IJSTIAMI
International Journal of Social, Tax, Information, Administration, Management, and Innovation

Volume 1, No.1, February 2025
p-ISSN : xxxx-xxxx e-ISSN : xxxx-xxxx Page 27 - 38
DOI: 10.31334/ijsscs.v1i1.4478

E-GOVERNMENT AND THE METAVERSE: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES AND DIGITAL INNOVATIONS

Achmad Barlian[1*], Deki Satria[2], Joni Haryanto[3], Muhammad Ilham Aji Faturahman[4], Bisma Widyawan[5]

[1345] STIAMI Institute, Jakarta, Indonesia
[2]Telkom University, Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: [1]barlian@stiami.ac.id; [2]dekisatria@telkomuniversity.ac.id; [3]jonni_joe@yahoo.com; [4]muhammadilhamaji@gmail.com; [5]bisma@stiami.ac.id
Corresponding Email: [*]barlian@stiami.ac.id

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received:
Jan 29, 2025
Revised
Feb 4, 2025
Accepted:
Feb 6, 2025
Online available:
Feb 10, 2025

Keywords:
E-Government
Digital Transformation
Digital Literacy
Blockchain
Metaverse

*Correspondence:
Name: Achmad Barlian
E-mail: barlian@stiami.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This research explores metaverse technology's potential, challenges, and innovations in electronic government (e-government). The study analyzed articles published between 2021 and 2024 and identified that metaverse technology can improve accessibility, transparency, and public participation through interactive platforms such as virtual city halls and digital twins. In addition, blockchain technology with a "zero trust" approach offers better data security, thereby increasing user trust in digital services. However, the study also found significant challenges, including infrastructure gaps, low digital literacy, and a lack of mature regulatory frameworks, especially in developing countries. This study recommends strengthening digital infrastructure, providing digital literacy training, and developing an adaptive legal framework involving cross-sector collaboration to answer these challenges. The study concludes that the Metaverse has great potential to revolutionize e-government by creating more efficient, transparent, and inclusive public services. However, its successful implementation requires a comprehensive strategic approach. These findings make an important contribution to developing theory and practice related to the digital transformation of government.

INTRODUCTION

In an increasingly complex digital transformation era, governments worldwide are constantly looking for ways to improve public services, citizen engagement, and operational efficiency (Aristovnik et al., 2021; Pislaru et al., 2024; Pripoaie et al., 2024). One paradigm that is starting to gain significant attention is the concept of the Metaverse, which combines virtual and physical realities into a unified digital ecosystem. The Metaverse is defined as an immersive, persistent, and interactive virtual environment where users can interact through avatars to socialize, work, and participate in various activities (Ritterbusch & Teichmann, 2023; Y. Wang et al., 2023). In his 1992 science fiction novel Snow Crash, Neal Stephenson first popularized the concept, which depicts a virtual reality space parallel to the real world (Dang et al., 2023; Kılıçaslan et al., 2023)). As technology evolves, the Metaverse has evolved thanks to advances in virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), blockchain, and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies (Huang et al., 2023).

The emergence of the Metaverse as a digital transformation paradigm has triggered a significant change in societal values, especially among Generation Z, who consider online and offline identities (S. M. Park & Kim, 2022). In the context of digital government, the Metaverse offers opportunities to increase citizen engagement, simplify services, and increase transparency through immersive experiences. For example, the use of virtual town halls allows citizens to interact directly with government officials in real time, creating a more inclusive platform for dialogue and feedback (Šímová et al., 2024; Y. Wang et al., 2023).

However, the application of the Metaverse in e-government is not free from challenges. Technical challenges such as the infrastructure required to support immersive environments, standard interoperability, and data security are significant bottlenecks (Markus Weinberger & Daniel Gross, 2023; S. M. Park & Kim, 2022). In addition, the digital divide must be overcome to ensure equal access to metaverse services, considering that disparities in access to technology can exacerbate existing inequalities (Bibri, 2022). Data privacy and security issues are also a significant concern, given that the complex metaverse environment relies on a connected data ecosystem (Gupta et al., 2023b).

This research aims to explore the opportunities, challenges, and digital innovations that the Metaverse offers in e-government. Given the Metaverse's potential to change how governments provide public services and engage citizens, this study is essential. Through a systematic literature review, this research will identify areas where the Metaverse can be effectively applied in e-government and examine the obstacles and solutions to overcome these challenges.

The relevance of this research to the existing literature lies in its in-depth exploration of how VR, AR, and blockchain technologies contained in the Metaverse can improve citizen engagement and government operational efficiency (Al-Ghaili et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023). While some studies have discussed the technical aspects and potential benefits of the Metaverse, there are still gaps in the literature regarding integrated implementation strategies in the context of e-government, especially in the face of social and policy challenges.

The novelty of this research lies in a holistic approach that combines an analysis of digital opportunities, challenges, and innovations in the Metaverse for e-government. This research focuses on the potential of technology and strategic solutions to overcome the obstacles faced in its implementation. The formulation of the problem raised is: How can the Metaverse be used to enhance digital transformation in e-government, and what are the challenges and innovative solutions that can be implemented?

By exploring these questions, this research is expected to make a significant contribution to understanding the potential and challenges of the Metaverse in e-government and provide guidance for governments in adopting this technology effectively. The research also opens space

for further discussion on how the Metaverse can be used to create more inclusive, efficient, and transparent public services.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The metaverse is extensively characterized as a persistent, immersive, and interactive virtual environment wherein users can engage via avatars to partake in a multitude of activities, inclusive of governance and public service interactions (Nateghi & Mosharraf, 2023; Ritterbusch & Teichmann, 2023; Y. Wang et al., 2023). Originally conceptualized in "Snow Crash" by Neal Stephenson in 1992, the metaverse has undergone significant evolution into a multifaceted technological framework that integrates virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), blockchain technology, and artificial intelligence (AI) (Dang et al., 2023; Kılıçaslan et al., 2023). Governments globally have commenced investigations into the metaverse as an instrumental resource for augmenting digital governance, transitioning from traditional e-government paradigms to immersive and interactive platforms that furnish citizens with novel avenues to engage with public services (Al-Ghaili et al., 2022; S.-M. Park & Kim, 2022). Scholarly research underscores that the amalgamation of AI-driven analytics, blockchain security mechanisms, and real-time immersive technologies empowers governments to enhance policymaking, transparency, and operational efficiency in the delivery of public services (Tlili et al., 2022). These advancements resonate with prevailing societal trends, notably among younger demographics who increasingly regard digital interactions as an extension of their physical existence (S.-M. Park & Kim, 2022). By capitalizing on these innovations, governmental entities can devise more inclusive and efficient service models that address the evolving anticipations of digital citizens (Šímová et al., 2024; Y. Wang et al., 2023).

Research findings indicate that VR environments facilitate citizen participation in urban planning initiatives by enabling visualization of proposed projects, fostering discussions, and soliciting feedback in a more interactive and inclusive framework (Allcoat et al., 2021; Meenar & Kitson, 2020). Likewise, AR applications have been utilized to demystify intricate governmental processes by offering interactive educational tools that enhance public comprehension of administrative workflows (Fajar et al., 2022; Yusuf et al., 2020). These technologies have also been implemented in safety training programs within governmental agencies, with empirical evidence demonstrating that metaverse-based simulations significantly improve learning outcomes and preparedness among public service personnel (Li et al., 2020; Sukotjo et al., 2021). Beyond their educational and training capacities, immersive metaverse environments promote intercultural engagement, permitting citizens from varied backgrounds to interact, collaborate, and cultivate a more inclusive digital society (Šímová et al., 2024). The interactive dimensions of the metaverse empower governmental bodies to facilitate virtual consultations and town hall meetings, where citizens can articulate their perspectives and contribute to policymaking processes without physical limitations (Nuryadin et al., 2023). Scholarly research highlights that AI-augmented governance frameworks within the metaverse further refine decision-making procedures, as real-time analytics can assess public sentiment, forecasting policy repercussions, and enhancing responsiveness in the delivery of governmental services (Jiang et al., 2023; Pooyandeh et al., 2022). These findings indicate that metaverse-based governance holds the potential to transform public service accessibility and enhance civic participation.

Concerns pertaining to security, privacy, and regulatory complexities persist as considerable impediments to the extensive adoption of metaverse technologies. Empirical investigations reveal that metaverse environments necessitate advanced cybersecurity protocols to safeguard sensitive personal information from cyber threats, unauthorized access, and digital identity fraud (Chow et al., 2022; Gupta et al., 2023a). The growing dependence on biometric authentication and AI-driven identity management within the metaverse engenders apprehensions regarding user privacy, given

that immersive environments frequently gather substantial behavioral and biometric data (Othman et al., 2024). Blockchain technology has been posited as a viable solution to alleviate security vulnerabilities by facilitating decentralized identity verification and encryption-based authentication mechanisms (Gupta et al., 2023a; Mendoza Catagua et al., 2023). Nevertheless, the inconsistency of regulatory frameworks across various jurisdictions complicates the establishment of standardized security protocols, as disparate governments adopt divergent approaches to digital governance, cybersecurity legislation, and data protection policies (Sitnikov, 2024; Yang, 2023). Research underscores that the absence of comprehensive legal frameworks governing virtual transactions, taxation, and intellectual property rights within the metaverse poses additional challenges for policymakers (Pandey & Gilmour, 2024; Raad & Rashid, 2023). Investigations highlight that in the absence of explicit regulatory guidelines, challenges such as jurisdictional ambiguity, virtual asset ownership, and legal accountability within metaverse governance may impede further adoption (Jim et al., 2023; Kostenko et al., 2023).

Another significant obstacle in the realization of metaverse governance is the digital divide, as disparities in technological accessibility and digital literacy may exacerbate exclusionary tendencies in digital public services. Studies indicate that individuals hailing from lower socioeconomic strata, those residing in remote locations, and populations with inadequate digital literacy may encounter substantial barriers to engaging with metaverse-based government services (Bibri, 2022; Huang et al., 2023). Research advocates that governmental entities must allocate resources towards enhancing digital infrastructure, ensuring affordable internet access, and implementing public education initiatives to bridge the digital divide and facilitate equitable participation in metaverse governance (Gupta et al., 2023a; Šímová et al., 2024). In the absence of targeted interventions, the metaverse may inadvertently perpetuate existing social inequalities rather than cultivating an inclusive digital governance ecosystem (Y. Wang et al., 2023). Furthermore, challenges pertaining to interoperability among metaverse platforms necessitate resolution, as governmental agencies require standardized technical frameworks to assimilate new technologies with extant e-government infrastructures (Jauhiainen et al., 2022). Despite these hurdles, research accentuates the metaverse's potential to augment operational efficiency in public administration, as AI-driven automation and blockchain-enabled workflows mitigate bureaucratic inefficiencies and enhance service delivery (Elsadig et al., 2024; Ryu et al., 2022).

Recent advancements in the domain of digital governance signify a shift from theoretical inquiry to practical implementations within the metaverse. Nations such as South Korea and the United Arab Emirates have taken the lead in government-sponsored metaverse initiatives, establishing entirely digitalized administrative platforms that facilitate citizen engagement with municipal authorities within immersive settings (Gupta et al., 2023a; Huang et al., 2023). The Metaverse Seoul project in South Korea exemplifies one of the most sophisticated manifestations of metaverse-oriented governance, providing services that include virtual document submissions, AI-enhanced citizen support mechanisms, and interactive public forums (Šímová et al., 2024). In a parallel manner, blockchain-derived digital identity systems have been incorporated into governmental metaverse frameworks to bolster security measures, mitigate fraud, and guarantee authentication in decentralized governance landscapes (Ryu et al., 2022; Y. Wang et al., 2023). The influence of AI-driven analytics persists in shaping the trajectory of metaverse governance, with real-time data models evaluating public sector efficacy, forecasting policy ramifications, and enhancing service responsiveness (Jiang et al., 2023; Pooyandeh et al., 2022). Nonetheless, notwithstanding these progressions, regulatory issues remain pivotal in the discourse surrounding metaverse adoption, with persistent discussions focused on taxation, decentralized transactions, and the management of digital rights within virtual contexts (Naqvi, 2023; Sitnikov, 2024). As

governmental entities strive to refine their metaverse methodologies, interdisciplinary partnerships among policymakers, technologists, and legal scholars are imperative for the establishment of ethical, inclusive, and secure digital governance frameworks (Dema et al., 2023; Vasilopoulou et al., 2023).

RESEARCH METHODS

This research aims to explore digital opportunities, challenges, and innovations in applying the Metaverse to e-government. By employing the literature review method, this study provides a conceptual framework and comprehensive analysis based on a systematic exploration of existing academic works.

This research employs a literature review approach to identify the opportunities, challenges, and innovative applications of the Metaverse in e-government. The selection of this method is driven by the need for a structured analysis of prior studies to form a solid conceptual foundation.

The research is designed to collect, categorize, and analyze relevant literature systematically. Articles are curated from accessible sources such as Open Knowledge Maps and Google Scholar, focusing on high-quality, indexed databases. The scope includes publications between 2021 and 2024 to ensure the study aligns with the latest developments in metaverse technologies.

Eleven articles were used as the sources for this literature review. These papers are curated from a combination of reputable research databases and indexed by reputable indexers such as Scopus. Another source is also used if the papers meet the criteria. The article used was published from 2021 to 2024 to ensure relevance to the latest developments in metaverse technology.

Data collection is carried out through the following stages:

1. Articles are searched using specific keywords such as "metaverse in e-government." This process is done in Open Knowledge Maps and Google Scholar with the filter "open access."
2. Article Selection: Articles are selected based on abstract, title, and full text.
3. Information Extraction: Key information from each article, such as objectives, methods, findings, and relevance, is recorded in a data table to facilitate analysis.
4. Data Analysis Method: Data analysis is carried out using a narrative approach that involves the following steps:
5. Identify Key Themes: Selected articles are analyzed to identify key themes such as opportunities, challenges, and digital innovations in the application of the Metaverse for e-government.
6. Data Categorization: The information from the articles is categorized by key themes to gain a structured understanding.
7. Narrative Synthesis: The results of the analysis are compiled in a narrative that integrates the findings of all the articles reviewed.
8. Validation Procedure: To ensure the accuracy of the results, a simple validation is carried out by rechecking the information in each article studied. This step aims to ensure that the data used reflects the original content of the literature being reviewed.

This method is designed to make a useful conceptual contribution to understanding the potential, challenges, and innovations that can result from the application of the Metaverse in the context of e-government without the need for overly complex protocols.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This research aims to explore the application of metaverse technology in electronic government (e-government), focusing on opportunities, challenges, and innovations that can be adopted to improve the quality of public services. Based on an analysis of 11 articles published

between 2021 and 2024, this study provides comprehensive insights into the potential of metaverse technology, and the obstacles faced in its implementation in various geographical contexts. The literature sources used include various case studies from developed and developing countries, each of which uniquely contributes to our understanding of metaverse-based digital transformation.

One of the study's key findings is the huge potential that the Metaverse has to transform how governments deliver public services. Naqvi's research (2023) shows that metaverse technology can improve the accessibility, transparency, and interactivity of public services. For example, by utilizing virtual town halls, citizens can interact with government officials in person in an immersive and interactive environment. In addition, Kshetri et al. (2024) emphasized that this technology reduces physical barriers to public participation, which can ultimately improve citizen engagement and transparency in the decision-making process.

However, the study also identifies a variety of significant challenges that must be overcome before metaverse technology can be widely adopted in the context of e-government. For example, a study by Jobe et al. (2023) in Gambia shows that limited technological infrastructure and low digital literacy are the main obstacles to implementing the Metaverse in developing countries. This challenge is also amplified by the findings of Ilham et al. (2023), which examined the digital literacy of state civil servants in Makassar. Although digital literacy among civil servants in Makassar is sufficient to support metaverse-based services, this study shows the need for more focused efforts to improve technological competence at the national level, especially in areas with limited resources.

In addition to technical challenges, regulation and governance are critical issues in metaverse adoption. The research of Sitnikov (2024) and Goldberg & Schär (2023) highlights the importance of developing a mature legal framework to govern the use of metaverse technology, especially in the context of decentralization and privacy. The research shows that the current legal framework is not enough to address the complexity of the Metaverse, which includes cross-border interactions, virtual transactions, and the risk of centralization of power in blockchain-based systems. Although blockchain technology can reduce the risk of centralization, as pointed out by Goldberg & Schär (2023), the study also reveals that full decentralization has not yet been achieved due to the dominance of large voters in token-based voting systems.

On the other hand, this research also reveals potential innovations and solutions that can support the implementation of the Metaverse in e-government. The study of Dyudikova (2023) and Sitnikov (2024) highlights the importance of developing digital twin and blockchain-based infrastructure to support digital transformation. Digital twins allow governments to model public services in a virtual environment that can be tested and optimized before being implemented in the real world. Additionally, blockchain technology, as discussed in F. Wang et al. (2024) research, offers solutions to improve digital identity security through a "zero trust" approach, which is highly relevant in an increasingly complex and decentralized digital ecosystem.

In the context of developing countries, this study finds that digital literacy and infrastructure readiness are key factors in successfully adopting the Metaverse. A case study in Gambia (Jobe et al., 2023) shows that increasing digital literacy among citizens and state apparatus can play an essential role in supporting the implementation of this technology. In addition, the research of Ilham et al. (2023) provides evidence that the digital literacy competencies of state apparatus can be improved through focused training, which will ultimately improve their ability to adopt new technologies.

The overall results of this study show that metaverse technology has great potential to revolutionize the way governments deliver public services, increase citizen engagement, and support operational efficiency. However, the successful implementation of the Metaverse is highly

dependent on infrastructure readiness, digital literacy, and the development of a comprehensive regulatory framework. This research provides strategic recommendations to address these challenges, including through collaboration between the government, technology providers, and the community to create an inclusive and sustainable digital ecosystem.

The findings of this study confirm that metaverse technology has transformational potential in the context of electronic government (e-government). Based on an analysis of the literature, this technology not only opens opportunities to improve citizen engagement and transparency but also presents complex technical and regulatory challenges. This discussion will relate the main findings to previous literature, explain the significance of the results, and explore the contributions and limitations of this research.

1. Metaverse Opportunities in E-Government

Metaverse technology offers significant opportunities to improve public services and citizen engagement. Naqvi (2023) and Kshetri et al. (2024) underscore the potential of the Metaverse in creating interactive experiences that support transparency and accessibility. For example, virtual town halls allow citizens to participate directly in the decision-making process, while digital twins can be used to model and test public policies before they are implemented. These findings support the argument that the Metaverse can increase public participation through an inclusive and interactive platform.

However, as Goldberg & Schär (2023) point out, these innovations require a clear regulatory framework to ensure that the technology not only expands access but also protects user data's privacy rights and security. This research reinforces the importance of developing digital infrastructure supported by blockchain technology, as proposed by F. Wang et al. (2024), to maintain the trust and integrity of metaverse-based e-government systems.

2. Technical and Social Challenges

Implementing the Metaverse in e-government presents major challenges, especially in developing countries. Studies by Jobe et al. (2023) in Gambia and Ilham et al. (2023) in Makassar show that low digital literacy and limited infrastructure are the main obstacles. This challenge is relevant to the literature highlighting the digital divide as an obstacle that must be overcome (Naqvi, 2023). In addition, the Goldberg & Schär (2023) notes that full decentralization in blockchain-based governance is still difficult to achieve due to the dominance of certain actors.

Social constraints, such as resistance to new technologies and distrust of digital systems, are also a concern. Sitnikov (2024) shows that a strong legal framework is needed to reduce resistance and increase public acceptance. This research shows that a holistic approach, including digital literacy training and collaboration with local communities, can effectively address this challenge.

3. Innovations and Strategic Solutions

This research identifies several strategic innovations that can support the adoption of the Metaverse in e-government. Digital twins, as suggested by Dyudikova (2023), can be used to improve efficiency in public policy planning. In addition, blockchain with a "zero trust" approach, as discussed by F. Wang et al. (2024), can improve the security of user data. These implications suggest that metaverse technology offers solutions to improve public services and becomes a strategic tool to address governance challenges.

However, implementing these innovations requires significant technological infrastructure and capacity-building investments. Jobe et al. (2023) suggest that partnerships with the private sector and international organizations can help address resource constraints in developing countries. The research also highlights the importance of an inclusive approach that involves the community in the planning and implementation process of metaverse technology.

The results of this study have significant implications for the development of e-government in the digital era. By utilizing metaverse technology, governments can improve the quality of public

services, expand citizen participation, and strengthen transparency. The research also contributes to the literature by identifying practical strategies to address the challenges faced, such as developing regulatory frameworks and improving digital literacy.

In addition, this study emphasizes the importance of a contextual perspective in technology adoption. Case studies in Gambia and Makassar show that the "one size fits all" approach is ineffective in metaverse implementations. Instead, the solution must be tailored to local needs and existing limitations.

This research provides some recommendations for further development. Among them is the importance of developing an adaptive legal framework and cross-sector collaboration to ensure the successful adoption of metaverse technology. In addition, the study also highlights the need for a more in-depth empirical evaluation of the impact of the Metaverse on the effectiveness and efficiency of public services.

For practice, the government must focus on strengthening digital infrastructure, digital literacy training, and developing strategic partnerships with technology providers. In this way, the Metaverse can be implemented more effectively and inclusively.

Although this study provides significant insights, some limitations need to be noted. First, these findings are based on a literature analysis that is mostly conceptual. Further empirical research is needed to quantify the real impact of metaverse technology on public services. Second, most of the literature analyzed came from specific countries, so the results may not be fully generalizable for all geographic contexts.

CONCLUSION

This research explores the potential, challenges, and innovations associated with the application of the Metaverse in electronic government (e-government). The findings show that metaverse technology has great potential to improve accessibility, transparency, and citizen participation in public services. The Metaverse can be used to create interactive service platforms such as virtual city halls and digital twin-based policy models, which offer immersive experiences for citizens and policymakers. In addition, blockchain technology with a "zero trust" approach can improve data security and user trust in metaverse-based services.

However, the study also reveals several significant challenges, including infrastructure gaps, low digital literacy, and a lack of a mature regulatory framework. These barriers, especially in developing countries, are a major barrier to the widespread implementation of metaverse technology. The research highlights the need for a holistic approach involving digital literacy training, the development of an adaptive legal framework, and cross-sector collaboration to ensure the successful adoption of metaverse technology in government.

As a suggestion for future research, more in-depth empirical studies are needed to evaluate the real impact of metaverse technology on the effectiveness and efficiency of public services. Further research must also focus on practical solutions to address infrastructure and regulatory challenges, particularly in developing countries. In addition, developing an integrated governance model that includes a community-based approach can be a strategic step to support the inclusive and sustainable implementation of metaverse technology.

By bringing together various elements of technology, regulation, and infrastructure, the Metaverse can become a significant catalyst for digital transformation in e-government. The contribution of this research is expected to provide strategic guidance for the government to utilize this technology effectively and create more transparent, efficient, and inclusive public services.

REFERENCES

Al-Ghaili, A. M., Kasim, H., Al-Hada, N. M., Hassan, Z. Bin, Othman, M., Tharik, J. H., Kasmani, R. Md., & Shayea, I. (2022). A Review of Metaverse's Definitions, Architecture, Applications, Challenges, Issues, Solutions, and Future Trends. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 125835–125866. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3225638

Allcoat, D., Hatchard, T., Azmat, F., Stansfield, K., Watson, D., & von Mühlenen, A. (2021). Education in the Digital Age: Learning Experience in Virtual and Mixed Realities. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, *59*(5), 795–816. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633120985120

Aristovnik, A., Kovač, P., Murko, E., Ravšelj, D., Umek, L., Bohatá, M., Hirsch, B., Schäfer, F.-S., & Tomaževič, N. (2021). The Use of ICT by Local General Administrative Authorities during COVID-19 for a Sustainable Future: Comparing Five European Countries. *Sustainability*, *13*(21), 11765. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111765

Bibri, S. E. (2022). The Social Shaping of the Metaverse as an Alternative to the Imaginaries of Data-Driven Smart Cities: A Study in Science, Technology, and Society. *Smart Cities*, *5*(3), 832–874. https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities5030043

Chow, Y.-W., Susilo, W., Li, Y., Li, N., & Nguyen, C. (2022). Visualization and Cybersecurity in the Metaverse: A Survey. *Journal of Imaging*, *9*(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/jimaging9010011

Dang, V. A., Vu Khanh, Q., Nguyen, V.-H., Nguyen, T., & Nguyen, D. C. (2023). Intelligent Healthcare: Integration of Emerging Technologies and Internet of Things for Humanity. *Sensors*, *23*(9), 4200. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23094200

Dema, H., Hamid, H., & Barisan, B. (2023). Transformational Leadership in Supporting Innovative Digital Governance. *Jurnal Studi Pemerintahan*. https://doi.org/10.18196/jgp.v14i1.13528

Dyudikova, E. I. (2023). THE ASYMMETRIC PERCEPTION OF THE MODERNIZATION OF MONEY TURNOVER IN THE VECTOR OF CHANGING THE ECONOMIC STRUCTURE. *Intellect. Innovations. Investments*, *3*, 28–41. https://doi.org/10.25198/2077-7175-2023-3-28

Elsadig, M., Alohali, M. A., Ibrahim, A. O., & Abulfaraj, A. W. (2024). Roles of Blockchain in the Metaverse: Concepts, Taxonomy, Recent Advances, Enabling Technologies, and Open Research Issues. *IEEE Access*, *12*, 38410–38435. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3367014

Fajar, M., David, D., Sihombing, P. R., Kekal, H. A., Aditya, I., Ilham, R., & Keswara, Y. S. (2022). The Metaverse to Enhance Safety Campaign toward Shipping Industry (Case Study: The Development and Implementation of Metaverse in Government-Owned Corporation). *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, *12*(8), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.46338/ijetae0822_01

Goldberg, M., & Schär, F. (2023). Metaverse governance: An empirical analysis of voting within Decentralized Autonomous Organizations. *Journal of Business Research*, *160*(October 2022), 113764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113764

Gupta, A., Khan, H., Nazir, S., Shafiq, M., & Shabaz, M. (2023a). Metaverse Security: Issues, Challenges and a Viable ZTA Model. *Electronics*, *12*(2), 391. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12020391

Gupta, A., Khan, H. U., Nazir, S., Shafiq, M., & Shabaz, M. (2023b). Metaverse Security: Issues, Challenges and a Viable ZTA Model. *Electronics*, *12*(2), 391. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12020391

Huang, Y., Li, Y. J., & Cai, Z. (2023). Security and Privacy in Metaverse: A Comprehensive Survey. *Big Data Mining and Analytics*, *6*(2), 234–247. https://doi.org/10.26599/BDMA.2022.9020047

Ilham, A. F. I., Uluputty, I., & Faqih, N. (2023). Digital Literacy for State Apparatus in Supporting Makassar City as a Metaverse City: Case Study at the Makassar City Communication and Informatics Office. *Indonesian Journal of Librarianship*, *90231*, 135–150. https://doi.org/10.33701/ijolib.v4i2.3704

Jauhiainen, J. S., Krohn, C., & Junnila, J. (2022). Metaverse and Sustainability: Systematic Review of Scientific Publications until 2022 and Beyond. *Sustainability*, *15*(1), 346. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010346

Jiang, Y., Kang, J., Niyato, D., Ge, X., Xiong, Z., Miao, C., & Shen, X. (2023). Reliable Distributed Computing for Metaverse: A Hierarchical Game-Theoretic Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, *72*(1), 1084–1100. https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2022.3204839

Jim, J. R., Hosain, Md. T., Mridha, M. F., Kabir, Md. M., & Shin, J. (2023). Toward Trustworthy Metaverse: Advancements and Challenges. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 118318–118347. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3326258

Jobe, P. S., Yilmaz, M., Tüfekci, A., & Clarke, P. M. (2023). Exploring Metaverse-Based Digital Governance of Gambia: Obstacles, Citizen Perspectives, and Key Factors for Success. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science: Vol. 1890 CCIS* (pp. 72–83). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-42307-9_6

Kılıçaslan, E., Arman Zengi, C., & Yücel, D. (2023). Consumption Culture in the Metaverse Economy as New Communication Technologies (ChatGPT Analysing). *İnsan ve Toplum Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi*, *12*(5), 2480–2498. https://doi.org/10.15869/itobiad.1263285

Kostenko, O., Zhuravlov, D., Dniprov, O., & Korotiuk, O. (2023). METAVERSE: MODEL CRIMINAL CODE. *Baltic Journal of Economic Studies*, *9*(4), 134–147. https://doi.org/10.30525/2256-0742/2023-9-4-134-147

Kshetri, N., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Janssen, M. (2024). Metaverse for advancing government: Prospects, challenges and a research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, *41*(2), 101931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101931

Li, C., Ip, H. H. S., Wong, Y. M., & Lam, W. S. (2020). An empirical study on using virtual reality for enhancing the youth's intercultural sensitivity in Hong Kong. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *36*(5), 625–635. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12432

Markus Weinberger, & Daniel Gross. (2023). A comparison of virtual worlds based on the metaverse maturity model. *ITU Journal on Future and Evolving Technologies*, *4*(4), 537–548. https://doi.org/10.52953/REVF5378

Meenar, M., & Kitson, J. (2020). Using Multi-Sensory and Multi-Dimensional Immersive Virtual Reality in Participatory Planning. *Urban Science*, *4*(3), 34. https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci4030034

Mendoza Catagua, G. M., Veloz Zambrano, J. L., Alcívar Cedeño, A. K., & Moreira Zambrano, C. A. (2023). Information Security in the Metaverse: A Systematic and Prospective Review. *Código Científico Revista de Investigación*, *4*(2), 781–817. https://doi.org/10.55813/gaea/ccri/v4/n2/257

Naqvi, N. (2023). Metaverse for Public Good: Embracing the Societal Impact of Metaverse Economies. *The Journal of The British Blockchain Association*, *6*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.31585/jbba-6-1-(6)2023

Nateghi, A., & Mosharraf, M. (2023). Architecting the Future: A Model for Enterprise Integration in the Metaverse. *Journal of Metaverse*, *3*(2), 190–199. https://doi.org/10.57019/jmv.1355500

Nuryadin, R., Sobandi, A., & Santoso, B. (2023). Digital Leadership in the Public Sector-Systematic Literature Review. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi: Media Pengembangan Ilmu Dan Praktek Administrasi*, *20*(1), 90–106. https://doi.org/10.31113/jia.v20i1.934

Othman, A., Chemnad, K., Hassanien, A. E., Tlili, A., Zhang, C. Y., Al-Thani, D., Altınay, F., Chalghoumi, H., S. Al-Khalifa, H., Obeid, M., Jemni, M., Al-Hadhrami, T., & Altınay, Z. (2024). Accessible Metaverse: A Theoretical Framework for Accessibility and Inclusion in the Metaverse. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *8*(3), 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti8030021

Pandey, D., & Gilmour, P. (2024). Accounting meets metaverse: navigating the intersection between the real and virtual worlds. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, *22*(2), 211–226. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-03-2023-0157

Park, S. M., & Kim, Y.-G. (2022). A Metaverse: Taxonomy, Components, Applications, and Open Challenges. *Ieee Access*, *10*, 4209–4251. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2021.3140175

Park, S.-M., & Kim, Y.-G. (2022). A Metaverse: Taxonomy, Components, Applications, and Open Challenges. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 4209–4251. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3140175

Pislaru, M., Vlad, C. S., Ivascu, L., & Mircea, I. I. (2024). Citizen-Centric Governance: Enhancing Citizen Engagement through Artificial Intelligence Tools. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 2686. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072686

Pooyandeh, M., Han, K.-J., & Sohn, I. (2022). Cybersecurity in the AI-Based Metaverse: A Survey. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(24), 12993. https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412993

Pripoaie, R., Schin, G.-C., & Matic, A.-E. (2024). Post-Pandemic Exploratory Analysis of the Romanian Public Administration Digitalization Level in Comparison to the Most Digitally Developed States of the European Union. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* , *16*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114652

Raad, H., & Rashid, F. K. M. (2023). The Metaverse: Applications, Concerns, Technical Challenges, Future Directions and Recommendations. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 110850–110861. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3321650

Ritterbusch, G. D., & Teichmann, M. R. (2023). Defining the Metaverse: A Systematic Literature Review. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 12368–12377. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3241809

Ryu, J., Son, S., Lee, J., Park, Y., & Park, Y. (2022). Design of Secure Mutual Authentication Scheme for Metaverse Environments Using Blockchain. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 98944–98958. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3206457

Šímová, T., Zychová, K., & Fejfarová, M. (2024). Metaverse in the Virtual Workplace. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective*, *28*(1), 19–34. https://doi.org/10.1177/09722629231168690

Sitnikov, M. S. (2024). Law and the Metaverse: Selected issues in theory and practice. *Digital Law Journal*, *4*(3), 51–71. https://doi.org/10.38044/2686-9136-2023-4-3-2

Sukotjo, C., Schreiber, S., Li, J., Zhang, M., Chia-Chun Yuan, J., & Santoso, M. (2021). Development and Student Perception of Virtual Reality for Implant Surgery. *Education Sciences*, *11*(4), 176. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11040176

Tlili, A., Huang, R., Shehata, B., Liu, D., Zhao, J., Metwally, A. H. S., Wang, H., Denden, M., Bozkurt, A., Lee, L.-H., Beyoglu, D., Altinay, F., Sharma, R. C., Altinay, Z., Li, Z., Liu, J., Ahmad, F., Hu, Y., Salha, S., … Burgos, D. (2022). Is Metaverse in education a blessing or a curse: a combined content and bibliometric analysis. *Smart Learning Environments*, *9*(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-022-00205-x

Vasilopoulou, C., Theodorakopoulos, L., & Giotopoulos, K. (2023). Big Data Analytics: A Catalyst for Digital Transformation in e-Government. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, *45*, 449–459. https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v45i1.9134

Wang, F., Gai, Y., & Zhang, H. (2024). Blockchain user digital identity big data and information security process protection based on network trust. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences*, *36*(4), 102031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksuci.2024.102031

Wang, Y., Su, Z., Zhang, N., Xing, R., Liu, D., Luan, T. H., & Shen, X. (2023). A Survey on Metaverse: Fundamentals, Security, and Privacy. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, *25*(1), 319–352. https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2022.3202047

Yang, L. (2023). Recommendations for metaverse governance based on technical standards. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*(1), 253. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01750-7

Yusuf, M., Sophan, M. K., Muntasa, A., Alamsyah, N., Nakkas, H., & Sari, P. P. (2020). E-government learning media through augmented reality technology. *Bulletin of Social Informatics Theory and Application*, *4*(1), 12–20. https://doi.org/10.31763/businta.v4i1.258