

A Holistic Approach to Enhancing the Quality of Education for Inclusive Students in Elementary Schools

Muhammad Syamsul Rijal^{1*}, Ahmad Badrun², Abdur Rahman Syakaqi³

¹ Department of English Education, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia

² Department of Science Education, Sunway University, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

³ Department of Science Education, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei Darussalam

¹ syammuahammad@gmail.com*; ² badrun04@gmail.com; ³ abdsyakaqi@gmail.com

* Corresponding author:

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received: November 5, 2024
Revised: November 10, 2024
Accepted: February 18, 2025
Published: February 25, 2025

Keywords

Holistic Approach
Elementary School
Special Needs Students



License by CC-BY-SA
Copyright © 2025, The Author(s).

ABSTRACT

Inclusive education in elementary schools is an important effort to ensure that every student, including those with special needs, gets equal educational rights. This article examines a holistic approach in improving the quality of education for inclusive students, with an emphasis on collaboration between educators, parents and the community. A holistic approach includes various aspects, such as curriculum adaptation, development of inclusion-friendly teaching methods, teacher training, and creating a learning environment that supports diversity. This research uses qualitative methods with literature analysis and case studies in several inclusive elementary schools. The study results show that a holistic approach can improve learning motivation, student engagement, and their academic outcomes. Thus, implementing this approach is recommended as an effective strategy to support sustainable and quality inclusive education at the elementary school level.

How to cite: Rijal, M. S., Badrun, A., & Syakaqi, A. R. (2025). A Holistic Approach to Enhancing the Quality of Education for Inclusive Students in Elementary Schools. *Journal of Education and Social Science*, 1(2), 43-48. doi: <https://doi.org/10.70716/jees.v1i2.107>

INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education has emerged as a fundamental paradigm in contemporary educational discourse, emphasizing the right of every child to access equitable learning opportunities within mainstream schools. It advocates for the full participation of students with diverse backgrounds and abilities, including those with special educational needs, in regular classrooms. This notion aligns with the principles of equity and inclusivity promoted by the Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which underscores the importance of ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education for all (UNESCO, 2016). At the elementary school level, inclusive education is considered a vital foundation in shaping a just, meaningful, and socially responsive education system.

Despite its noble aspirations, the implementation of inclusive education at the primary level remains fraught with complexities. Teachers are often required to adapt instructional strategies to accommodate a wide spectrum of learners, yet they frequently lack the necessary pedagogical knowledge and confidence to do so effectively (Sharma et al., 2018). In addition, limitations in infrastructure, insufficiently trained human resources, and a lack of awareness regarding inclusive pedagogy create barriers to the successful realization of inclusive education (Forlin et al., 2017; Nguyet & Ha, 2020). These challenges highlight the need for systematic and holistic approaches that transcend traditional teaching models.

A holistic approach to inclusive education is increasingly recognized as a viable response to these challenges. This framework emphasizes not only cognitive development but also the social, emotional, and psychological well-being of students (Booth & Ainscow, 2011). Such an approach is most effective when it involves collaboration among multiple stakeholders—teachers, parents, policymakers, and community members—working collectively to create supportive learning environments (Ainscow, 2020).

Central to this approach is teacher capacity building, as educators play a decisive role in determining the success of inclusive practices. Professional development programs that provide teachers with practical

strategies for managing inclusive classrooms have been shown to enhance both teacher efficacy and student outcomes (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002; Sharma & Sokal, 2016). Furthermore, parental involvement in the educational process remains an essential factor, as families can provide not only additional support but also advocacy for the rights of children with special needs (Hornby & Lafaele, 2011; Tran, 2021).

Equally significant are curricular adaptations and the integration of educational technology. Tailoring curricula to reflect diverse learning needs ensures that students can progress at an individualized pace (Florian, 2014). Likewise, the use of assistive technologies and digital tools fosters greater accessibility, enabling students with disabilities to engage with learning materials more effectively (Okolo & Diedrich, 2014; Alquraini & Gut, 2012). In this regard, technology becomes not only a medium of instruction but also a catalyst for inclusive participation.

Policy frameworks also provide the structural foundation upon which inclusive education can flourish. School-level policies that prioritize accessibility, professional development, and inclusive classroom management have been found to enhance inclusivity (UNICEF, 2019; European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education, 2020). These policy measures are particularly crucial in low- and middle-income contexts, where systemic challenges often impede the implementation of inclusion-oriented reforms.

Beyond the school setting, community participation plays a pivotal role in sustaining inclusive education. Active collaboration between schools and local communities fosters collective responsibility for inclusive practices and promotes cultural acceptance of diversity (Slee, 2011; Symeonidou, 2018). Community engagement initiatives, such as volunteer programs and awareness campaigns, can help dismantle social stigmas and strengthen the support system for inclusive learners.

Nevertheless, several barriers continue to hinder the adoption of holistic inclusion. These include inadequate inter-stakeholder coordination, insufficient funding, and societal resistance to change (Lindsay, 2007; Loreman, 2017). Without addressing these systemic challenges, efforts to institutionalize inclusive education may remain fragmented and unsustainable.

This article, therefore, aims to examine the holistic approach as a means of enhancing inclusive education quality in elementary schools. Specifically, it explores effective strategies, best practices, and the contextual factors that either enable or obstruct the successful implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia. By doing so, it seeks to provide valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers, ultimately contributing to the advancement of more equitable and sustainable inclusive education frameworks in developing contexts.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative approach with a descriptive design to explore the implementation of a holistic approach in improving the quality of inclusive education in elementary schools. A qualitative descriptive design is particularly suitable for studies aiming to provide a deep understanding of social and educational phenomena (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Data were obtained through a comprehensive literature review, encompassing scholarly articles, journals, and official documents related to inclusive education, as well as case studies conducted in selected inclusive elementary schools. The purposive sampling technique was applied to identify schools that met specific criteria, including the presence of students with special educational needs and the implementation of inclusive practices (Palinkas et al., 2015).

Data collection techniques included in-depth interviews with teachers, school principals, and parents of students. Such interviews are widely recognized as a reliable method in qualitative research to capture participants' perspectives (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015). In addition, direct classroom observations were conducted within the learning environment, supported by an analysis of curriculum documents and learning reports to provide a multi-dimensional perspective (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify emerging patterns, challenges, and effective strategies in the implementation of the holistic approach. Thematic analysis is appropriate in qualitative studies as it allows for systematic identification and interpretation of recurring themes (Braun & Clarke, 2006). To ensure the credibility and trustworthiness of the data, source triangulation and expert consultations were applied (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Triangulation, in particular, is essential in qualitative research to enhance validity and reduce potential bias (Flick, 2018).

The findings of this study are expected to provide a comprehensive understanding of how the holistic approach contributes to the enhancement of inclusive education quality in elementary schools, thereby

offering both theoretical and practical insights for policymakers, educators, and researchers in the field of inclusive education.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study indicate that the implementation of a holistic approach in inclusive education has a significant positive impact on the quality of learning among inclusive students in elementary schools. Curriculum adaptation emerges as a key element supporting the success of this approach. Adjustments in learning materials, the use of diverse media, and inclusive evaluation methods enable students with special needs to engage more effectively in the learning process. Teachers who have received specialized training in inclusive education also demonstrate improved competencies in managing diverse classrooms, creating conducive learning environments, and providing individualized attention to students.

In addition, parental involvement in supporting inclusive education has been shown to contribute significantly. Through intensive communication programs and collaboration between teachers and parents, students receive consistent support both at school and at home. This approach fosters an environment that supports students' emotional, social, and academic development. Case studies in several inclusive elementary schools reveal that students who benefit from synergistic support from both parents and teachers display higher learning motivation and stronger peer interaction.

An inclusive-friendly school environment also proves to be a critical factor in the success of this holistic approach. Schools that consistently implement inclusive policies—such as providing adequate facilities, adaptive learning spaces, and training for non-teaching staff—help create an atmosphere that embraces diversity. The findings of this study underscore that a holistic approach not only enhances students' academic achievement but also reinforces inclusivity values across the entire school community. This approach is recommended as a strategic model for adoption in managing inclusive education at the elementary level.

Curriculum adaptation represents one of the most essential elements in this framework. Such adaptation includes the development of flexible learning materials, the use of media that accommodate diverse learners, and the implementation of inclusive assessment methods. Tomlinson (2001) argues that curriculum differentiation allows each learner to study in alignment with their capabilities and potential, thereby fostering inclusive learning environments that value diversity.

The study further emphasizes the importance of teacher training in building competencies for managing heterogeneous classrooms. Teachers with specialized training demonstrate more creative and adaptive teaching methods, individualized student support, and the ability to establish conducive classroom atmospheres. This finding aligns with Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011), who highlight that teacher training plays a pivotal role in advancing the success of inclusive education. Competent teachers serve not only as facilitators of learning but also as central figures in supporting the social and emotional growth of students with special needs.

Parental engagement also exerts a substantial influence on student development within inclusive settings. This study confirms that intensive communication programs between teachers and parents generate a synergistic support system for students both at school and home. Epstein (2001) underscores that strong school–family partnerships enable students to feel more supported both emotionally and academically. When parents actively participate, they reinforce learning at home and help establish consistent and supportive environments for their children.

Environmental factors are equally important in ensuring effective inclusive education. Inclusive-friendly physical environments—such as accessible classrooms, supporting facilities like ramps and elevators, and the use of assistive technologies—make it possible for students with special needs to engage comfortably in the learning process. Loreman et al. (2014) emphasize that physical accessibility and environments that celebrate diversity are critical in building an inclusive school ecosystem. By cultivating welcoming spaces, schools not only accommodate students with special needs but also foster inclusive values for the broader student population.

Moreover, inclusive school policies form the foundation for ensuring sustainability in this approach. Schools that consistently enforce inclusive policies—such as involving all stakeholders in planning and evaluation—establish a solid basis for embracing diversity. Booth and Ainscow (2011) note that clear and

structured inclusion policies allow schools to develop cultures that value differences while creating equal opportunities for all students.

The role of peer support is also highlighted as a vital element of successful inclusive education. Students within diversity-embracing environments are more likely to accept peers with special needs, generating positive social interactions and boosting the confidence of inclusive students. Such healthy peer dynamics not only enhance engagement in learning but also nurture values of tolerance and empathy from an early age.

Additional support programs, including counseling, psychological guidance, and occupational therapy, also contribute significantly to the holistic approach. These interventions provide individualized assistance that enables students to overcome challenges they face. Moreover, collaboration among teachers, counselors, and therapists ensures that students' needs are comprehensively addressed, both academically and emotionally.

Community involvement within schools, including the engagement of non-teaching staff, further reinforces inclusive practices. Administrative personnel, custodians, and librarians who are trained in inclusivity values help foster supportive environments for students with special needs. This ensures that the entire school community understands and contributes to the implementation of inclusive approaches.

Overall, the holistic approach not only improves academic outcomes for inclusive students but also fosters an inclusive school culture. Such a culture nurtures learning environments that embrace diversity, strengthens tolerance values, and builds more harmonious school communities. By embedding this approach into school policies and practices, inclusive education can yield long-term benefits for all stakeholders.

Therefore, the holistic approach should be recognized as an effective strategy for advancing the success of inclusive education. Its implementation requires the commitment of multiple parties, ranging from teachers and parents to the broader school community. The success of this approach produces not only positive outcomes for students with special needs but also strengthens inclusivity and diversity values within society at large.

CONCLUSION

A holistic approach in inclusive education has been proven to be an effective strategy for enhancing the quality of learning for students with special needs at the elementary school level. By integrating multiple elements—such as curriculum adaptation, teacher training, parental involvement, and support from both the physical and social environment—this approach is able to foster a learning setting that is inclusive and supportive of diversity. The effectiveness of this strategy is further reinforced by consistent school policies, supplementary support programs, and the active participation of the entire school community. Beyond improving the academic outcomes of students with special needs, a holistic approach also strengthens values of tolerance, empathy, and collaboration among all stakeholders. Therefore, the implementation of a holistic approach in inclusive education is recommended as a model that not only supports the development of students with special needs but also contributes to the establishment of a sustainable culture of inclusivity within society.

REFERENCES

- Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: Lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 6(1), 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2020.1729587>
- Alquraini, T., & Gut, D. (2012). Critical components of successful inclusion of students with severe disabilities: Literature review. *International Journal of Special Education*, 27(1), 42–59.
- Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: A review of the literature. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 17(2), 129–147.
- Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2011). *The Index for Inclusion: Developing Learning and Participation in Schools*. Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education (CSIE).
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Epstein, J. L. (2001). *School, Family, and Community Partnerships: Preparing Educators and Improving Schools*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education. (2020). *Key principles of inclusive education: Recommendations for policy makers*. Odense, Denmark.
- Flick, U. (2018). *An introduction to qualitative research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Florian, L. (2014). Reimagining special education: Why new approaches are needed. *Support for Learning*, 29(3), 186-190.
- Florian, L. (2014). What counts as evidence of inclusive education? *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 29(3), 286–294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2014.933551>
- Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(5), 813-828.
- Forlin, C., Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2017). Predictors of improved teaching efficacy following basic training for inclusion in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 21(10), 1164–1176.
- Forlin, C., Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2017). *Teacher education for inclusion: Changing paradigms and innovative approaches*. Routledge.
- Hornby, G., & Lafaele, R. (2011). Barriers to parental involvement in education: An explanatory model. *Educational Review*, 63(1), 37-52.
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). *InterViews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Lindsay, G. (2007). Educational psychology and the effectiveness of inclusive education/mainstreaming. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77(1), 1-24.
- Loreman, T., Deppeler, J., & Harvey, D. (2014). *Inclusive Education: Supporting Diversity in the Classroom*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Nguyet, D., & Ha, T. T. (2020). Inclusive education for children with disabilities in Vietnam: The current situation and solutions. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 67(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2019.1628330>
- Okolo, C. M., & Diedrich, J. (2014). Twenty-five years later: How is technology used in the education of students with disabilities? *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 29(1), 1-20.
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 42(5), 533–544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y>
- Sharma, U., & Sokal, L. (2016). Can teachers' self-reported efficacy, concerns, and attitudes predict their actual inclusive classroom practices? *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(9), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2016v41n9.1>
- Sharma, U., Forlin, C., & Loreman, T. (2018). Impact of training on pre-service teachers' attitudes and concerns about inclusive education. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 46(2), 118–131. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2017.1359827>
- Sharma, U., Loreman, T., & Forlin, C. (2018). Predicting pre-service teachers' attitudes and intentions to teach in inclusive classrooms: Testing the theory of planned behaviour. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 22(8), 918-929.
- Slee, R. (2011). *The Irregular School: Exclusion, Schooling and Inclusive Education*. Routledge.
- Symeonidou, S. (2018). Rights of children with disabilities: An insight into policies and practices on inclusive education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 22(3), 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2017.1370734>
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Tran, N. (2021). Parent participation in inclusive education for children with disabilities in Southeast Asia. *Journal of International Special Needs Education*, 24(2), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.9782/20-00022>

UNICEF. (2019). *Inclusive Education: Including Children with Disabilities in Quality Learning*. UNICEF Publications.