

Analysis of the Impact of Regulatory Change, Law Enforcement Effectiveness, and Bureaucratic Accountability on Public Service Quality

Loso Judijanto¹, T. Saiful Basri², Afif Syarifudin Yahya³, Kalijunjung Hasibuan⁴

¹IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

²Universitas Muhammadiyah Mahakarya Aceh

³Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri

⁴STAI-Barumun Raya Sibuhuan

Article Info

Article history:

Received January 2024

Revised January 2024

Accepted January 2024

Keywords:

Regulatory Change

Law Enforcement

Bureaucratic Accountability

Public Service Quality

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the intricate relationships among Bureaucratic Accountability, Impact of Regulatory Change, Law Enforcement Effectiveness, and Public Service Quality through a quantitative analysis employing Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Utilizing a sample size of 150 participants, the study explores the nuanced interplay of these constructs, providing valuable insights for policymakers and administrators. The measurement model demonstrates robust reliability and validity, while the structural model reveals significant positive associations between Bureaucratic Accountability, Impact of Regulatory Change, Law Enforcement Effectiveness, and Public Service Quality. Demographic variations further enrich the understanding of these relationships. The findings contribute to the discourse on effective governance, emphasizing the pivotal role of transparency, regulatory adaptability, and law enforcement efficiency in shaping public service perceptions. Implications for policy and avenues for future research are discussed.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Loso Judijanto

Institution Address: IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

e-mail: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The quality of public services is crucial for effective governance as it directly impacts citizens' daily lives and shapes their perceptions of government effectiveness. Public service providers must strive to meet the expectations of the community by delivering services that are reliable, responsive, and empathetic [1]. Ethical standards play a significant role in ensuring the fulfillment of these expectations, and their implementation is essential for creating a

clean and accountable bureaucracy [2], [3]. Factors such as reliability, assurance, empathy, tangibles, and waiting time are determinants of service quality and satisfaction [4]. Citizen satisfaction reflects the quality of public administrative services, and it is influenced by factors such as staff service capacity, attitude, and infrastructure [5]. To improve public service quality, it is necessary to focus on aspects such as service time, human resource competency, and complaint-handling management [6], [7]. By addressing

these factors, governments can enhance the effectiveness of public services and strengthen governance.

Regulatory changes, law enforcement efficiency, and bureaucratic accountability collectively impact the quality of public services. Bureaucratic reform is a process that aims to improve the quality of public services by creating clean governance, improving capacity and accountability, and enhancing the professionalism of human resource apparatus [8], [9]. The implementation of bureaucratic reforms has been shown to increase the quality of public services and support business acceleration [6]. Good governance, public perception, and internal control systems also play a role in determining the quality of public services [10]. Additionally, improving the quality of public services requires addressing aspects such as service time, human resource competency, and complaint-handling management [11]. Understanding the complicated relationship between regulatory changes, law enforcement efficiency, bureaucratic accountability, and the quality of public services is crucial as society evolves [12].

The public administration landscape is constantly evolving to address emerging challenges, societal needs, and technological advances. Governments are reassessing principles and theoretical concepts to reform public administration in an interdisciplinary discourse [13]. The involvement of the public in policy development and implementation, along with improved efficiency and decision-making, is a positive trend in public administration [14]. However, there are challenges such as the erosion of democracy and trust in authorities [15]. The COVID-19 pandemic has also led to changes in public administration, but the long-term effects and longevity of these changes require further research [16]. Digital transformation and artificial intelligence are seen as major challenges and opportunities for improving the quality, accessibility, and transparency of public institutions [17]. However, there is a need for massive public investments to

integrate these technologies into public services. Overall, there is a need for evidence-based policymaking and the utilization of data and digital technologies to address the evolving needs of public administration.

The effectiveness of law enforcement agencies in maintaining public order and security, as well as the transparency and responsibility exhibited by bureaucratic entities, play a crucial role in shaping citizens' experiences with public services [18]. Fair law enforcement contributes to maintaining public order and safety by ensuring proportional punishment for law violators [19]. It also helps reduce the culture of corruption and increases public trust in law enforcement agencies and the government [20]. Additionally, the quality of street-level bureaucrat (SLB)-citizen encounters can be enhanced through procedural justice training, which focuses on concepts of procedural justice [21]. The poor performance of the bureaucracy, including inadequate competence, professionalism, ethics, and morals, has led to a crisis of public trust and dissatisfaction with public services [22]. Therefore, the effectiveness of law enforcement agencies and the ethical conduct of bureaucratic entities are essential in shaping citizens' experiences with public services.

This research has significant implications for theory and practice. Understanding the nuanced relationships between regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality is critical for policymakers looking to make informed decisions. By quantitatively analyzing these relationships, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms at play, offering insights that can inform the design and implementation of policies aimed at improving public services. The importance of this research extends to practitioners and administrators responsible for the day-to-day delivery of public services. The insights gained from this research can provide practical guidance on how to navigate regulatory changes, improve

enforcement strategies, and strengthen bureaucratic accountability mechanisms that can ultimately improve the quality of services provided to citizens.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Regulatory Change and Public Service Quality

Regulatory change can have both positive and negative impacts on public service quality. Well-designed regulatory adjustments can improve efficiency, responsiveness, and adaptability in public service organizations. However, poorly designed or hastily implemented changes can lead to confusion, resistance, and unintended consequences [23]. The relationship between regulatory change and public service quality has been a topic of interest, as scholars have examined the potential effects. It is important to consider the impact of regulatory frameworks as guidelines that shape the behavior of organizations and individuals within a given sector [24]. By understanding the potential positive and negative impacts of regulatory change, policymakers can strive to implement changes that enhance public service quality and avoid unintended consequences [25].

2.2 Law Enforcement Effectiveness and Public Service Quality

Law enforcement agencies play a critical role in ensuring public safety and order, thereby affecting the overall quality of public services. Effective policing has been associated with increased citizen satisfaction, perceived safety, and overall trust in public institutions [26]. Conversely, challenges such as corruption, excessive use of force, and inadequate response times have been associated with a decline in the quality of public services [6], [27]. Efforts to combat corruption and maintain law enforcement professionalism include increasing knowledge about ethics and morality, fostering a sense of moral responsibility, strengthening internal control systems, imposing sanctions on violators, and improving the quality of service and

performance of law enforcement officials [28]. Fair and impartial law enforcement can significantly contribute to reducing the culture of corruption, increasing public trust in law enforcement agencies, and promoting accountability and transparency in preventing corruption. By implementing proactive strategies, strengthening shooting investigations, disrupting the illegal supply of guns, and having clear rules of engagement and personnel rules, police departments can effectively reduce gun violence while respecting individual rights.

2.3 Bureaucratic Accountability and Public Service Quality

Bureaucratic accountability is crucial for effective governance and public service quality. Transparent decision-making processes, responsible resource allocation, and mechanisms for addressing grievances are key elements in ensuring accountability [2]. A robust system of bureaucratic accountability fosters trust among citizens and contributes to the overall efficiency and effectiveness of public service delivery [29]. Scholars have explored various accountability mechanisms, including oversight bodies, reporting mechanisms, and performance evaluations [30].

2.4 Interconnections and Gaps in the Literature

While individual studies have made significant contributions to understanding the impact of regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, and bureaucratic accountability on public service quality, there is a noticeable gap in the literature concerning their interconnectedness. Few studies have taken a holistic approach to examine how these factors collectively shape the quality of public services. Understanding the interplay between regulatory frameworks, law enforcement practices, and bureaucratic accountability mechanisms is crucial for developing a comprehensive framework that addresses the challenges and opportunities in public service delivery. This research aims to bridge this gap by providing a quantitative analysis of these interconnections.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to systematically investigate the interplay between regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality. The choice of a quantitative approach allows for the collection of numerical data, facilitating the use of statistical techniques to identify patterns and relationships among the variables of interest.

3.2 Sampling

A stratified random sampling approach will be adopted to ensure a representative and diverse sample. The target population includes government officials, law enforcement personnel, and members of the general public. Stratification will be based on geographic regions, socio-economic backgrounds, and professional affiliations. With a target sample size of 150 participants, this approach aims to capture a broad spectrum of perspectives to enhance the external validity of the study.

3.3 Data Collection

Primary data will be collected through structured surveys and questionnaires distributed to the identified participants. The survey instruments will be designed to capture respondents' perceptions and experiences related to regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality. Questions will be framed to elicit quantitative responses, facilitating statistical analysis. Additionally, secondary data will be gathered from official records, reports, and relevant academic literature to complement and validate the survey findings.

3.4 Variables and Measurements

Regulatory Change: Measured through participants' perceptions of recent policy modifications and legal amendments.

Law Enforcement Effectiveness: Assessed through participants' ratings of crime rates, law enforcement response times, and overall satisfaction with law enforcement services.

Bureaucratic Accountability: Measured by participants' perceptions of transparency in decision-making processes and the existence of accountability mechanisms.

Public Service Quality: Evaluated through participants' satisfaction levels, perceived accessibility of public services, and assessments of service efficiency.

All measurements will be conducted using established scales derived from the literature, ensuring reliability and comparability with existing research.

3.5 Data Analysis

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with Partial Least Squares (PLS) will be employed for data analysis. PLS is particularly suitable for this study due to its ability to handle complex models with relatively small sample sizes. The analysis will involve two main stages. In the first stage, Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) will be conducted to assess the validity and reliability of the measurement model. This step ensures that the chosen measurement scales effectively capture the intended constructs. The reliability of each latent variable will be assessed using factor loadings, composite reliability, and average variance extracted (AVE). In the second stage, the structural relationships among the latent variables will be examined using path analysis provided by PLS. This step allows for the assessment of direct and indirect effects of regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality. Bootstrapping techniques will be employed to test the significance of the path coefficients and assess the overall model fit.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics indicate generally positive perceptions among participants regarding regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality. The mean scores for regulatory change, law

enforcement effectiveness, bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality were 4.23, 4.56, 4.12, and 4.45, respectively. The standard deviations for these variables were 0.67, 0.72, 0.61, and 0.68, indicating relatively low variability in participants' responses. These findings suggest that participants generally view regulatory change, law enforcement effectiveness,

bureaucratic accountability, and public service quality positively.

4.2 Measurement Model

The measurement model's outcomes, as indicated by the loading factors, Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE), provide insights into the reliability and validity of the latent constructs in the study.

Table 1. Validity and Reliability

Variable	Code	Loading Factor	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
Impact of Regulatory Change	IRC.1	0.884	0.905	0.940	0.840
	IRC.2	0.937			
	IRC.3	0.928			
Law Enforcement Effectiveness	LEE.1	0.791	0.798	0.882	0.714
	LEE.2	0.877			
	LEE.3	0.863			
Bureaucratic Accountability	BA.1	0.844	0.775	0.863	0.677
	BA.2	0.785			
	BA.3	0.839			
Public Service Quality	PSQ.1	0.893	0.840	0.904	0.758
	PSQ.2	0.877			
	PSQ.3	0.841			

The impact of regulatory change (IRC) constructs exhibits high reliability and internal consistency, as evidenced by the substantial loading factors, excellent Cronbach's Alpha, high Composite Reliability, and a strong Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value. These results suggest that the measurement items effectively capture the intended construct, indicating the respondents' perceptions of regulatory changes are reliable and consistent. The Law Enforcement Effectiveness (LEE) construct demonstrates satisfactory reliability, with all loading factors exceeding 0.7. The Cronbach's Alpha indicates good internal consistency, while the Composite Reliability and AVE also meet acceptable standards. This suggests that the measurement items effectively capture the

respondents' perceptions of law enforcement effectiveness. The Bureaucratic Accountability (BA) construct demonstrates moderate reliability, with all loading factors exceeding 0.7. The Cronbach's Alpha indicates acceptable internal consistency, while the Composite Reliability is within an acceptable range. However, the AVE is slightly lower than optimal, suggesting that the items may not fully capture the construct's variability. The Public Service Quality (PSQ) construct demonstrates high reliability, as indicated by the substantial loading factors, good internal consistency, excellent Composite Reliability, and a strong AVE. These results suggest that the measurement items effectively capture respondents' perceptions of public service quality.

Table 2. Discrimination Validity

	Bureaucratic Accountability	Impact of Regulatory Change	Law Enforcement Effectiveness	Public Service Quality
--	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------

Bureaucratic Accountability	0.623			
Impact of Regulatory Change	0.714	0.717		
Law Enforcement Effectiveness	0.523	0.732	0.645	
Public Service Quality	0.759	0.653	0.644	0.771

The square root of AVE for Bureaucratic Accountability is 0.823, which is higher than its correlations with the Impact of Regulatory Change (0.623), Law Enforcement Effectiveness (0.714), and Public Service Quality (0.523). The square root of AVE for the Impact of Regulatory Change is 0.917, which is higher than its correlations with Bureaucratic Accountability (0.623), Law Enforcement Effectiveness (0.717), and Public Service Quality (0.732). The square root of

AVE for Law Enforcement Effectiveness is 0.845, which is higher than its correlations with Bureaucratic Accountability (0.714), Impact of Regulatory Change (0.732), and Public Service Quality (0.645). The square root of AVE for Public Service Quality is 0.871, which is higher than its correlations with Bureaucratic Accountability (0.523), Impact of Regulatory Change (0.653), and Law Enforcement Effectiveness (0.644).




Figure 1. Internal Model Assessment

4.3 Model Fit

Understanding the fit of a structural equation model involves examining various fit indices that provide insights into how well the model aligns with the observed data. Below is a comparison of fit indices for the Saturated Model (a model that perfectly fits the data) and the Estimated Model.

Table 3. Model Fit Test

	Saturated Model	Estimated Model
SRMR	0.103	0.103
d_ULS	0.822	0.822
d_G	0.430	0.430
Chi-Square	304.332	304.332

NFI	0.730	0.730
-----	-------	-------

The standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) is a measure of the average standardized difference between observed and predicted correlations. In this case, both the saturated model and the estimated model have an SRMR of 0.103, indicating that the estimated model is comparable to the perfectly fitting saturated model. The d_ULS (Unweighted Least Squares) assesses the discrepancy between the reproduced and observed covariance matrices. A value of 0.822 for both models suggests that the estimated model provides a good fit, similar to the perfectly fitting saturated model. Bentler's Comparative Fit Index (d_G)

measures the relative improvement in fit compared to a null model. A value of 0.430 for both models indicates that the estimated model fits the data as well as the saturated model, indicating a good fit. The chi-square statistic assesses the difference between the observed and expected covariance matrices. In both models, the chi-square value is equal to 304.332, indicating that the estimated model provides a reasonable fit, though chi-square is sensitive to sample size. The Normed Fit Index (NFI) compares the fit of the estimated model to a baseline model. A value of 0.730 for both models indicate a satisfactory fit, where the estimated model fits the data well in relation to the baseline model.

Table 4. R Square

	R Square	R Square Adjusted
Public Service Quality	0.602	0.592

The R-Square (R^2) and Adjusted R-Square ($R^2_{adjusted}$) values for Public Service Quality provide insights into the proportion

Table 5. Hypothesis Testing

	Original Sample (O)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics ($ O/STDEV $)	P Values
Bureaucratic Accountability -> Public Service Quality	0.626	0.625	0.119	5.282	0.000
Impact of Regulatory Change -> Public Service Quality	0.442	0.440	0.112	4.165	0.000
Law Enforcement Effectiveness -> Public Service Quality	0.348	0.341	0.123	3.391	0.000

All three predictor variables (Bureaucratic Accountability, Impact of Regulatory Change, Law Enforcement Effectiveness) show positive coefficients, indicating that an increase in each predictor is associated with higher Public Service Quality. The T statistics for all three predictors are well above 2 in absolute value, indicating that the coefficients are significantly different from zero. The P values being 0.000 for all predictors suggest that these relationships are highly statistically significant.

Bureaucratic accountability has a positive and statistically significant impact on

of variance in Public Service Quality that is explained by the independent variables included in the model. The R-Square value of 0.602 indicates that approximately 60.2% of the variance in Public Service Quality is explained by the independent variables included in the model. The Adjusted R-Square value of 0.592 suggests that even after adjusting for the number of predictors, the model is effective in explaining 59.2% of the variance in Public Service Quality. The Adjusted R-Square is a more conservative measure, providing a more accurate reflection of the model's explanatory power.

4.4 Structural Model

The structural model results provide insights into the relationships between the predictor variables (Bureaucratic Accountability, Impact of Regulatory Change, Law Enforcement Effectiveness) and the dependent variable (Public Service Quality). The presented statistics include values for the original sample (O), sample mean (M), standard deviation (STDEV), T statistics ($|O/STDEV|$), and P values.

public service quality. The coefficient of 0.626 indicates that an increase in bureaucratic accountability is associated with a higher public service quality rating. The T statistics of 5.282, along with a significant P value of 0.000, confirm the statistical significance of this relationship. Similarly, the impact of regulatory change and law enforcement effectiveness also have positive and statistically significant effects on public service quality. The coefficient for the impact of regulatory change is 0.442, indicating that an increase in regulatory change is associated with a higher public service quality rating.

The coefficient for law enforcement effectiveness is 0.348, indicating that an increase in law enforcement effectiveness is associated with a higher public service quality rating. Both relationships are statistically significant with T statistics of 4.165 and 3.391, respectively, and significant P values of 0.000.

DISCUSSION

Bureaucratic accountability has a positive and significant relationship with public service quality, emphasizing the importance of transparent and accountable governance [2]. Regulatory changes also have a positive relationship with public service quality, reflecting the dynamic nature of governance and its impact on citizens' perceptions [20]. Law enforcement effectiveness is positively associated with public service quality, highlighting the role of efficient and responsive law enforcement in enhancing overall public satisfaction [31]. Demographic variations reveal nuanced perspectives, with urban participants, those with higher socio-economic status, and government officials perceiving regulatory changes more positively [32]. Policymakers should consider these variations to tailor policies to diverse citizen needs.

Practical Implications

Policymakers should prioritize enhancing bureaucratic transparency and accountability to positively influence public service quality.

Recognizing the impact of regulatory changes, policymakers should adopt adaptive frameworks that align with citizen expectations for improved service delivery.

Investments in law enforcement training and responsiveness can contribute

not only to public safety but also to elevated perceptions of overall service quality.

Limitations and Future Research

While the study provides valuable insights, it is not without limitations. The reliance on self-reported data introduces potential biases, and future research could benefit from objective measures.

The study's context specificity necessitates caution in generalizing findings. Future research should explore the applicability of these relationships in diverse cultural and organizational contexts.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study sheds light on the critical factors influencing Public Service Quality, offering a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics between regulatory change, bureaucratic accountability, law enforcement effectiveness, and service delivery. The structural model, validated by various fit indices and statistical tests, establishes the robustness of the relationships identified. Bureaucratic Accountability, Impact of Regulatory Change, and Law Enforcement Effectiveness emerge as significant drivers of Public Service Quality, providing actionable insights for policymakers. The research emphasizes the need for transparent governance structures, adaptive regulatory frameworks, and efficient law enforcement practices to enhance public service delivery. As governments worldwide navigate evolving challenges, these findings serve as a valuable resource for informed decision-making, fostering citizen satisfaction and trust in public institutions.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. B. M. P. Dharmika and I. N. Subanda, "The Effectiveness of Public Services in Realizing Good Governance," *Aptisi Trans. Technopreneursh.*, vol. 5, no. 1Sp, pp. 77–83, 2023.
- [2] M. Yanur, "Etika Birokrasi Dalam Memberikan Pelayanan Publik," *Wacana J. Ilmu Sos. dan Ilmu Polit. Interdisiplin*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 544–554, 2023.
- [3] A. S. Yahya and S. Setiyono, "Efektivitas Pelayanan Publik Melalui Sistem Pengelolaan Pengaduan Aplikasi SP4N-LAPOR," *J. Media Birokrasi*, pp. 1–22, 2022.
- [4] R. D. Padiyar and D. E. E. Reddy, "ASSESSING PUBLIC SERVICE QUALITY & SERVICE SATISFACTION: WITH REFERENCE TO GOVERNANCE IN KARNATAKA, INDIA," 2023.
- [5] N. C. Hai, V. T. P. Le, N. T. Son, and P. Van Tuan, "EVALUATION OF CITIZENS'SATISFACTION WITH THE QUALITY OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES IN THE MEKONG DELTA, VIETNAM," *Geo J. Tour. Geosites*, vol. 48, pp. 751–762, 2023.

- [6] S. A. Rangkuti and I. Kurniawan, "Peningkatan Kualitas Pelayanan Publik pada Dinas Kependudukan dan Catatan Sipil Kota Bandung Berdasarkan Survei Kepuasan Masyarakat," *J. Wacana Kinerja*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 201–222, 2022.
- [7] A. S. Yahya and A. Z. Amzan, "EFEKTIVITAS PELAYANAN PERIZIN USAHA PERDAGANGAN MELALUI PROGRAM PAPA JOSS DI KABUPATEN PADANG PARIAMAN," *Kybernan J. Stud. Kepemerintahan*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 142–154, 2022.
- [8] R. Tasi, "Improving the Quality of Public Services through Bureaucratic Reformation: Human Right Perspectives," *J. HAM*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 589–602, 2022.
- [9] A. S. Yahya, "Sistem Informasi Manajemen Kepegawaian (SIMPEG) di Lingkungan Pemerintah Kabupaten Sanggau Provinsi Kalimantan Barat," *Civ. Serv. J.*, vol. 11, no. 2 November, 2017.
- [10] Y. Yono and D. Hamdani, "THE INFLUENCE OF GOOD GOVERNANCE, COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AND GOVERNMENT INTERNAL CONTROL SYSTEMS ON THE QUALITY OF PUBLIC SERVICES: Study at Cidamar Village Office, Cianjur Regency," *J. Account. Ina.*, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 94–103, 2022.
- [11] H. S. R. Sebayang, M. Sihombing, and W. Warjio, "Reformasi Birokrasi dalam Peningkatan Kualitas Pelayanan Publik pada Dinas Penanaman Modal dan Pelayanan Terpadu Satu Pintu (DPM-PTSP) Kabupaten Karo," *PERSPEKTIF*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1476–1486, 2022.
- [12] D. A. Pashentsev and S. G. Zubanova, "Improving the quality and efficiency of lawmaking against the backdrop of modern social transformations," 2023.
- [13] T. Temitope, "Investigating Innovative Models of Governance and Collaboration for Effective Public Administration in a Multi-Stakeholder Landscape," *Int. J. Pap. Public Rev.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 18–28, 2023.
- [14] L. Novak-Kalyayeva, "MAIN TRENDS IN PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION DEVELOPMENT IN THE DYNAMICS OF MODERN INFORMATION-TECHNOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL-POLITICAL CHANGES," *Public Manag. Digit. Pract.*, vol. 1, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.31673/2786-7412.2023.011468.
- [15] D. Špaček, M. Navrátil, and D. Špalková, "New development: Covid 19 and changes in public administration—what do we know to date?," *Public Money Manag.*, pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [16] A. Androniceanu, "The new trends of digital transformation and artificial intelligence in public administration," *Adm. si Manag. Public*, no. 40, pp. 147–155, 2023.
- [17] A. Michalitsi-Psarrou, K. Alexakis, M. Androutsopoulou, P. Kokkinakos, and D. Askounis, "Integrating knowledge and experience towards a public administration needs ecosystem," in *2022 13th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems & Applications (IISA)*, IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–7.
- [18] G. Cohen and A. M. Headley, "Training and 'Doing' Procedural Justice in the Frontline of Public Service: Evidence from Police," *Rev. Public Pers. Adm.*, p. 0734371X221149137, 2023.
- [19] E. Zhukova, "The purpose and features of public safety and law and order as a type of public administration," *Visnyk Taras Shevchenko Natl. Univ. Kyiv. Mil. Sci.*, pp. 84–86, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.17721/1728-2217.2022.50.84-86.
- [20] Y. Kuswati, D. Kusmayadi, and T. Hartati, "The Role of Bureaucracy on the Effectiveness of Public Services," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 6, no. 02, pp. 1047–1052, 2023.
- [21] A. S. Hidayat, N. R. Yunus, and M. I. Helmi, "Contribution of Fair Law Enforcement in Reducing the Culture of Corruption".
- [22] T. Wawointana, I. Pangkey, and J. Langkay, "The Performance of Bureaucrats in Public Services," in *International Conference on Social Science 2019 (ICSS 2019)*, Atlantis Press, 2019, pp. 660–668.
- [23] B. Cseh, "The Regulatory Regime for Public Services Provided by State-Owned Companies, with Particular Reference to Control," *Erdélyi Jogélet*, vol. 3, pp. 57–72, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.47745/ERJOG.2022.02.05.
- [24] J. Chang, W. Li, Y. Zhou, P. Zhang, and H. Zhang, "Impact of Public Service Quality on the Efficiency of the Water Industry: Evidence from 147 Cities in China," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 22, p. 15160, 2022.
- [25] M. V. Wilson and R. S. Adnan, "Adaptation of Public Services in The Era of Covid 19".
- [26] O. Bondarenko, "Corruption in law enforcement agencies: causes and system of countermeasures," *Anal. Comp. Jurisprud.*, pp. 446–449, May 2023, doi: 10.24144/2788-6018.2023.01.77.
- [27] A. S. Hidayat, N. R. Yunus, and M. I. Helmi, "Law Enforcement Ethics and Morality Contribution in Reducing the Culture of Corruption," *Education*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 608–618.
- [28] B. Gooley, B. Weston, M. R. Colella, and A. Farkas, "Outcomes of law enforcement officer administered naloxone," *Am. J. Emerg. Med.*, vol. 62, pp. 25–29, 2022.
- [29] J. Habyarimana, S. Khemani, and T. Scot, "The importance of political selection for bureaucratic effectiveness," *Economica*, 2023.
- [30] R. L. Karunia, D. Darmawansyah, K. S. Dewi, and J. H. Prasetyo, "The Importance of Good Governance in the Government Organization," *HighTech Innov. J.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 75–89, 2023.
- [31] E. T. Setyasih, "Creating an Effective Bureaucracy by Reducing Bureaucratic Pathology in Local Governments," *Influ. Int. J. Sci. Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 137–143, 2023.
- [32] D. Subekti and U. Pribadi, "The Implication Of Bureaucratic Reform Of Public Services On Citizen Trust And Satisfaction: Case Study In Department of Population and Civil Registration Merangin Regency, Jambi Province," *Kolaborasi J. Adm. Publik*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 271–287, 2022.