Narrative Structures and Methodological Principles in Boyer and Merzbach's Historiography for Mathematics Education

Kintoko[1]*, Deny Hadi Siswanto[2], Nanang Khuzaini[3]
[1]Department of Mathematics Education, PGRI Yogyakarta University, Indonesia
[2]Mathematics Teacher, Muhammadiyah Mlati High School, Indonesia
[3]Department of Mathematics Education, Mercu Buana Yogyakarta University, Indonesia
*Correspondent: kintoko@upy.ac.id

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis struktur naratif, periodisasi, dan prinsip metodologis dalam buku *A History of Mathematics* karya Carl B. Boyer dan Uta C. Merzbach (edisi ketiga, 2011) sebagai model historiografi dalam pendidikan matematika. Penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif deskriptif dengan metode *content analysis*. Data dikumpulkan melalui pembacaan mendalam terhadap seluruh bab buku dan dianalisis berdasarkan dua dimensi utama: sejarah internal (perkembangan konsep dan rigor matematis) dan sejarah eksternal (pengaruh sosial, budaya, dan ekonomi terhadap kemunculan ide matematis). Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa Boyer dan Merzbach menyusun narasi sejarah matematika secara kronologis dan tematik, mulai dari matematika kuno hingga era modern, dengan menampilkan kesinambungan ide matematis antarperadaban. Pendekatan Merzbach yang menggabungkan konteks linguistik, sosial, dan ekonomi memperlihatkan bahwa perkembangan matematika merupakan hasil interaksi antara ide dan kebutuhan praktis manusia. Selain itu, prinsip *ekstensi tanpa koreksi* menunjukkan bahwa setiap teori baru dalam matematika tidak meniadakan teori lama, melainkan memperluas dan memperkaya kerangka berpikir ilmiah. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa buku *A History of Mathematics* memiliki nilai pedagogis tinggi dan relevan sebagai sumber pembelajaran sejarah matematika karena menumbuhkan pemahaman filosofis dan historis tentang hakikat matematika sebagai produk budaya dan proses intelektual yang berkelanjutan.

Keyword: Analisis Isi, Historiografi Matematika, Pendidikan Matematika Modern

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the narrative structure, periodization, and methodological principles in A History of Mathematics by Carl B. Boyer and Uta C. Merzbach (third edition, 2011) as a historiographical model in mathematics education. The research employs a descriptive qualitative approach using the content analysis method. Data were collected through an in-depth reading of all chapters of the book and analyzed based on two main dimensions: internal history (the development of concepts and mathematical rigor) and external history (the social, cultural, and economic influences on the emergence of mathematical ideas). The findings reveal that Boyer and Merzbach construct the historical narrative of mathematics both chronologically and thematically, tracing its evolution from ancient to modern times while emphasizing the continuity of mathematical ideas across civilizations. Merzbach's approach, which integrates linguistic, social, and economic contexts, demonstrates that the development of mathematics is the result of an interaction between abstract ideas and human practical needs. Furthermore, the principle of "extension without correction" indicates that each new mathematical theory does not negate earlier ones but rather expands and enriches the existing scientific framework. The study concludes that A History of Mathematics possesses significant pedagogical value and is highly relevant as a learning resource for the history of mathematics, as it cultivates a philosophical and historical understanding of mathematics as both a cultural product and an ongoing intellectual process.

Keyword: Content Analysis, Mathematical Historiography, Modern Mathematics Education

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26486/r6f79y20
W : http://ejurnal.mercubuana-yogya.ac.id/index.php/mercumatika E : mercumatika@mercubuana-yogya.ac.id

INTRODUCTION

The history of mathematics plays a fundamental role in mathematics education, serving as more than a mere supplement to the curriculum. In a pedagogical context, the history of mathematics functions as a bridge connecting abstract concepts with human reality, transforming mathematics from a collection of symbols and formulas into a reflection of human intellectual development throughout history (Li et al., 2022). Through a historical approach, learners can perceive mathematics as a process of discovery, transformation, and evolution of ideas. This perspective aligns with Fauvel & Maanen (2002), who assert that integrating history into mathematics education helps students internalize concepts more deeply and enhances their appreciation of the nature of mathematics. Similarly, Dorner & Ableitinger (2022) and Kania et al. (2023) emphasizes that the history of mathematics enriches learning contexts by revealing how mathematical knowledge has evolved within the framework of human culture and civilization.

In mathematics teacher education, the integration of history becomes essential for developing prospective teachers' epistemological awareness of the origins, development, and dynamics of mathematical concepts. Historical-mathematical literacy equips future teachers not only with content mastery but also with an understanding of the logical evolution of the concepts they teach (Krogh et al., 2025; Lei et al., 2022). Understanding the social, philosophical, and internal contexts behind each stage of mathematical development fosters a reflective capacity toward the nature of mathematics as a living and ever-evolving discipline (Tumangger et al., 2024). Consequently, history-based instruction contributes not only to cognitive development but also to affective and metacognitive growth. Thus, the history of mathematics has the potential to strengthen the scientific identity of both teachers and students as participants in the long intellectual tradition of humanity's search for truth (Fitriana et al., 2025).

One of the most authoritative and comprehensive works forming the foundation for the study of mathematical history is *A History of Mathematics* (Third Edition) by Carl B. Boyer, later revised and expanded by Uta C. Merzbach (Boyer & Merzbach, 2011). This book is widely recognized for its extensive coverage from prehistoric numeration, Babylonian and Egyptian number systems, to the development of modern mathematics in the twenty-first century. Boyer presents the history of mathematics using a well-structured chronological approach, while Merzbach broadens the perspective by incorporating philosophical and social dimensions. Their collaboration produces a synthesis between classical narrative history and modern, critical historiography that is contextually grounded. Within academia, this book serves as a vital reference for lecturers, researchers, and mathematics education students seeking a comprehensive understanding of the evolution of mathematical ideas.

Uta C. Merzbach's principal contribution in this third edition lies in her ability to bridge the classical dichotomy between "internal" and "external" history in mathematical historiography. Internal history focuses on the technical and logical development of concepts such as proof and rigor whereas external history examines the social, cultural, and political contexts influencing the emergence of these ideas (Cristofaro & Giardino, 2025; Elshater & Abusaada, 2025). In her preface, Merzbach explicitly states that she seeks to integrate these two perspectives through a reinterpretation of original sources enriched with more complex historical analysis. This interdisciplinary approach marks a paradigm shift in modern mathematical historiography, where mathematics is no longer viewed as an isolated abstract activity but as a product of interaction between rational thought and the dynamics of civilization.

Merzbach's approach has significant implications for mathematics education. In higher education, where future teachers and mathematics scholars are trained, history-based learning should become an integral part of the curriculum. Historical understanding not only enriches learning content but also helps students recognize the interconnection between the development of mathematical ideas and their social contexts (Haavold & Sriraman, 2022). Consequently, mathematics teaching can move from mere knowledge transmission to knowledge construction, enabling students to build meaning and comprehend the evolution of ideas. This is also consistent with the spirit of Indonesia's *Merdeka Curriculum*, which emphasizes reflective, contextual, and humanistic learning.

In addressing the challenges of modern mathematics education curricula, lecturers and academics are required to produce graduates who are not only technically competent but also historically and critically aware (Kholid et al., 2023; Sebele-mpofu, 2024). A critical study of Boyer and Merzbach's work is therefore a strategic step toward achieving this goal. Through an analysis of the structure, periodization, and methodological approaches employed in *A History of Mathematics*, educators can gain practical insights into integrating historical perspectives into mathematics instruction. Using historical case studies such as the emergence of the concept of limits or number theory can help students understand the logic behind the development of concepts and connect them with their own learning experiences (Al-ansi et al., 2023; Intelligence et al., 2023). In this way, mathematics learning becomes more meaningful, engaging, and contextually grounded.

METHOD

This study employs a descriptive qualitative approach using the content analysis method applied to *A History of Mathematics* by Carl B. Boyer and Uta C. Merzbach (third edition, 2011). This approach was selected because the primary objective of the research is to describe and analyze the narrative structure, periodization, and methodological principles used in the historiographical construction of mathematics within the book. The main data were obtained through a close reading of all chapters, particularly those representing the chronological development of mathematics from ancient to modern times. The analysis focused on two major dimensions: internal history (the development of concepts, theories, and mathematical rigor) and external history (the social, economic, and cultural influences on the emergence of mathematical ideas). The analytical process involved data reduction, thematic categorization, content interpretation, and verification with supporting literature (Creswell, 2014). Data validity was strengthened through source triangulation and secondary literature review to ensure objective and scholarly interpretation. Through this method, the study seeks to reveal the methodological contribution of *A History of Mathematics* as a historiographical model for mathematics education that balances content (internal) and context (external), while highlighting its relevance to modern mathematics learning.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Comprehensive Periodic Coverage and Narrative Structure

The structure of *A History of Mathematics* by Boyer and Merzbach is characterized by a systematic chronological periodization combined with broad geographical and cultural coverage, making it one of the most influential references in the historiography of mathematics. The organization of chapters reflects major shifts in mathematical thought paradigms throughout history. Each period is presented not merely as a sequence of historical events but as an evolutionary process of ideas that shaped the conceptual foundation of modern mathematical science. Thus, the book presents a comprehensive narrative of the development of mathematics from prehistoric times to the contemporary era, demonstrating the logical continuity between practical needs, theoretical innovations, and the socio-cultural contexts that underpinned them.

In the initial section, *Ancient Mathematics* (Chapters 1–3), Boyer and Merzbach begin their discussion with traces of prehistoric numeracy and continue to early civilizations such as Egypt and Mesopotamia. Both regions are discussed in a balanced manner, although the authors give particular emphasis to Mesopotamia due to its more advanced mathematical sophistication. This civilization had already developed the sexagesimal positional number system, the concept of fractions, and algorithmic methods for solving quadratic and cubic equations. These achievements demonstrate that mathematics in this era functioned as an effective tool for calculation and practical problem-solving long before the emergence of formal proofs and axiomatic structures that characterized Greek mathematics (Boyer & Merzbach, 2011).

Subsequently, in the section *The Hellenic Tradition and the Middle Ages* (Chapters 4–12), the book highlights the shift from practical mathematics to the deductive approach that became the hallmark of the Greek tradition. Monumental works such as Euclid's *Elements* and Archimedes' *Geometrical Analysis* are presented as pivotal milestones marking the birth of rigor and the systematization of proof in mathematics. Boyer and Merzbach also emphasize significant contributions from non-Greek civilizations, such as Indian mathematics with its place-value system and the concept of zero, Chinese mathematics with its arithmetic computation methods, and Islamic mathematics, which played a crucial role in preserving, expanding, and transmitting Greek scientific traditions to the Western world through figures such as Al-Khwarizmi and Al-Kashi.

In the final section, *Early Modern to Contemporary Mathematics* (Chapters 13–24), the authors describe the transformative journey toward modern mathematics. This period includes the intellectual revival of the Renaissance, the emergence of symbolic algebra and logarithms, and the development of analytic geometry by Descartes. The narrative continues with discussions on calculus pioneered by Newton and Leibniz, followed by major contributions from Euler and Gauss during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. The third edition of the book expands the discussion to include twentieth- and twenty-first-century developments, such as the rigor in analysis introduced by Cauchy and Weierstrass, the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem by Andrew Wiles, and the use of computers in mathematical proofs. The overall narrative structure provides a comprehensive historical continuity, enabling mathematics education students to understand that mathematical ideas evolve cumulatively, interconnectively, and never emerge in isolation.

2. Methodological Approach: Extension, Not Correction

Uta C. Merzbach's emphasis in the third edition of *A History of Mathematics* on incorporating linguistic, sociological, and economic elements represents a conscious effort to situate mathematical development (internal) within its historical context (external). She rejects the notion that mathematics evolved in isolation from human life. Instead, each advancement in mathematical concepts and theories is inherently connected to the social, economic, and cultural needs of its era. For example, the development of logarithms during the Renaissance cannot be separated from the practical demands of navigation and astronomy, where scientists required more efficient methods for calculating distances and star positions. Thus, Merzbach's approach broadens readers' perspectives on how mathematics evolves through the interaction between abstract ideas and social realities.

In the context of *internal history*, the book continues to give considerable attention to the evolution of concepts, notations, and humanity's quest for rigor in mathematical reasoning. The long journey from Newton and Leibniz's intuitive calculus to the more formal foundations established by Cauchy and Weierstrass is explained in a deep and systematic manner. Boyer and Merzbach emphasize that rigor is not merely a matter of symbols or proof methods, but a reflection of the transformation in human scientific thought from intuition toward deduction. With this focus, the book serves as an important reference for understanding the internal dynamics of mathematics as a system of ideas that evolves cumulatively over time.

Meanwhile, in the *external history* dimension, Boyer and Merzbach highlight how practical and social needs have often served as the starting point for major mathematical innovations. For instance, the necessity of land measurement in ancient Egypt led to the early development of geometry, while the need for calendar systems in Mesopotamia drove progress in arithmetic and astronomy. From these beginnings emerged the transition from practical to deductive and theoretical mathematics, later refined by the Greeks. This approach challenges the view that mathematics grows in a social vacuum and instead asserts that mathematics is a living, dynamic cultural product shaped by the needs and challenges of civilization.

The historiographical approach combining both internal and external dimensions produces a more holistic understanding of mathematical history. Merzbach demonstrates a careful balance between the development of abstract ideas and their concrete historical contexts, allowing readers to perceive the interrelation between scientific discovery and everyday human life. Through this lens, *A History of Mathematics* presents not merely a chronology of discoveries but also a narrative of how humanity has understood and managed the world through symbols, logic, and computation.

The central methodological principle of this book lies in the concepts of *accumulation* and *extension* in the development of mathematics. Boyer & Merzbach (2011) emphasize that the history of mathematics is not a process of revising or invalidating older theories, but one of expansion without significant correction. In other words, each new theory does not replace the old but extends and deepens its meaning within a broader context. This principle is particularly relevant for understanding the distinctive nature of mathematics as a cumulative and logically consistent discipline across time.

From a philosophical perspective, mathematical truth differs from empirical sciences such as physics or biology. Theorems that have been deductively proven such as those in Euclid's *Elements* remain valid throughout history, even as new theories like non-Euclidean geometry emerge. Euclidean geometry is not invalidated but rather regarded as a special case within a more general structure. This view illustrates that mathematical truth is both eternal and hierarchical, with older concepts remaining integral components of an ever-expanding edifice of knowledge.

The pedagogical implications of this principle of accumulation are significant for students and teachers of mathematics education. This perspective underscores that learning the history of mathematics is not about memorializing the past or studying the "errors" of pioneers, but about understanding the intellectual processes that enrich modern scientific thinking. Each new concept stands upon the foundations built by its predecessors, reflecting the continuity of human logic and creativity. Consequently, *A History of Mathematics* is not merely an encyclopedia of facts but a methodological model demonstrating how a balance between content (internal) and context (external) can yield deeper insights into the nature of mathematics and its role in education.

3. Discussion

The discussion in this study reveals that the periodic and narrative structure in Boyer and Merzbach's *A History of Mathematics* possesses exceptional depth in portraying the evolution of mathematical thought across time. The chronological periodization applied in this book is not merely a sequence of events but a representation of paradigm shifts in scientific reasoning that underpin modern mathematics. In line with Fauvel & Maanen (2002), the integration of historical dimensions in mathematics education has been shown to enhance students' conceptual understanding and appreciation of the nature of mathematics. With its wide geographical and cultural coverage from Mesopotamia to the Islamic world and modern Europe, Boyer and Merzbach present a historical narrative that enables readers to recognize cross-civilizational connections in the formation of mathematical ideas. This aligns with Fardian et al. (2024), Lewin et al. (2023) and Xu et al. (2025), who assert that presenting mathematical history globally helps future educators grasp the universal foundations of mathematical knowledge.

The findings of this study further highlight the importance of balancing *internal history* and *external history* in mathematical historiography. Boyer & Merzbach (2011) focus not only on the evolution of mathematical concepts such as calculus or algebra but also on the social, economic, and cultural conditions influencing their emergence. This approach demonstrates that mathematics is not a purely intellectual pursuit detached from human life but a response to practical needs and challenges of the time. This is supported by Akosah et al. (2024) and Tririnika et al. (2024), who found that historical approaches in mathematics teaching effectively link abstract theories to real-world contexts, thereby improving students' motivation and comprehension. Thus, Merzbach's narrative structure reflects the integration of knowledge and life a paradigm essential to modern mathematics education.

Furthermore, Boyer and Merzbach's principle of *extension without correction* emphasizes that mathematical development is cumulative rather than destructive. Each new theory broadens the scope of its predecessors rather than rendering them obsolete. For example, the emergence of non-Euclidean geometry did not invalidate Euclid's framework but instead enriched the understanding of space and form within a more general context. This view is consistent with Lewin et al. (2023) and Nicol et al. (2023), who argues that recognizing the continuity of mathematical history helps students appreciate that every mathematical concept has deep historical roots and remains relevant to contemporary scientific progress. Understanding this principle allows educators to instill in students the idea that mathematics evolves as a stable yet innovative structure of ideas, fostering curiosity and intellectual appreciation.

Moreover, the findings strengthen the argument that historiography-based mathematics education has significant pedagogical value. As Clark & Kjeldsen (2018) demonstrate, integrating history into mathematics teaching enhances students' reflective and critical abilities in understanding knowledge structures. By tracing the evolution of ideas from intuition to rigor, mathematics education students can observe how deductive logic gradually emerged through cross-cultural and generational dialogues. This aligns with Bacelo & Gomez-Chacon (2023) and Tririnika et al. (2024), who found that mathematical history can serve as a bridge between conceptual knowledge and students' epistemological understanding. Therefore, Boyer and Merzbach's approach offers not only historical information but also a relevant framework for developing 21st-century mathematical literacy.

Overall, this discussion shows that Boyer and Merzbach's work represents more than historical literature it embodies a methodological model of human intellectual evolution. Their approach reflects a balance between cognitive and contextual aspects of scientific development, which can serve as a model for mathematics education in Indonesia. This aligns with Wu (2025) and Zeynivandnezhad et al. (2024), who asserts that integrating the history of mathematics into curricula fosters a more comprehensive understanding of mathematics as a human cultural achievement.

Through studying mathematical history, students and teachers not only grasp mathematical content and methods but also internalize the philosophical values underlying scientific discovery. Consequently, *A History of Mathematics* serves as a vital reference for cultivating historical and methodological awareness essential to a reflective, contextual, and humanistic mathematics education.

CONCLUSION

The book *A History of Mathematics* by Boyer and Merzbach is a highly comprehensive and methodologically rich historiographical work that presents the development of mathematics in a chronological, thematic, and contextual manner. Its systematic periodic structure and methodological approach balancing between internal history (the evolution of ideas and logical rigor) and external history (the social, cultural, and economic influences) make the book not merely a historical record but also a philosophical reflection on the dynamics of human scientific thought. The principle of *extension without correction* advocated in the book demonstrates that the development of mathematics is cumulative and continuous rather than destructive, meaning that each new concept enriches rather than invalidates previous ones. Based on these findings, it is recommended that *A History of Mathematics* be used as a primary source in teaching the history of mathematics within mathematics education programs. The integration of a historiographical approach in mathematics learning can help students and teachers understand the true nature of mathematics more holistically not merely as a collection of formulas, but as a cultural product, an outcome of intellectual creativity, and a reflection of humanity's long journey of civilization.

REFERENCES

Akosah, E. F., Arthur, Y. D., & Obeng, B. A. (2024). Unveiling the nexus: Teachers self - efficacy on realistic mathematics education via structural equation modeling approach. *International Journal of Didactical Studies*, *6*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.33902/ijods.202529184

Al-ansi, A. M., Jaboob, M., Garad, A., & Al-ansi, A. (2023). Analyzing augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) recent development in education. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *8*(April), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100532

Bacelo, A., & Gomez-Chacon, I. M. (2023). Characterising algorithmic thinking : A university study of unplugged activities ☆. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *48*(March), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101284

Boyer, C. B., & Merzbach, U. C. (2011). *A History of Mathematics (3rd ed.)*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Clark, K., & Kjeldsen, T. H. (2018). *History of mathematics in mathematics education: Theory and practice*. Handbook on the history of mathematics education. Springer.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Reseach design: Pendekatan kualitatif, kuantitatif, dan mixed*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka pelajar.

Cristofaro, M., & Giardino, P. L. (2025). Sur fi ng the AI waves : the historical evolution of arti fi cial intelligence in management and organizational studies and practices. *Journal of Management History*, *November*, 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMH-01-2025-0002

Dorner, C., & Ableitinger, C. (2022). Procedural mathematical knowledge and use of technology by senior high school students. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *18*(12), em2202. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/12712

Elshater, A., & Abusaada, H. (2025). Applying Contextualism: From Urban Formation to Textual Representation. *Societies*, *15*(78), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15040078

Fardian, D., Suryadi, D., Prabawanto, S., & Hayuningrat, S. (2024). Research trends on early algebra in the middle school: A combined bibliometric and meta-analysis review. *Jurnal Elemen*, *10*(April), 410–440. https://doi.org/10.29408/jel.v10i2.25539

Fauvel, J., & Maanen, J. Van. (2002). *History in Mathematics Education: The ICMI Study*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Fitriana, E., Siswanto, D. H., & Hanama, A. (2025). The Impact of Thematic Worksheet-Assisted Meaningful Learning Implementation on Students' Mathematical Concept Understanding and Metacognitive Skills. *Jurnal Praktik Baik Pembelajaran Sekolah Dan Pesantren*, *4*(02), 54–67. https://doi.org/10.56741/pbpsp.v4i02.788

Haavold, P. Ø., & Sriraman, B. (2022). Creativity in problem solving: integrating two different views of insight. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, *54*(1), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01304-

8
Intelligence, A., Existing, E., The, I., Ai, S., & Diffu, S. (2023). Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence Journal*, *4*(February), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100130

Kania, N., Fitriani, C., & Bonyah, E. (2023). Analysis of students' critical thinking skills based on prior knowledge mathematics. *International Journal of Contemporary Studies in Education (IJ-CSE)*, *2*(1), 49–58. https://doi.org/10.30880/ijcse.v2i1.248

Kholid, M. N., Hendriyanto, A., Sahara, S., Hakim, L., Juandi, D., Sujadi, I., & Kuncoro, K. S. (2023). A systematic literature review of Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) in mathematics education: Future challenges for educational practice and research A systematic literature review of Technological , Pedagogical and Content Know. *Cogent Education*, *10*(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2269047

Krogh, K., Øystein, A., & Skartsæterhagen, I. (2025). Mathematical induction in education research : a systematic review. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *119*, 79–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-024-10373-x

Lei, P., Kong, W., Han, S., Lv, S., & Wang, X. (2022). The Mathematical Culture in Test Items of National College Entrance Examination in China from 1978 to 2021. *Mathematics*, *10*(3987), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.3390/math10213987

Lewin, D., Orchard, J., Christopher, K., & Brown, A. (2023). Reframing curriculum for religious education. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, *55*(4), 369–387. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2023.2226696

Li, L., Pereira, J., & Hermita, N. (2022). Improving the Trigonometric Functions Learning Concept with Dynamic Mathematics Software. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, *10*(4), 386–396. https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsrm/v10i4.m01

Nicol, C., Thom, J. S., Doolittle, E., Glanfield, F., & Ghostkeeper, E. (2023). Mathematics education for STEM as place. *ZDM-Mathematics Education*, *55*(7), 1231–1242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-023-01498-z

Sebele-mpofu, F. Y. (2024). Hidden curriculum in accounting education in the digital era: The evolution, role, controversies, challenges and implications. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, *11*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2024.2402123

Tririnika, Y., Suryadi, I., & Slamet, I. (2024). In-depth Analysis of Students' Mathematical Problem-Solving Skills: Influence Factors Motivation and Effective Teaching Strategies. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *16*(3), 3752–3766. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v16i3.5474

Tumangger, W. R., Khalil, I. A., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2024). The Impact of Realistic Mathematics Education-based Student Worksheet for Improving Students' Mathematical Problem-Solving Skills. *IndoMath: Indonesia Mathematics Education*, *7*(2), 196. https://doi.org/10.30738/indomath.v7i2.122

Wu, Y. (2025). Unlocking mathematics success: Global lessons on student achievement, teacher satisfaction, and school environments. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, *20*(2), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.29333/iejme/15900

Xu, B., Ma, X., Zhang, Y., & Wu, X. (2025). Acta Psychologica How does mathematical literacy affect creative thinking ? Independent effects and differential impacts across proficiency groups. *Acta Psychologica*, *260*(August), 105509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105509

Zeynivandnezhad, F., Saralar-Aras, I., & Halai, A. (2024). A refined framework for qualitative content analysis of mathematics textbooks. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *20*(3), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/14284