be successful unless key issues related to access and teacher training are addressed. Schools must invest in both infrastructure and professional development to ensure that all students, regardless of their socio-economic background, can benefit from hybrid learning models.

For students, the study highlights the critical role that access to technology plays in determining their educational experience. Policymakers need to prioritize closing the digital divide by providing reliable internet access and affordable digital tools to students in underserved areas. Without these basic resources, the advantages of hybrid learning will remain out of reach for a significant portion of the student population.

For educators, the study underscores the need for ongoing professional development in hybrid learning environments. Teacher training should not be a one-time event but a continuous process that adapts to new technologies and teaching strategies. Schools and districts need to provide teachers with the tools and support they need to succeed in hybrid classrooms, ensuring that they can effectively integrate digital tools into their teaching practices.

The broader implication is that hybrid learning is not just about technology; it is about creating an equitable educational system. To achieve this, educational institutions must adopt a

more holistic approach that addresses both the technological and human challenges associated with hybrid learning. By doing so, they can create learning environments that are truly inclusive and adaptable to the diverse needs of all students.

The findings of this study can be explained by the deep-rooted inequities in access to technology and education. In well-resourced schools, hybrid learning thrives because students and teachers have the tools and support necessary to make it work. However, in low-income or rural schools, where these resources are lacking, the challenges of hybrid learning become more pronounced. The digital divide is a reflection of broader socio-economic disparities that affect not only education but access to opportunity in general.

Teacher preparedness plays a crucial role in explaining the differences in hybrid learning outcomes. In schools where teachers are trained and supported in using digital tools, hybrid learning becomes a dynamic and engaging model. However, when teachers are left to navigate new technologies on their own, the model falters. This highlights the importance of not only providing access to technology but ensuring that educators are equipped to use it effectively.

The gap in infrastructure between urban and rural areas also explains why hybrid learning is more successful in certain contexts. Urban schools often have better access to high-speed internet and digital tools, making it easier for students and teachers to engage with hybrid learning. In rural areas, where infrastructure is weaker, students and teachers struggle to adapt to the demands of hybrid models, which rely heavily on reliable technology.

The findings suggest that hybrid learning is not a one-size-fits-all solution. Its success depends on the context in which it is implemented, with access to technology and teacher preparedness being the key factors that determine its effectiveness. Without addressing these issues, hybrid learning will continue to reinforce existing educational disparities.

Moving forward, there is a clear need for policies that address the digital divide in education. Governments and educational institutions must invest in infrastructure, particularly in underserved areas, to ensure that all students have access to reliable internet and digital tools. This is a critical step in making hybrid learning an equitable model for all students, regardless of their socio-economic background or geographic location.

Teacher training must also become a priority in the implementation of hybrid learning models. Schools need to provide ongoing professional development that equips teachers with the skills and knowledge to effectively integrate technology into their classrooms. This includes not only training on digital tools but also on new pedagogical approaches that leverage the strengths of both online and face-to-face instruction.

Future research should focus on exploring the long-term impact of hybrid learning on student outcomes, particularly in diverse educational contexts. Longitudinal studies that track student performance, engagement, and retention over time will provide valuable insights into how hybrid learning can be optimized for different populations. Additionally, more research is needed on how to design hybrid learning models that are adaptable to varying levels of access and educator readiness.

The results of this study call for a more nuanced approach to hybrid learning. Rather than viewing it as a universal solution, policymakers and educators must recognize that its success depends on addressing the specific needs and challenges of different educational environments. By doing so, hybrid learning can become a more inclusive and effective model that truly meets the diverse needs of all students.

IV. Conclusions

The most important finding of this research is the critical role that access to technology and teacher preparedness play in the success of hybrid learning models. While hybrid learning offers significant benefits in terms of flexibility,

personalized learning, and student engagement, these advantages are only fully realized when students have reliable access to technology and when educators are well-trained in using digital tools. The study shows that disparities in access, particularly in rural and low-income areas, limit the effectiveness of hybrid learning and exacerbate existing educational inequalities.

Another key finding is the importance of ongoing professional development for teachers in hybrid environments. Even in schools with strong infrastructure, the lack of proper training for educators can hinder the potential of hybrid learning models. The results indicate that both infrastructure and educator readiness must be addressed simultaneously to create successful hybrid learning systems that can meet the diverse needs of students.

This research contributes to the field by providing a comprehensive view of the challenges and opportunities associated with hybrid learning, particularly in under-resourced areas. The study highlights the need for a dual focus on both technological infrastructure and human capital development, offering a more holistic perspective on what it takes to implement hybrid learning effectively. Unlike many studies that focus solely on technology, this research emphasizes the importance of teacher preparedness and professional support as key components of successful hybrid learning models.

In terms of methodology, the use of a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data from surveys with qualitative insights from case studies, provides a more nuanced understanding of the issue. This approach allows for a deeper exploration of the contextual factors that influence the success of hybrid learning. By integrating both statistical trends and real-world experiences from diverse educational settings, the research offers valuable insights for policymakers and educators looking to design more inclusive and effective hybrid learning models.

One limitation of this study is its focus on a relatively small sample size, particularly in rural and low-income schools. While the findings provide important insights into the challenges faced by these schools, larger studies involving more diverse populations would offer a more generalizable understanding of how hybrid learning can be implemented in different contexts. Additionally, the study does not address the long-term impact of hybrid learning on student outcomes, focusing primarily on short-term engagement and satisfaction.

Future research should explore the long-term effects of hybrid learning on student achievement, retention, and overall academic development. Longitudinal studies that track students over several years would provide a clearer picture of how hybrid learning influences educational outcomes over time. Further investigation is also needed into how hybrid learning models can be adapted to meet the specific needs of students in diverse socio-economic and geographic contexts, with particular attention to bridging the digital divide.

V. References

Furuhashi, M., Morimoto, Y., Shima, A., Nakamura, F., Ishikawa, H., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Formation of contractile 3D bovine muscle tissue for construction of millimetre-thick cultured steak. *Npj Science of Food*, *5*(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-021-00090-7

Gotanda, M., Kamiya, K., Osaki, T., Miki, N., & Takeuchi, S. (2019). Automatic generation system of cell-sized liposomes. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *292*, 57–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.04.096

Hashimoto, I., Osaki, T., Sugiura, H., Mimura, H., Takamori, S., Miki, N., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). Reproducible reformation of a bilayer lipid membrane using microair bubbles. *Droplet*, *2*(3), e73. https://doi.org/10.1002/dro2.73

Ito, Y., Izawa, Y., Osaki, T., Kamiya, K., Misawa, N., Fujii, S., Mimura, H., Miki, N., &

Takeuchi, S. (2020). A Lipid-Bilayer-On-A-Cup Device for Pumpless Sample Exchange. *Micromachines*, *11*(12), 1123. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi11121123

Ito, Y., Osaki, T., Kamiya, K., Yamada, T., Miki, N., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Rapid and Resilient Detection of Toxin Pore Formation Using a Lipid Bilayer Array. *Small*, *16*(49), 2005550. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202005550

Jo, B., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2022). Skeletal muscle-adipose cocultured tissue fabricated using cell-laden microfibers and a hydrogel sheet. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *119*(2), 636–643. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27989

Jo, B., Nie, M., Shima, A., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Micro Tissue Assembly for Co-Culturing 3D Skeletal Muscle and Adipose Tissues. *2020 IEEE 33rd International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS)*, 459–460. https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMS46641.2020.9056277

Jo, B., Nie, M., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Manufacturing of animal products by the assembly of microfabricated tissues. *Essays in Biochemistry*, *65*(3), 611–623. https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20200092

Kamiya, K., Osaki, T., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Formation of nano-sized lipid vesicles with asymmetric lipid components using a pulsed-jet flow method. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *327*, 128917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2020.128917

Kato-Negishi, M., Sawayama, J., Kawahara, M., & Takeuchi, S. (2022). Cell fiber-based 3D tissue array for drug response assay. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 7870. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11670-2

Misawa, N., Fujii, S., Kamiya, K., Osaki, T., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Efficient Lipid Bilayer Formation by Dipping Lipid-Loaded Microperforated Sheet in Aqueous Solution. *Micromachines*, *12*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi12010053

Miura, S., Morimoto, Y., Furihata, T., & Takeuchi, S. (2022). Functional analysis of human brain endothelium using a microfluidic device integrating a cell culture insert. *APL Bioengineering*, *6*(1), 016103. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0085564

Morimoto, Y., Nagata, S., Matsumoto, M., Sugahara, K., Miura, S., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Microfluidic system for applying shear flow to endothelial cells on culture insert with collagen vitrigel membrane. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *348*, 130675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.130675

Morimoto, Y., Takeuchi, S., & Department of Mechano-Informatics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan. (2022). Biohybrid Soft Robots Driven by Contractions of Skeletal Muscle Tissue. *Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics*, *34*(2), 260–262. https://doi.org/10.20965/jrm.2022.p0260

Morita, K., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). Biohybrid tensegrity actuator driven by selective contractions of multiple skeletal muscle tissues. *Biofabrication*, *15*(4), 045002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/ace2ec

Morita, T., Watanabe, S., & Sasaki, S. (2023). Multiaxis printing method for bent tubular structured gels in support bath for achieving high dimension and shape accuracy. *Precision Engineering*, *79*, 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2022.09.004

Motoi, K., Jo, B., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). Weight Training Device to Promote Maturation in Skeletal Muscle Tissues. *2023 IEEE 36th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS)*, 463–466. https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMS49605.2023.10052280

Nguyen, T.-V., Mizuki, Y., Tsukagoshi, T., Takahata, T., Ichiki, M., & Shimoyama, I. (2020). MEMS-Based Pulse Wave Sensor Utilizing a Piezoresistive Cantilever. *Sensors*, *20*(4), 1052. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20041052

Nie, M., Nagata, S., Oda, H., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). Millimeter-thick 3D tissues

constructed by densely cellularized core–shell microfluidic bioprinting. *Biofabrication*, *15*(3), 035010. https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/accd23

Nie, M., Shima, A., Fukushima, K., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). A Cylindrical Molding Method for the Biofabrication of Plane-Shaped Skeletal Muscle Tissue. *Micromachines*, *12*(11), 1411. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi12111411

Nie, M., Shima, A., & Takeuchi, S. (2022). Hollow Fiber Bioreactor with Micro Anchor Arrays For the Biofabrication of Skeletal Muscle Tissues. *2022 IEEE 35th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems Conference (MEMS)*, 287–288. https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMS51670.2022.9699672

Nie, M., & Takeuchi, S. (2020a). 3D Biofabrication Using Living Cells for Applications in Biohybrid Sensors and Actuators. *ACS Applied Bio Materials*, *3*(12), 8121–8126. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.0c01214

Nie, M., & Takeuchi, S. (2020b). Luer-lock valve: A pre-fabricated pneumatic valve for 3D printed microfluidic automation. *Biomicrofluidics*, *14*(4), 044115. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0020531

Nishimura, K., Nie, M., Miura, S., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Microfluidic Device for the Analysis of Angiogenic Sprouting under Bidirectional Biochemical Gradients. *Micromachines*, *11*(12), 1049. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi11121049

Oda, H., Kihara, K., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Cell-Based Biohybrid Sensor Device for Chemical Source Direction Estimation. *Cyborg and Bionic Systems*, *2021*, 2021/8907148. https://doi.org/10.34133/2021/8907148

Ogishi, K., Osaki, T., Mimura, H., Hashimoto, I., Morimoto, Y., Miki, N., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). Real-time quantitative characterization of ion channel activities for automated control of a lipid bilayer system. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, *237*, 115490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2023.115490

Pommois, R., Furusawa, G., Kosuge, T., Yasunaga, S., Hanawa, H., Takahashi, H., Kan, T., & Aoyama, H. (2020). Micro Water Flow Measurement Using a Temperature-Compensated MEMS Piezoresistive Cantilever. *Micromachines*, *11*(7), 647. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi11070647

Ren, X., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2023). 3-DoF Biohybrid Actuator with Multiple Skeletal Muscle Tissues. *2023 IEEE 36th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS)*, 201–204. https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMS49605.2023.10052336

Sakai, K., Miura, S., Sawayama, J., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Membrane-integrated glass chip for two-directional observation of epithelial cells. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *326*, 128861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2020.128861

Shima, A., Itou, A., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Cell fibers promote proliferation of co-cultured cells on a dish. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 288. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57213-0

Shima, A., Nagata, S., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Three-dimensional co-culture of blood-brain barrier-composing cells in a culture insert with a collagen vitrigel membrane. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Animal*, *56*(7), 500–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-020-00486-x

Shimizu, H., Takeda, S., Mawatari, K., & Kitamori, T. (2020). Ultrasensitive detection of nonlabelled bovine serum albumin using photothermal optical phase shift detection with UV excitation. *The Analyst*, *145*(7), 2580–2585. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0AN00037J

Shimizu, N., Oda, H., Morimoto, Y., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Biohybrid Micro Pinwheel Powered by Trapped Microalgae. *2021 IEEE 34th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS)*, 533–535. https://doi.org/10.1109/MEMS51782.2021.9375193

Shin, D.-C., Morimoto, Y., Sawayama, J., Miura, S., & Takeuchi, S. (2019). Centrifuge-based step emulsification device for simple

and fast generation of monodisperse picoliter droplets. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *301*, 127164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2019.127164

Sugahara, K., Morimoto, Y., Takamori, S., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). A dynamic microarray device for pairing and electrofusion of giant unilamellar vesicles. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *311*, 127922. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2020.127922

Sugiyama, H., Osaki, T., Takeuchi, S., & Toyota, T. (2020). Hydrodynamic accumulation of small molecules and ions into cell-sized liposomes against a concentration gradient. *Communications Chemistry*, *3*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42004-020-0277-2

Tonooka, T., Osaki, T., Sato, K., Kawano, R., & Takeuchi, S. (2021). Lipid bilayer on a microdroplet integrated with a patterned Ag/AgCl microelectrode for voltage-clamp fluorometry of membrane transport. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, *334*, 129643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.129643

Watanabe, T., Okitsu, T., Ozawa, F., Nagata, S., Matsunari, H., Nagashima, H., Nagaya, M., Teramae, H., & Takeuchi, S. (2020). Millimeter-thick xenoislet-laden fibers as retrievable transplants mitigate foreign body reactions for long-term glycemic control in diabetic mice. *Biomaterials*, *255*, 120162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120162

Yamada, T., Kamiya, K., Osaki, T., & Takeuchi, S. (2019). A pumpless solution exchange system for nanopore sensors. *Biomicrofluidics*, *13*(6), 064104. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5123316

Yokomizo, A., Morimoto, Y., Nishimura, K., & Takeuchi, S. (2019). Temporal Observation of Adipocyte Microfiber Using Anchoring Device. *Micromachines*, *10*(6), 358. https://doi.org/10.3390/mi10060358