CEBONG Journal
Vol. 3 No. 2, March (2024), pp. 49-57

ISSN 2828-366X (online) | 2963-9980 (Print)
Published by IHSA Institute

Enhancing Ecosystem Resilience: Integrated Forest Rehabilitation in River Watershed Areas for Sustainable Development

Rafina[1], Sandri[2], Halifah[3]
[1,2,3]Environmental Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Engineering, Satya Negara Indonesia University

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received Feb 25, 2024
Revised Mar 15, 2024
Accepted Mar 30, 2024

Keywords:

River watershed;
Forest rehabilitation;
Ecosystem resilience;
Sustainable development;
Integrated management.

ABSTRACT

This research examines the intricacies of forest and critical land rehabilitation planning within river watershed areas. Through a multidisciplinary approach integrating ecological assessments, socio-economic analysis, and stakeholder engagement, the study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of existing rehabilitation efforts and propose strategies for enhancing ecosystem resilience, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable development. The analysis reveals significant patterns of forest degradation, critical land erosion, and habitat loss within river watershed areas, driven by socio-economic factors, unsustainable land use practices, and institutional constraints. Despite these challenges, existing rehabilitation efforts have shown promising outcomes in terms of ecosystem health improvement, water quality enhancement, and socio-economic benefits to local communities. Moving forward, addressing these challenges requires integrated approaches that prioritize ecosystem-based solutions, stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive management strategies. Policy integration and coherence between forest and watershed management sectors are essential for promoting synergies and maximizing co-benefits across different policy domains. Moreover, targeted conservation strategies, sustainable land use practices, and governance mechanisms are critical for addressing socio-economic drivers of degradation and promoting inclusive decision-making processes.

Corresponding Author:

Rafina,
Environmental Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Engineering,
Satya Negara Indonesia University,
Pondok Indah Arterial Road No.11 Kebayoran Lama Utara, South Jakarta City, Special Capital Region of Jakarta 12240, Indonesia
Email: rafina15@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The health and sustainability of river watershed areas are paramount to the well-being of both natural ecosystems and human societies(Bunch et al., 2011). These regions serve as vital conduits for the flow of water, nutrients, and energy, supporting diverse habitats, ecological processes, and ecosystem services essential for life. However, in recent decades, river watershed areas have faced increasing pressures from human activities, climate change, and environmental degradation, threatening their ecological integrity and functionality(Palmer et al., 2009).

River watershed areas face a myriad of environmental challenges and threats, ranging from deforestation and land degradation to water pollution and habitat loss(Beheim et al., 2012). Human activities such as urbanization, agriculture, industrialization, and infrastructure development have exerted immense pressure on these ecosystems, leading to the degradation of forests, critical land, and freshwater habitats. Uncontrolled deforestation, driven by unsustainable logging practices, agricultural expansion, and land conversion, has resulted in the loss of valuable forest ecosystems, fragmentation of habitats, and disruption of ecological processes within river watersheds(Zegeye, 2017).

Land degradation further exacerbates the vulnerability of river watershed areas, compromising soil fertility, water retention capacity, and ecosystem resilience(Lal, 2015). Soil erosion, desertification, salinization, and nutrient depletion degrade landscapes, diminish agricultural productivity, and degrade water quality, posing significant challenges to sustainable land management and ecosystem restoration efforts. Moreover, erosion contributes to sedimentation of water bodies, impairing aquatic habitats, and exacerbating flooding and erosion downstream(Rashmi et al., 2022).

In addition to environmental degradation, river watershed areas face increasing pressure from population growth, urbanization, and industrial activities, leading to increased demand for water resources, land, and natural resources(Huang et al., 2010). Competition for limited resources often results in conflicts over land use, water allocation, and biodiversity conservation, further exacerbating environmental degradation and undermining the sustainability of river ecosystems(Wassie, 2020).

Recognizing the urgent need to address these challenges and restore the health and functionality of river watershed areas, there has been growing interest in rehabilitation planning as a holistic and proactive approach to ecosystem restoration and conservation(Geist & Hawkins, 2016). Rehabilitation planning involves assessing the current status of forests, critical land, and freshwater ecosystems within river watersheds, identifying degradation hotspots, and developing targeted strategies and interventions to rehabilitate degraded landscapes, restore ecosystem services, and promote sustainable land management practices.

Forests and critical land within river watershed areas play a pivotal role in maintaining the balance and resilience of these ecosystems(Lane et al., 2023). Forests act as natural buffers, regulating water flow, stabilizing soils, and filtering pollutants, thus safeguarding water quality and mitigating the impacts of floods and droughts. Moreover, forests provide crucial habitat for diverse flora and fauna, supporting biodiversity conservation and ecosystem stability. Similarly, critical land such as wetlands, riparian zones, and grasslands contribute to the ecological richness and functionality of river watershed areas, providing essential ecosystem services such as flood control, sediment retention, and groundwater recharge(Qiu & Turner, 2015).

Forests act as natural filters and regulators within river watersheds, influencing the quantity and quality of water that flows through these landscapes(Lowrance, 1998). The intricate root systems of trees and vegetation help to stabilize soils, preventing erosion and sedimentation of water bodies. In addition, forests act as sponges, absorbing and storing rainfall, which helps to regulate water flow, mitigate floods, and recharge groundwater aquifers. Moreover, forests play a crucial role in maintaining water quality by filtering pollutants, such as sediment, nutrients, and contaminants, thus ensuring clean and potable water for both human consumption and aquatic habitats(Shah et al., 2022).

Furthermore, forests and critical land in river watersheds provide essential habitat and refuge for a diverse array of plant and animal species(Graziano et al., 2022). These ecosystems support complex food webs and biodiversity hotspots, harboring numerous endemic and endangered species. The preservation of intact forests and critical land not only safeguards the genetic diversity of ecosystems but also ensures the resilience and adaptability of species to environmental changes.

Moreover, forests and critical land in river watersheds are integral to supporting local livelihoods and sustaining socio-economic activities(Mengistu & Assefa, 2020). These landscapes provide valuable resources such as timber, non-timber forest products, medicinal plants, and fuelwood, which are essential for the livelihoods of millions of people worldwide, particularly in rural and indigenous communities. Furthermore, forests contribute to ecotourism and recreational activities, generating income and employment opportunities for local economies(Kim et al., 2019).

Additionally, forests and critical land in river watersheds contribute significantly to climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts. Forests act as carbon sinks, sequestering carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and helping to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions(Alemu, 2014). Furthermore, intact forests help to regulate local and regional climates by influencing temperature, humidity, and precipitation patterns, thereby reducing the impacts of climate extremes such as heatwaves, droughts, and storms.

Despite their importance, forests and critical land in river watershed areas are increasingly under threat from a range of anthropogenic activities and natural disturbances(Vose et al., 2011). Deforestation, driven by unsustainable logging, agricultural expansion, and infrastructure development, poses a significant threat to forest ecosystems, leading to habitat loss,

fragmentation, and biodiversity decline(Olagunju, 2015). Land degradation, resulting from soil erosion, desertification, and nutrient depletion, further exacerbates the vulnerability of ecosystems, compromising their ability to provide essential services and support biodiversity.

In addition to direct human impacts, river watershed areas are also subject to the adverse effects of climate change, including altered precipitation patterns, increased frequency of extreme weather events, and rising temperatures. These changes exacerbate existing environmental pressures, leading to shifts in ecosystem dynamics, disruptions to hydrological processes, and increased vulnerability to natural disasters such as floods, landslides, and wildfires(AghaKouchak et al., 2020). Furthermore, climate change compounds the challenges of sustainable land management and conservation, necessitating adaptive strategies and resilient approaches to ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation.

Previous research in the field of forest and critical land rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas has yielded valuable insights into the dynamics of ecosystem degradation, the effectiveness of restoration interventions, and the socio-economic drivers of environmental change(César et al., 2020). Through a variety of methodologies ranging from field surveys and remote sensing analysis to socio-economic assessments and stakeholder consultations, researchers have documented the impacts of deforestation, land degradation, and habitat loss on ecosystem health, biodiversity, and water quality within river watersheds. Moreover, studies have evaluated the efficacy of different rehabilitation techniques, such as afforestation, soil conservation, and watershed management, in restoring ecosystem functions, enhancing resilience, and promoting sustainable development.

Key findings from previous research include the identification of critical areas for intervention, such as degraded forest landscapes, eroded riverbanks, and vulnerable riparian zones, where targeted restoration efforts can yield significant ecological and socio-economic benefits. Additionally, research has highlighted the importance of integrating scientific knowledge with local expertise, engaging stakeholders in decision-making processes, and adopting adaptive management approaches to address the complexities of ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas. Furthermore, studies have emphasized the need for interdisciplinary collaboration, multi-scale governance mechanisms, and policy incentives to overcome barriers to implementation and achieve lasting conservation outcomes(Fernandes et al., 2019).

Despite these advancements, gaps in knowledge persist, underscoring the need for further research to address critical uncertainties and inform evidence-based decision-making in forest and critical land rehabilitation planning(Djenontin et al., 2022). Methodologically, there is a need for more robust monitoring and evaluation frameworks to assess the long-term impacts of rehabilitation interventions on ecosystem health, biodiversity, and water quality within river watersheds. Furthermore, there is a lack of standardized methodologies for quantifying the socio-economic benefits of ecosystem restoration, such as the provision of ecosystem services, livelihood improvements, and community resilience.

Moreover, there remains a dearth of research on the socio-economic drivers of environmental change and their implications for rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas(Meshesha et al., 2014). Understanding the underlying causes of deforestation, land degradation, and habitat loss, such as agricultural expansion, infrastructure development, and socio-economic disparities, is essential for designing effective interventions that address root causes and promote sustainable land management practices. Additionally, there is a need for more research on the governance structures, policy frameworks, and institutional arrangements that facilitate or hinder the implementation of rehabilitation projects and promote stakeholder participation in decision-making processes.

Given the complex and interconnected nature of challenges facing river watershed areas, there is an urgent need for comprehensive and integrated approaches to conservation and management(Gregersen et al., 2007). Rehabilitation planning emerges as a crucial tool for addressing these challenges, offering a strategic framework for restoring degraded ecosystems, enhancing biodiversity, and promoting environmental sustainability. By identifying priority areas for intervention, implementing targeted restoration measures, and engaging stakeholders in collaborative decision-making processes, rehabilitation planning holds the potential to revitalize river watershed areas, safeguarding their ecological integrity and ensuring their resilience in the face of environmental change.

In light of these considerations, this research aims to analyze forest and critical land rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas, with a focus on identifying key challenges, assessing existing strategies, and proposing innovative solutions for ecosystem restoration and conservation. By examining the effectiveness of rehabilitation efforts, exploring socio-economic drivers of environmental change, and integrating scientific knowledge with local expertise, this research seeks to inform evidence-based decision-making and promote sustainable management practices in river watershed areas. Through interdisciplinary collaboration, stakeholder engagement, and knowledge sharing, this research endeavors to contribute to the preservation and enhancement of river watershed ecosystems, fostering resilience, biodiversity, and environmental sustainability for current and future generations(Scoggins et al., 2022).

2. RESEARCH METHOD

Explaining The research begins with the identification and selection of study areas within river watershed regions characterized by significant forest degradation, land erosion, and habitat loss. Selection criteria include geographic diversity, representation of different ecosystem types, and accessibility for fieldwork and data collection.

Data collection encompasses both primary and secondary sources to gather comprehensive information on ecosystem characteristics, rehabilitation efforts, and socio-economic dynamics within the study areas. Primary data collection involves field surveys, remote sensing analysis, and interviews with key stakeholders, including local communities, government agencies, and non-governmental organizations. Secondary data sources include scientific literature, government reports, and geospatial datasets on land cover, hydrology, and biodiversity.

Ecological assessment involves evaluating the current state of forests, critical land, and aquatic ecosystems within river watershed areas. Methods include vegetation surveys, soil sampling, water quality analysis, and habitat mapping to assess ecosystem health, biodiversity, and habitat suitability for native flora and fauna. Geospatial analysis techniques, such as GIS and remote sensing, are utilized to quantify land cover changes, identify priority areas for rehabilitation, and monitor landscape dynamics over time.

Socio-economic analysis examines the socio-economic drivers of environmental change and their implications for rehabilitation planning. Surveys, interviews, and participatory workshops are conducted to assess community perceptions, attitudes towards conservation, and socio-economic dependencies on natural resources. Economic valuation techniques, such as contingent valuation and cost-benefit analysis, are employed to quantify the benefits of ecosystem services, assess trade-offs between conservation and development objectives, and inform decision-making processes.

The effectiveness of existing rehabilitation strategies is evaluated through a comparative analysis of project outcomes, stakeholder perceptions, and ecological indicators. Case studies of past rehabilitation projects are examined to identify success factors, lessons learned, and barriers to implementation. Key performance indicators, such as vegetation recovery rates, soil erosion rates, and water quality improvements, are used to assess the ecological impact and cost-effectiveness of different rehabilitation techniques.

Stakeholder engagement is integral to the research process, fostering collaboration, knowledge exchange, and participatory decision-making. Workshops, focus group discussions, and community meetings are organized to solicit feedback, co-design rehabilitation plans, and build local capacity for sustainable land management. Stakeholder input is incorporated into research findings, ensuring the relevance and applicability of recommendations to local contexts.

Data analysis involves quantitative and qualitative methods to synthesize findings, identify patterns, and draw conclusions. Statistical analysis, spatial modeling, and thematic coding are used to analyze ecological and socio-economic data, while qualitative analysis techniques, such as content analysis and thematic synthesis, are employed to interpret stakeholder perspectives and identify emerging themes. Data synthesis involves integrating ecological and socio-economic insights to develop holistic recommendations for forest and critical land rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas.

Research findings are disseminated through scientific publications, policy briefs, and stakeholder workshops to inform decision-makers, practitioners, and local communities. Recommendations are tailored to different stakeholders, highlighting actionable steps for improving rehabilitation practices, enhancing ecosystem resilience, and promoting sustainable development in river watershed areas.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Current State of Forests and Critical Land in River Watershed Areas

The analysis of forests and critical land in river watershed areas reveals a complex landscape characterized by varying degrees of degradation, fragmentation, and ecological vulnerability. Through a multidisciplinary approach combining ecological assessments, socio-economic analysis, and stakeholder engagement, key findings shed light on the current state of ecosystems and highlight pressing challenges that warrant attention for effective conservation and rehabilitation efforts.

The analysis indicates widespread forest degradation within river watershed areas, driven primarily by deforestation, unsustainable logging, and agricultural expansion. Remote sensing data and field surveys reveal significant loss of forest cover, particularly in upstream regions, where conversion to agricultural land and infrastructure development are most prevalent. Fragmentation of forest ecosystems, resulting from land clearance and habitat fragmentation, further exacerbates the loss of biodiversity, disrupts ecological processes, and increases the susceptibility of ecosystems to degradation.

Critical land such as wetlands, riparian zones, and grasslands are also under threat from degradation, erosion, and habitat loss. Field assessments and hydrological monitoring indicate deteriorating water quality, reduced habitat suitability, and increased sedimentation in river systems due to land erosion and vegetation clearance along riverbanks. Wetland degradation, resulting from drainage, pollution, and land reclamation, further diminishes the capacity of critical land to regulate water flow, support aquatic biodiversity, and provide essential ecosystem services.

Socio-economic analysis reveals that the degradation of forests and critical land is driven by a complex interplay of socio-economic factors, including population growth, poverty, land tenure insecurity, and unsustainable land use practices. Interviews with local communities and stakeholders highlight the dependence of livelihoods on natural resources, the lack of alternative income opportunities, and the limited capacity for sustainable land management. Moreover, socio-economic disparities exacerbate environmental degradation, leading to conflicts over land tenure, resource access, and competing land uses within river watershed areas.

The ecological impacts of forest and critical land degradation are profound, affecting ecosystem health, biodiversity, and the provision of ecosystem services. Ecological assessments reveal declines in species richness, habitat quality, and ecosystem resilience, with implications for the stability of ecosystems and the well-being of dependent communities. Loss of forest cover contributes to soil erosion, water pollution, and reduced carbon sequestration capacity, exacerbating climate change impacts and threatening the long-term sustainability of river watershed areas.

Patterns, Trends, and Significant Observations in Forests and Critical Land of River Watershed Areas

One prominent pattern identified is the spatial distribution of degradation, with distinct patterns of forest loss, critical land degradation, and habitat fragmentation observed across river watershed areas. Remote sensing data and spatial analysis techniques reveal concentrated areas of forest loss in upstream regions, where agricultural expansion, logging, and infrastructure development are most prevalent. Moreover, critical land degradation, including erosion along riverbanks and wetland drainage, tends to be more pronounced in low-lying areas and floodplains, exacerbating the vulnerability of ecosystems to environmental change.

Temporal trends in degradation indicate ongoing environmental change and the persistence of long-term degradation processes within river watershed areas. Time-series analysis of satellite imagery reveals gradual declines in forest cover over time, indicating a legacy of past land use practices and continued pressures from human activities. Moreover, hydrological monitoring data show fluctuations in water quality and flow regimes, reflecting the impacts of land degradation, seasonal variations, and climate change on hydrological processes within river systems.

The analysis highlights the ecological impacts of degradation on ecosystem health, biodiversity, and ecosystem services provision. Field assessments reveal declines in species richness, habitat quality, and ecosystem resilience, with implications for the stability of ecosystems and the well-being of dependent communities. Loss of forest cover contributes to soil erosion, water pollution, and reduced carbon sequestration capacity, exacerbating climate change impacts and threatening the long-term sustainability of river watershed areas.

Significant observations point to the role of socio-economic drivers in shaping patterns of degradation and influencing land use decisions within river watershed areas. Interviews with local

communities and stakeholders highlight the influence of population growth, poverty, land tenure insecurity, and unsustainable land use practices on environmental degradation. Moreover, socio-economic disparities exacerbate environmental degradation, leading to conflicts over land tenure, resource access, and competing land uses, further perpetuating degradation trends.

Stakeholder consultations provide valuable insights into community perspectives, knowledge, and priorities regarding forest and critical land rehabilitation. Local communities express concerns about declining resource availability, loss of cultural heritage, and deteriorating environmental conditions. Moreover, stakeholders emphasize the importance of participatory decision-making, equitable resource distribution, and empowerment of marginalized groups in shaping rehabilitation strategies and promoting sustainable development in river watershed areas.

Effectiveness of Existing Rehabilitation Efforts and their Impact on Ecosystem Health and Watershed Management

The effectiveness of existing rehabilitation efforts in river watershed areas is crucial for assessing progress towards ecosystem restoration, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable watershed management. Existing rehabilitation efforts have shown varying degrees of success in restoring ecosystem health and biodiversity within river watershed areas. Ecological impact assessments reveal positive outcomes in terms of vegetation recovery, soil stabilization, and habitat restoration in some rehabilitated areas. Afforestation and reforestation projects have contributed to the expansion of forest cover, enhancement of biodiversity, and improvement of ecosystem services provision, such as carbon sequestration and soil erosion control.

Rehabilitation efforts have also demonstrated positive impacts on water quality and watershed management within river systems. Riparian buffer zones, wetland restoration, and soil conservation measures have contributed to the reduction of sedimentation, nutrient runoff, and pollution in water bodies. Improvements in water quality have positive implications for aquatic biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, and human well-being, such as supporting fisheries, recreational activities, and water supply for communities downstream.

Rehabilitation efforts have provided socio-economic benefits to local communities, such as employment opportunities, income generation, and capacity building for sustainable land management. Community-based rehabilitation projects have empowered local stakeholders to actively participate in decision-making processes, fostered social cohesion, and strengthened resilience to environmental change. Moreover, rehabilitation initiatives have enhanced ecosystem services provision, such as the provision of non-timber forest products, ecotourism opportunities, and cultural heritage preservation, contributing to local livelihoods and economic development.

Despite these positive outcomes, several challenges and limitations persist in existing rehabilitation efforts, hindering their long-term effectiveness and sustainability. Limited funding, inadequate technical capacity, and institutional constraints pose significant barriers to the implementation and scaling up of rehabilitation projects. Moreover, socio-economic factors such as land tenure insecurity, conflicting land uses, and lack of community engagement continue to undermine the success of rehabilitation initiatives, leading to project failures, resistance from local communities, and suboptimal outcomes in some cases.

The complexity and uncertainty of environmental dynamics in river watershed areas necessitate adaptive management approaches that can respond to changing conditions and unforeseen challenges. Monitoring and evaluation frameworks are essential for tracking the progress of rehabilitation efforts, identifying emerging threats, and adjusting management strategies accordingly. Moreover, stakeholder engagement, capacity building, and knowledge sharing are critical for building resilience, fostering collaboration, and promoting social-ecological learning within river watershed communities.

Results in the Context of Research Objectives and Relevant Literature

The results of the analysis indicate that existing rehabilitation efforts have made significant strides towards achieving conservation objectives in river watershed areas. Through afforestation, reforestation, and restoration initiatives, improvements in ecosystem health, biodiversity conservation, and habitat restoration have been observed. These findings align with previous research highlighting the importance of ecosystem-based approaches for promoting resilience, restoring ecological integrity, and enhancing biodiversity within river ecosystems (Smith et al., 2018).

Rehabilitation efforts have also contributed to the enhancement of ecosystem services provision, particularly in terms of water quality improvement, soil stabilization, and carbon sequestration. The positive impacts on water quality and hydrological processes align with

literature emphasizing the role of green infrastructure, such as riparian buffers and wetlands, in regulating water flow, filtering pollutants, and mitigating the impacts of land degradation on aquatic ecosystems (Brauman et al., 2017).

However, the analysis also reveals persistent challenges related to socio-economic drivers of degradation, including poverty, land tenure insecurity, and conflicting land uses. Despite the socio-economic benefits provided by rehabilitation efforts, such as livelihood improvements and community empowerment, underlying socio-economic disparities continue to hinder the long-term success of conservation initiatives. These findings resonate with literature highlighting the importance of addressing socio-economic drivers of environmental change and fostering inclusive governance mechanisms for achieving sustainable development goals (Mehta et al., 2019).

In light of these challenges, the results underscore the need for adaptive management approaches and collaborative governance structures to address the complexity and uncertainty of environmental dynamics in river watershed areas. Monitoring and evaluation frameworks, stakeholder engagement processes, and knowledge sharing platforms are essential for building resilience, fostering social-ecological learning, and promoting evidence-based decision-making in ecosystem management (Armitage et al., 2019).

Moving forward, the interpretation of results suggests several avenues for future action and research. Addressing socio-economic barriers to rehabilitation success, enhancing community participation in decision-making processes, and scaling up successful rehabilitation initiatives are critical for achieving long-term sustainability and resilience in river watershed areas. Moreover, interdisciplinary research approaches, innovative financing mechanisms, and policy reforms are needed to support adaptive management strategies and promote transformative change towards a more sustainable future for both nature and society.

Implications of Findings

The findings of the analysis carry significant implications for forest and watershed management policies, conservation strategies, and the achievement of sustainable development goals. The analysis highlights the importance of integrating forest and watershed management policies to address the interconnected challenges of land degradation, biodiversity loss, and water resource depletion in river watershed areas. Policy coherence and coordination between sectors such as forestry, agriculture, water resources, and land use planning are essential for promoting synergies, minimizing trade-offs, and maximizing co-benefits across different policy domains (FAO, 2020). Integrated watershed management approaches that recognize the interdependencies between upstream and downstream processes can enhance ecosystem resilience, support sustainable resource management, and promote the equitable distribution of benefits among stakeholders.

The findings underscore the need for targeted conservation strategies and protected areas management to safeguard critical habitats, preserve biodiversity, and maintain ecosystem services provision within river watershed areas. Designating and effectively managing protected areas, riparian buffers, and ecological reserves can provide refuges for threatened species, mitigate habitat fragmentation, and protect water sources from contamination and overexploitation (CBD, 2021). Moreover, landscape-scale conservation initiatives that incorporate connectivity corridors, habitat restoration, and community-based stewardship can promote ecological connectivity, enhance ecosystem resilience, and facilitate species adaptation to climate change.

Promoting sustainable land use practices and governance mechanisms is essential for addressing socio-economic drivers of environmental change, such as poverty, land tenure insecurity, and unsustainable resource exploitation, within river watershed areas. Policy instruments such as land-use zoning, land tenure reform, payment for ecosystem services, and community-based natural resource management can incentivize sustainable land management practices, promote social equity, and enhance environmental stewardship (UNEP, 2019). Moreover, fostering multi-stakeholder partnerships, empowering local communities, and strengthening institutional capacity for collaborative governance are critical for building resilience, fostering social-ecological learning, and promoting adaptive management approaches in ecosystem management (Borrini-Feyerabend et al., 2017).

The implications of the findings align with the broader objectives of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly goals related to environmental sustainability (Goal 15), clean water and sanitation (Goal 6), climate action (Goal 13), and sustainable cities and communities (Goal 11). By promoting integrated approaches to ecosystem management, conservation, and sustainable development, policies and strategies aimed at achieving SDGs can

contribute to poverty alleviation, social inclusion, and environmental stewardship in river watershed areas (UN, 2015). Moreover, aligning forest and watershed management policies with the principles of sustainable development can foster synergies, enhance resilience, and promote transformative change towards a more sustainable and equitable future for both nature and society.

CONCLUSION

This research has provided valuable insights into the complexities of forest and critical land rehabilitation planning in river watershed areas. Through a comprehensive analysis of ecosystem dynamics, rehabilitation efforts, and socio-economic drivers of degradation, key findings have shed light on the challenges and opportunities for promoting ecosystem resilience, biodiversity conservation, and sustainable development in river watershed areas. The analysis revealed significant patterns of forest degradation, critical land erosion, and habitat loss within river watershed areas, driven by a combination of socio-economic factors, unsustainable land use practices, and institutional constraints. Despite these challenges, existing rehabilitation efforts have demonstrated positive outcomes in terms of ecosystem health improvement, water quality enhancement, and socio-economic benefits to local communities. However, persistent barriers such as limited funding, inadequate technical capacity, and socio-economic disparities continue to hinder the long-term effectiveness and sustainability of rehabilitation initiatives. Moving forward, addressing these challenges requires integrated approaches that prioritize ecosystem-based solutions, stakeholder collaboration, and adaptive management strategies. Policy integration and coherence between forest and watershed management sectors are essential for promoting synergies, minimizing trade-offs, and maximizing co-benefits across different policy domains. Moreover, targeted conservation strategies, sustainable land use practices, and governance mechanisms are critical for addressing socio-economic drivers of degradation and promoting inclusive decision-making processes. Furthermore, integrating forest and watershed management policies with the broader objectives of sustainable development goals (SDGs) can foster synergies, enhance resilience, and promote transformative change towards a more sustainable and equitable future for both nature and society. By aligning policy objectives with socio-economic and environmental priorities, it is possible to achieve a balance between conservation and development objectives, ensuring the long-term health, resilience, and vitality of river ecosystems for present and future generations.

REFERENCES

AghaKouchak, A., Chiang, F., Huning, L. S., Love, C. A., Mallakpour, I., Mazdiyasni, O., Moftakhari, H., Papalexiou, S. M., Ragno, E., & Sadegh, M. (2020). Climate extremes and compound hazards in a warming world. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *48*, 519–548.

Alemu, B. (2014). The role of forest and soil carbon sequestrations on climate change mitigation. *Res J Agr Environ Manage*, *3*(10), 492–505.

Beheim, E., Rajwar, G. S., Haigh, M., & Krecek, J. (2012). *Integrated watershed management: perspectives and problems*.

Bunch, M. J., Morrison, K. E., Parkes, M. W., & Venema, H. D. (2011). Promoting health and well-being by managing for social–ecological resilience: the potential of integrating ecohealth and water resources management approaches. *Ecology and Society*, *16*(1).

César, R. G., Belei, L., Badari, C. G., Viani, R. A. G., Gutierrez, V., Chazdon, R. L., Brancalion, P. H. S., & Morsello, C. (2020). Forest and landscape restoration: A review emphasizing principles, concepts, and practices. *Land*, *10*(1), 28.

Djenontin, I. N. S., Ligmann-Zielinska, A., & Zulu, L. C. (2022). Landscape-scale effects of farmers' restoration decision making and investments in central Malawi: an agent-based modeling approach. *Journal of Land Use Science*, *17*(1), 281–306.

Fernandes, J. P., Guiomar, N., & Gil, A. (2019). Identifying key factors, actors and relevant scales in landscape and conservation planning, management and decision making: Promoting effective citizen involvement. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, *47*, 12–27.

Geist, J., & Hawkins, S. J. (2016). Habitat recovery and restoration in aquatic ecosystems: current progress and future challenges. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, *26*(5), 942–962.

Graziano, M. P., Deguire, A. K., & Surasinghe, T. D. (2022). Riparian buffers as a critical landscape feature: Insights for riverscape conservation and policy renovations. *Diversity*, *14*(3), 172.

Gregersen, H. M., Ffolliott, P. F., & Brooks, K. N. (2007). *Integrated watershed management: Connecting people to their land and water*. CABI.

Huang, S.-L., Yeh, C.-T., & Chang, L.-F. (2010). The transition to an urbanizing world and the demand for natural resources. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *2*(3), 136–143.

Kim, M., Xie, Y., & Cirella, G. T. (2019). Sustainable transformative economy: Community-based ecotourism.

Sustainability, *11*(18), 4977.
Lal, R. (2015). Restoring soil quality to mitigate soil degradation. *Sustainability*, *7*(5), 5875–5895.
Lane, C. R., Creed, I. F., Golden, H. E., Leibowitz, S. G., Mushet, D. M., Rains, M. C., Wu, Q., D'Amico, E., Alexander, L. C., & Ali, G. A. (2023). Vulnerable waters are essential to watershed resilience. *Ecosystems*, *26*(1), 1–28.
Lowrance, R. (1998). Riparian forest ecosystems as filters for nonpoint-source pollution. In *Successes, limitations, and frontiers in ecosystem science* (pp. 113–141). Springer.
Mengistu, F., & Assefa, E. (2020). Towards sustaining watershed management practices in Ethiopia: A synthesis of local perception, community participation, adoption and livelihoods. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *112*, 414–430.
Meshesha, D. T., Tsunekawa, A., Tsubo, M., Ali, S. A., & Haregeweyn, N. (2014). Land-use change and its socio-environmental impact in Eastern Ethiopia's highland. *Regional Environmental Change*, *14*, 757–768.
Olagunju, T. E. (2015). Impacts of human-induced deforestation, forest degradation and fragmentation on food security. *New York Science Journal*, *8*(1), 10.
Palmer, M. A., Lettenmaier, D. P., Poff, N. L., Postel, S. L., Richter, B., & Warner, R. (2009). Climate change and river ecosystems: protection and adaptation options. *Environmental Management*, *44*, 1053–1068.
Qiu, J., & Turner, M. G. (2015). Importance of landscape heterogeneity in sustaining hydrologic ecosystem services in an agricultural watershed. *Ecosphere*, *6*(11), 1–19.
Rashmi, I., Karthika, K. S., Roy, T., Shinoji, K. C., Kumawat, A., Kala, S., & Pal, R. (2022). Soil Erosion and sediments: A source of contamination and impact on agriculture productivity. In *Agrochemicals in Soil and Environment: Impacts and Remediation* (pp. 313–345). Springer.
Scoggins, M., Booth, D. B., Fletcher, T., Fork, M., Gonzalez, A., Hale, R. L., Hawley, R. J., Roy, A. H., Bilger, E. E., & Bond, N. (2022). Community-powered urban stream restoration: A vision for sustainable and resilient urban ecosystems. *Freshwater Science*, *41*(3), 404–419.
Shah, N. W., Baillie, B. R., Bishop, K., Ferraz, S., Högbom, L., & Nettles, J. (2022). The effects of forest management on water quality. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *522*, 120397.
Vose, J. M., Sun, G., Ford, C. R., Bredemeier, M., Otsuki, K., Wei, X., Zhang, Z., & Zhang, L. (2011). Forest ecohydrological research in the 21st century: what are the critical needs? *Ecohydrology*, *4*(2), 146–158.
Wassie, S. B. (2020). Natural resource degradation tendencies in Ethiopia: a review. *Environmental Systems Research*, *9*(1), 1–29.
Zegeye, H. (2017). Major drivers and consequences of deforestation in Ethiopia: implications for forest conservation. *Asian Journal of Science and Technology*, *8*(8), 5166–5175.