



This work is licensed under

a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

## Development of Physics HOTS Assessment Instruments in High School: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Yoga Budi Bhakti<sup>1\*)</sup>, Riyan Arthur<sup>2</sup>, Yetti Supriyati<sup>3</sup>

Universitas Indraprasta PGRI, Indonesia<sup>1</sup>, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia<sup>2,3</sup>

\*)Corresponding E-mail: [bhaktiyoga.budi@gmail.com](mailto:bhaktiyoga.budi@gmail.com)

Received: August 30<sup>th</sup>, 2024. Revised: October 18<sup>th</sup>, 2024. Accepted: November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2024

### Keywords :

Higher Order Thinking Skills;  
Physics Instrument;  
Assessment Instrument;  
Literature Review

### ABSTRACT

*This study aims to identify strategies for developing HOTS assessment instruments in high school physics subjects. The research employs bibliometric methods and qualitative approach to analyze various journals published between 2019 and 2023. The analysis results indicate that the development of HOTS instruments is driven by the need for 21st-century skills and is supported by findings from international surveys such as PISA and TIMSS. The most commonly developed assessment forms include test questions in the form of multiple choice, multiple choice with reasoning, and essays. These questions are designed with HOTS characteristics in mind, including HOTS indicators, operational verbs, physics problem contexts, stimuli, and Bloom's taxonomy. Physics topics that are frequently developed include subjects such as temperature and heat, harmonic vibrations, sound and light waves, thermodynamics, as well as static and dynamic fluids. Commonly used research methods for this development include Borg and Gall's R&D method, the 4D method, qualitative descriptive methods, and the ADDIE method. HOTS instrument undergoes a series of feasibility tests and analyses, including validity testing by validators, statistical validity tests, reliability tests, difficulty level tests, discrimination index tests, Rasch model analysis, analysis using the Alpha Cronbach formula, and distractor analysis. The findings of this study provide valuable insights for developing valid, reliable, and relevant HOTS assessment instruments that meet the needs of high school physics education.*

## INTRODUCTION

The low level of Indonesian students' thinking skills is reflected in the latest results from the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), which show that Indonesian students still lag behind those from member countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) [1] [2]. The average scores of Indonesian students in reading, mathematics, and

science are significantly below the OECD average, indicating a lack of critical thinking, analytical abilities, and problem-solving skills. Although there has been some improvement in recent years, a significant gap remains, highlighting the need for educational reforms to enhance the quality of learning and students' understanding.

The low cognitive abilities of students in learning physics, based on observations, can be seen from the 2023 National Assessment data, where 68% of students scored below the minimum competency standard in physics. This has led to difficulties in understanding and applying basic physics concepts, as also reflected in the 2018 PISA assessment results, where Indonesia ranked 71st out of 78 countries in the science category, which includes physics. The main factor influencing this low ability is a learning approach that still focuses on memorization rather than deep conceptual understanding [3] [4]. The lack of student engagement in practical experiments and real-world problem analysis also limits their ability to apply physics theories to everyday contexts. As a result, many students only have a superficial understanding of physics without the ability to think critically and analytically when solving more complex problems [5] [6] [7].

Understanding concepts in learning physics cannot be underestimated, as physics is one of the foundational sciences behind much of the technology and innovations we use in everyday life. Mastery of physics concepts requires higher-order thinking skills [8] [9] [10], such as the ability to analyze situations, formulate hypotheses, and evaluate experimental results. With these skills, students can not only understand the subject matter better but also develop essential problem-solving abilities applicable in various fields, including engineering, medicine, and scientific research. Therefore, physics education should focus on developing critical thinking skills and deep conceptual understanding to prepare students for future challenges.

Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in physics involve students' ability to analyze, evaluate, and create solutions based on the concepts they have learned [11] [12]. HOTS goes beyond merely recalling facts or formulas; it requires students to deeply understand physics concepts and apply them in new and complex situations. The main components of HOTS in physics include analysis, where students must break down a physics problem into smaller parts and understand the relationships between its components; evaluation, which involves critical assessment of methods or experimental results; and creation, where students are challenged to develop new solutions or design experiments to solve physics problems they have not encountered before [13] [14]. By focusing on HOTS, physics learning becomes more dynamic and relevant, helping students not only master the material but also think creatively and innovatively in applying physics knowledge to real-world scenarios [15] [16] [17].

Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) are closely related to Bloom's Taxonomy, revised by Lorin Anderson and David Krathwohl, which classifies cognitive skills in education. This revision updated Benjamin Bloom's original taxonomy by introducing six cognitive levels: Remembering, Understanding, Applying, Analyzing, Evaluating, and Creating [18]. HOTS refers to the top three levels in this taxonomy—Analyzing, Evaluating, and Creating—where students are required not only to memorize or understand information but also to think critically and creatively [19] [20] [21]. At the Analyzing stage, students break information into smaller parts and understand the relationships between them. At the Evaluating stage, they make judgments and decisions based on evidence or specific criteria. Finally, at the Creating stage, students use their knowledge to generate new ideas or solutions. Thus, HOTS encourages students to progress from basic understanding to more complex and innovative thinking [22] [23] [24], aligning with the principles of the revised Bloom's Taxonomy.

The accuracy of assessment methods in evaluating Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in physics is crucial to ensuring that students' critical and creative thinking skills are accurately measured [25] [26] [27]. Proper assessment should go beyond multiple-choice questions or questions that only test memorization. Instead, HOTS assessments need to include tasks that encourage students to analyze data, evaluate experimental results, and create new solutions or models [28] [29]. For instance, using essay questions, case studies, or research projects allows students to demonstrate deep understanding

and their ability to apply physics concepts in complex situations. Clear and structured assessment rubrics are also important to ensure that skills such as analysis, evaluation, and creation are assessed objectively. By using appropriate assessment methods, teachers can provide meaningful feedback and encourage students to continue developing their higher-order thinking skills.

HOTS assessment is designed to measure students' critical and creative thinking abilities by presenting questions at higher cognitive levels. This assessment aims to encourage students to analyze, evaluate, and create solutions to more complex problems. HOTS assessment is based on three main principles: (1) providing stimuli, which can be text or other forms, to provoke deep thinking, (2) presenting new problems that have not been discussed in class, challenging students to apply their knowledge in different contexts, and (3) varying questions with different levels of difficulty and cognitive levels to test various aspects of students' thinking abilities. In formulating HOTS question indicators, operational verbs (KKO) based on the revised Bloom's Taxonomy are used to ensure that the knowledge dimensions measured by each question align with the desired learning objectives [30] [31].

The use of HOTS questions has great potential to help students understand the material presented more deeply and comprehensively [32] [33]. In the context of assessment, HOTS questions not only measure memorization ability but also assess more complex skills such as: (1) understanding relationships between concepts, (2) deeper integration and processing of information, (3) the ability to find connections between obtained information, (4) application of information in problem-solving processes, and (5) the ability to generate new ideas from available information. Thus, the use of HOTS assessment instruments serves as an effective tool not only to enhance students' understanding of the material but also as an evaluation tool for teachers to assess the effectiveness of the teaching conducted. This, in turn, can help improve the quality of education and encourage students to think more critically and creatively.

The purpose of this study is to identify and analyze various important aspects in the development of (HOTS) assessment instruments in high school physics subjects. The study aims to explain the reasons for developing these instruments, the most effective assessment types, and the appropriate instrument forms to use in the context of physics learning. Additionally, the study focuses on identifying question-making indicators, selecting relevant physics materials, and research methods applied to ensure the validity and reliability of the assessment instruments. This research also aims to outline how to determine academically and practically accountable results from the development of HOTS assessment instruments in physics. The findings of this study are expected to provide deep insights into the key points that need to be considered in the process of developing HOTS assessment instruments, thereby serving as a guide for educators in enhancing the quality of physics assessment and learning in high schools.

## METHOD


This research adopted a literature review approach based on the analysis of 45 journals published between 2019 and 2023. The methods used included bibliometric methods and qualitative approaches. Bibliometrics is a method of literature analysis that uses mathematical and statistical approaches. The qualitative approach aimed to generate descriptive data in the form of written words obtained from the analysis results. The primary data for this study was collected in October 2023 using the Publish or Perish application to gather metadata from the Google Scholar database, with publication year limits set from 2019 to 2023 and the keyword "Development of HOTS Assessment Instruments in High School Physics." The initial screening resulted in 1,032 data entries. These data were then extracted into Microsoft Excel and sorted according to the research topics and objectives. Further selection was based on the following criteria: (1) must be an article, (2) not a proceeding, (3) published in an ISSN journal, and (4) available in PDF format. After the second selection process, 57 articles were obtained. These articles were then imported into the Mendeley application for further review and to complete data attributes such as the author's name, number, volume, year, and journal abstract, before being



**Tabel 1.** Cluster in Research on the Development of HOTS Instruments


Cluster	Words in a Cluster
Cluster 1	ADDIE, assessment instruments, Borg & Gall model, student HOTS, development research, 4D model
Cluster 2	ADDIE development model, Borg & Gall, development model, physics, physics learning, research instrument
Cluster 3	Borg & Gall, evaluation, physics education, R&D (Research and Development), HOTS problem
Cluster 4	Higher order, science, senior high school student, multiple choice test, instrument
Cluster 5	HOTS assessment instrument, HOTS test, Rasch model, reliability
Cluster 6	HOTS-based, HOTS questions, development, higher order thinking skills

Based on the analyzed interconnections, two prominent density visualizations, "HOTS" and "Physics," reveal strong relationships between these terms, as shown in Figure 2. The density visualization highlights the connections between "HOTS," "Physics," and "Higher Order Thinking," illustrating the extent to which these topics are interrelated within the scientific literature. In this visualization, the density of colors reflects the frequency and intensity of the occurrence of related terms. Areas with brighter colors indicate a higher concentration of research, suggesting that these topics are a primary focus in various studies.



**Fig 2.** VOS Viewer Display in Density Visualization

In this context, "HOTS" and "Physics" are likely strongly connected, indicating that much research focuses on developing assessment instruments that emphasize higher-order thinking skills in high school physics education. This underscores the importance of integrating HOTS into the physics curriculum to enhance students' conceptual understanding and analytical abilities. This connection also reflects significant research trends in physics education, which aim to evaluate and develop students' critical thinking skills through more sophisticated and relevant assessment instruments.



**Fig 3.** VOS Viewer Display of the Relationship between "HOTS" and "Physics"

When the term "HOTS" is highlighted, it is closely related to several terms that explain that "HOTS" is associated with research on the development of HOTS assessment instruments, predominantly using development models from Borg & Gall, the 4-D Model, and the ADDIE Model, as shown in Figure 3(a). In Figure 3(b), when the term "Physics" is highlighted, several terms related to "HOTS" and "Research Instrument" are further expanded with a broader range. Based on the analysis using VOSviewer, the terms "Physics," "HOTS," and "Research Instrument" are interconnected in a complex network, indicating a significant relationship between these concepts in the research literature. The term "HOTS" is linked not only to assessment instruments but also to HOTS-related issues and physics lesson materials, reflecting how higher-order thinking skills are integrated into various aspects of physics teaching [36]. This range of interconnections shows that developing research instruments in physics encompasses a broad evaluation of HOTS capabilities, from how these instruments are designed to assess students' critical thinking skills to how HOTS issues and physics lesson materials are designed to support the development of these skills. These findings highlight the importance of a comprehensive approach in physics education, which includes developing effective assessment instruments, HOTS-based problem-solving, and designing lesson materials that support higher-order thinking skills.

The development of higher-order thinking skills questions in physics is a strategic step, strongly justified from pedagogical perspectives and the needs of modern education [37]. First, physics as a discipline demands deep understanding and strong analytical abilities. Physics material is often complex, requiring students not only to grasp basic concepts but also to apply, analyze, and evaluate information to solve abstract and contextual problems [38]. HOTS questions are designed to push students beyond mere memorization of facts toward higher-level abilities [39] [40], such as synthesizing information and applying concepts in new situations. Second, in the era of globalization and the Fourth Industrial Revolution, critical and creative thinking skills are highly needed. Developing HOTS questions in physics helps prepare students to meet these challenges by equipping them with tools to think independently, identify problems, and seek innovative solutions [23] [41]. Through these questions, students are encouraged to think deeply and critically, connect various concepts, and formulate and test hypotheses.

Moreover, the application of HOTS in evaluating physics learning also contributes to improving the overall quality of education. This is because HOTS questions measure not only factual knowledge but also the thought processes and comprehensive understanding of concepts. Therefore, developing HOTS questions is crucial in supporting higher educational goals, namely producing graduates who are not only academically smart but also competent in solving real-world problems and ready to face future challenges. The use of various types of tests to measure HOTS in physics [42] [43] [44] [45] aims to evaluate students' abilities to analyze, apply, evaluate, and create solutions to complex problems. Multiple-choice questions can be specifically designed to challenge students to select the most appropriate answer from several seemingly correct options, requiring critical analysis [46] [47]. Reasoned multiple-choice questions go further by asking students to explain the reasoning behind their choices, promoting reflection and deeper understanding [48]. Essay questions allow students to elaborate on their thoughts in more detail, enabling the testing of their ability to organize arguments and connect various physics concepts [43] [45]. Problem-based tests and case studies place students in real-world situations that require the application of physics knowledge to solve problems, assessing their analytical and synthetic abilities. Meanwhile, project-based assessments require students to design and execute projects relevant to physics concepts, testing their ability to apply theory in a practical and creative manner. The combination of these various test forms provides a comprehensive overview of students' higher-order thinking skills in physics, ensuring that the assessment covers various important aspects of HOTS skills.

Assessment instruments to measure higher order thinking skills have been developed with various forms of tests. The details are as follows table 3:

**Table 3.** Higher Order Thinking Skills Assessment Instruments Form

<b>Author</b>	<b>Analysis Result</b>
Illene et al [41] Suprapto et al [44] Maryani et al [45]	Complex Multiple Choice
Istiyono et al [49] Erfianti et al [50] Rintayati et al [51]	Tier Diagnostic Test
Maryani et al [45] Merta Dhewa et al [28]	Essay
Sumarni [52] Romli et al [53]	Open-Ended

Based on Table 3, in physics lessons, the most commonly used test formats to measure higher-order thinking skills (HOTS) are multiple-choice, reasoned multiple-choice, and essay tests. Multiple-choice tests are used because they allow for quick and objective evaluation of students' understanding of basic physics concepts. Reasoned multiple-choice tests, on the other hand, require students not only to choose the correct answer but also to provide reasons or explanations for their choices, thereby assessing their ability to understand and apply physics concepts more deeply. Meanwhile, essays provide students with the opportunity to present arguments, analyses, and solutions to complex physics problems in writing, allowing for the assessment of critical thinking, creativity, and a broader understanding of concepts. The combination of these three types of tests provides a more comprehensive picture of students' mastery of physics material and their higher-order thinking skills.

HOTS questions are designed not only to assess students' ability to recall information but also to evaluate their ability to analyze and create. These questions aim to encourage students to transfer knowledge across concepts, integrate information, connect various problems, solve them, and understand information critically. Bloom's Taxonomy, refined by Anderson and Krathwohl, is one of the main references in the development of HOTS questions, dividing thinking skills into two levels: LOTS (Lower Order Thinking Skills) and HOTS. In this taxonomy, higher-order thinking skills encompass the levels of analyzing, evaluating, and creating, allowing for the assessment of students' ability not only to understand concepts but also to solve problems and think critically.

**Table 4.** Criteria for HOTS-Type Questions

<b>Criteria for HOTS-Type Questions</b>	<b>Author</b>
Measuring indicators of higher-order thinking skills	Ramadhan et al [21]; Merta Dhewa et al [28];
Using appropriate operational verbs	Jauhariyah et al [30]; Suprapto et al [44];
Items have contextual stimuli	Sunarti & Jauhariyah [54]; Zaki et al [55];
Using Bloom's Taxonomy stages	Fanani et al [56]

Effective HOTS questions indicators that measure higher-order thinking skills are those that encompass the abilities to analyze, evaluate, and create. The use of operational verbs in formulating HOTS question indicators is crucial for determining the cognitive level being assessed. Not all operational verbs at levels C1 to C3 are considered HOTS; for example, if a question uses a KKO that appears to be at level C2 but requires deeper analysis, it is still classified as a HOTS question. In developing HOTS questions, particularly for physics subjects, several aspects need to be considered: (1) assessment principles, including the use of stimuli, the presentation of new problems, and the differentiation of question difficulty levels, (2) the skills being measured, such as the ability to connect concepts, process information, and critically seek and use information, (3) based on Bloom's Taxonomy dimensions, where HOTS questions focus on categories C4 (analyzing), C5 (evaluating), and C6 (creating), and (4) the appropriate selection of operational verbs in creating question indicators. By paying attention to these aspects, HOTS question development can be more effective in assessing students' higher-order thinking skills.

HOTS test questions are developed not only to measure students' abilities but also to provide a deeper understanding of their knowledge of the material taught [57] [58]. Therefore, the selection of material in the development of HOTS questions becomes very important. With focused material, the process of analyzing the results of question trials becomes easier and more effective.

**Table 5.** Physics Materials Related to HOTS

<b>Physics Materials Related to HOTS</b>	<b>Author</b>
Temperature and Heat	Fanani et al [56]; Rifa et al [59]; Istikomah et al [60]
Harmonic Vibrations	Akhsan et al [61]; Astra et al [62]
Sound and Light Waves	Jauhariyah et al [30]; Zahra & Suwarna [63]
Thermodynamics	Agustihana & Suparno [64]; Saefullah et al [65]; Linuwih & Safutra [66]
Static Fluids	Akhsan et al [61]; Serevina et al [67]; Pratiwi et al [68]
Dynamic Fluids	Sari & Suyatna [69]; Qotrunnada & Prahani [70]; Al Fath & Dewi [71]

In the development of HOTS instruments, the materials commonly used include temperature and heat, vibrations, sound and light waves, static fluids, dynamic fluids, and thermodynamics. The appropriate selection of material is crucial for measuring higher-order thinking skills in a more structured way. Additionally, this allows for a more accurate evaluation of students' understanding of the specific topics being tested. This approach aligns with the need to integrate content knowledge in each subject and education level, aiming for more effective and meaningful learning.

The development of HOTS instruments in physics lessons, several development methods and models are commonly used, including the Research and Development (R&D) model, the ADDIE development model (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, Evaluation) [42] [72] [73], the 4-D development model (Define, Design, Develop, Disseminate) [74] [75] [76], and the Borg & Gall model [28] [44] [67]. The R&D model is used to produce valid and effective educational products through a series of systematic research and development stages. The ADDIE model helps in designing and implementing instruments that meet students' needs through a process of needs analysis, instrument design, question development, implementation, and result evaluation. The 4-D model focuses on defining concepts, designing questions, developing question prototypes, and disseminating instruments for trial. Meanwhile, the Borg & Gall model, as one of the R&D models, emphasizes preliminary research, planning, initial product development, field trials, revisions, and dissemination to ensure the effectiveness and efficiency of the instrument. All these models aim to produce high-quality HOTS instruments capable of measuring students' higher-order thinking skills in the context of physics learning.

The process of testing and analyzing the feasibility of HOTS instruments in physics lessons involves various stages to ensure that the instrument can accurately and reliably measure higher-order thinking skills. First, validity testing is conducted by validators, who are experts in education and physics, to assess the content, construct, and language alignment of the instrument [77]. Next, the instrument undergoes characteristic testing to determine whether the questions formulated reflect higher-order thinking skills. Empirical validity testing is carried out to ensure that the instrument measures what it is supposed to measure, while reliability testing, using Alpha Cronbach's formula, assesses the internal consistency of the instrument [78]. Difficulty level testing is conducted to determine whether the questions have appropriate difficulty variation, and discrimination testing aims to measure how well each question can differentiate between students with high and low understanding.

This process also includes Rasch model analysis to evaluate how well the data fits the expected measurement model, as well as partial credit model analysis for questions with multiple correct answer levels. Distractor analysis is conducted to assess the effectiveness of incorrect answer choices in multiple-choice questions [79] [80], and readability testing ensures that the language used in the questions is understandable by students [81]. Variance analysis is used to assess performance differences between groups, while general criteria analysis ensures that the instrument meets the expected quality standards. Finally, classical test theory analysis is used to evaluate the quality of

questions based on the traditional test theory approach [82 [83]. All these stages aim to ensure that the HOTS instrument in physics is a valid, reliable, and effective evaluation tool for measuring students' higher-order thinking skills.

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The development of Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) assessment instruments in high school physics is essential for fostering students' abilities to analyze, evaluate, and solve complex problems. As highlighted in this literature review, the global push for 21st-century skills, reinforced by international assessments like PISA and TIMSS, has driven the creation of these instruments, which often take the form of multiple-choice questions, reasoned multiple-choice questions, and essays that incorporate HOTS indicators, operational verbs, and contextual physics problems aligned with Bloom's Taxonomy. Frequently assessed topics include thermodynamics, waves, and fluid dynamics, with instruments developed through models such as Borg and Gall's R&D, the 4D method, and the ADDIE model. Rigorous testing for validity, reliability, difficulty, and discrimination ensures these instruments are effective in measuring higher-order thinking. The implications for education are significant, as these tools offer more comprehensive assessments, driving the need for professional development for educators and urging policymakers to integrate HOTS assessments into the curriculum. Further research and technological integration are recommended to improve the implementation and effectiveness of these instruments, ultimately fostering a more equitable and engaging physics education for students.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My deepest gratitude goes to my Promoter, Dr. Riyan Arthur, M.Pd., and Co-Promoter, Prof. Dr. Yetti Supriyati, M.Pd., for their exceptional guidance, support, and direction throughout this study process. I also extend my highest appreciation to Universitas Indraprasta PGRI for granting the permission and valuable opportunity to pursue my doctoral studies in the Research and Evaluation in Education Program at Universitas Negeri Jakarta. Without the support of all involved, this achievement would not have been possible. Thank you for all the assistance and trust that have been given.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Katoningsih, S., & Sunaryo, I. (2020). Programme for International Student Assesment (PISA) as reading literacy standard: Critical thinking skill is priority. *Education, Sustainability And Society*, 3(1), 08-10.
- [2] Ramdhani, S. S., & Susanti, R. (2024). Cognitive level of program for international student assessment (PISA) questions based on the revised Bloom's taxonomy. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 5(2), 104-112.
- [3] Assem, H. D., Nartey, L., Appiah, E., & Aidoo, J. K. (2023). A review of students' academic performance in physics: Attitude, instructional methods, misconceptions and teachers qualification. *European Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 4(1), 84-92.
- [4] Cai, S., Liu, C., Wang, T., Liu, E., & Liang, J. C. (2021). Effects of learning physics using Augmented Reality on students' self-efficacy and conceptions of learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(1), 235-251.
- [5] Bhakti, Y. B., Arthur, R., & Supriyati, Y. (2024). The Content Validity: Multiple Choices Complex Physics Instrument to Measure Critical Thinking Skills. *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, 9(1), 56-64.
- [6] Hyytinen, H., Holma, K., Toom, A., Shavelson, R. J., & Lindblom-Ylänne, S. (2014). The Complex Relationship between Students' Critical Thinking and Epistemological Beliefs in the

- Context of Problem Solving. *Frontline Learning Research*, 2(5), 1-25.
- [7] Eichenlaub, M., & Redish, E. F. (2019). Blending physical knowledge with mathematical form in physics problem solving. *Mathematics in physics education*, 127-151.
- [8] Bhakti, Y. B., Astuti, I. A. D., & Prasetya, R. (2023, July). Four-Tier Optics Diagnostic Test (4T-ODT) to Identify Student Misconceptions. In *3rd International Conference on Education and Technology (ICETECH 2022)* (pp. 308-314). Atlantis Press.
- [9] Astutik, S., Bektiarso, S., Lesmono, A. D., & Nuraini, L. (2019, March). What can students show about higher order thinking skills in physics learning?. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 243, No. 1, p. 012127). IOP Publishing.
- [10] Danial, M., Yunus, M., & Syamsir, M. (2021, May). A Development of IPA (Natural Sciences) Learning Tools Based on Investigative Approach in Empowering Students' Higher-Order Thinking Skills and Concept Mastery in Junior High School. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1899, No. 1, p. 012143). IOP Publishing.
- [11] Jailani, J., Sugiman, S., & Apino, E. (2017). Implementing the problem-based learning in order to improve the students' HOTS and characters. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(2), 247-259.
- [12] Anggraini, N. P., & Pratiwi, H. (2019, April). Analysis of higher order thinking skills students at junior high school in Surakarta. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1211, No. 1, p. 012077). IOP Publishing.
- [13] Batlolona, J. R., & Diantoro, M. (2019). Creative thinking skills students in physics on solid material elasticity. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 16(1), 48-61.
- [14] Kuo, E., Hull, M. M., Gupta, A., & Elby, A. (2013). How students blend conceptual and formal mathematical reasoning in solving physics problems. *Science Education*, 97(1), 32-57.
- [15] Affandy, H., Sunarno, W., & Suryana, R. (2024). Integrating creative pedagogy into problem-based learning: The effects on higher order thinking skills in science education. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 101575.
- [16] Hidayati, A., Munasir, M., & Sudiby, E. (2024). Development of problem-based learning model physics learning tools to improve critical thinking skills of high school students. *IJORER: International Journal of Recent Educational Research*, 5(1), 77-89.
- [17] Zulyusri, Z., Elfira, I., Lufri, L., & Santosa, T. A. (2023). Literature study: Utilization of the PjBL model in science education to improve creativity and critical thinking skills. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(1), 133-143.
- [18] Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A Revision Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(4), 212-218.
- [19] Jaenudin, R., Chotimah, U., Farida, F., & Syarifuddin, S. (2020). Student development zone: higher order thinking skills (hots) in critical thinking orientation. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 7(9), 11-19.
- [20] Jihannita, J., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Wilujeng, I. (2023). How to Prepare HOTS to Face the 21st Century?. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(8), 486-492.
- [21] Ramadhan, S., Mardapi, D., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Utomo, H. B. (2019). The development of an instrument to measure the higher order thinking skill in physics. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 743-751.
- [22] Yusuf, I., Widyaningsih, S. W., & Sebayang, S. R. B. (2018). Implementation of E-learning based-STEM on quantum physics subject to student HOTS ability. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(STEM Special Issue), 67-75.
- [23] Alanazi, A. A., Osman, K., & Halim, L. (2024). Effect of scaffolding strategies and guided discovery on higher-order thinking skills in physics education. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 20(9), em2496.
- [24] Zulhelmi, Z., Fauza, N., Syaflita, D., Pratiwi, J., Wijaya, T. T., & Hermita, N. (2023). Development of Learning Media to Improve Students' Higher Order Thinking Skills in Circular Motion Material. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(4), 1734-1740.
- [25] Arafah, K., Amin, B. D., Sari, S. S., & Hakim, A. (2021, May). The development of higher order-thinking skills (HOTS) instrument assessment in physics study. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1899, No. 1, p. 012140). IOP Publishing.
- [26] Walid, A., Sajidan, S., Ramli, M., & Kusumah, R. G. T. (2019). Construction of the assessment concept to measure students' high order thinking skills. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young*

- Scientists*, 7(2), 237-251.
- [27] Ahmad, S., Prahmana, R. C. I., Kenedi, A. K., Helsa, Y., Arianil, Y., & Zainil, M. (2017, December). The instruments of higher order thinking skills. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 943, No. 1, p. 012053). IOP Publishing.
- [28] Merta Dhewa, K., Rosidin, U., Abdurrahman, A., & Suyatna, A. (2017). The development of Higher Order Thinking Skill (Hots) instrument assessment in physics study. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME)*, 7(1), 26-32.
- [29] Istiyono, E. (2018, September). IT-based HOTS assessment on physics learning as the 21st century demand at senior high schools: Expectation and reality. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2014, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
- [30] Jauharyah, M. N. R., Sunarti, T., Setyarsih, W., & Zainuddin, A. (2021, March). Analysis of physics questions based on HOTS criteria: the result of physics teacher training. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1805, No. 1, p. 012023). IOP Publishing.
- [31] Eka Mahendra, I. W. (2020). Teachers' Formative Assessment: Accessing Students' High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS)?. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 12(12), 180-202.
- [32] Yusuf, I., Widyaningsih, S. W., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Istiyono, E. (2021, March). The evaluation on the use of e-learning media to improve HOTS through authentic and holistic assessments. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1806, No. 1, p. 012014). IOP Publishing.
- [33] Sulisworo, D., & Basriyah, K. (2021, February). Problem based learning using open educational resources to enhance higher order thinking skills in physics learning. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1783, No. 1, p. 012108). IOP Publishing.
- [34] Oyewola, D. O., & Dada, E. G. (2022). Exploring machine learning: a scientometrics approach using bibliometrix and VOSviewer. *SN Applied Sciences*, 4(5), 143.
- [35] Bukar, U. A., Sayeed, M. S., Razak, S. F. A., Yogarayan, S., Amodu, O. A., & Mahmood, R. A. R. (2023). A method for analyzing text using VOSviewer. *MethodsX*, 11, 102339.
- [36] Hartik, S., Utaminingsih, S., & Madjdi, A. H. (2021, March). A need assessment of integrated science teaching material based higher order thinking skills (hots). In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1823, No. 1, p. 012078). IOP Publishing.
- [37] Petrovska, S., & Veselinovska, S. S. (2013). Contemporary pedagogical approaches for developing higher level thinking on science classes. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 92, 702-710.
- [38] Alexander, P. A., & Kulikowich, J. M. (1994). Learning from physics text: A synthesis of recent research. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 31(9), 895-911.
- [39] Zohar, A. (2013). Challenges in wide scale implementation efforts to foster higher order thinking (HOT) in science education across a whole school system. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 10, 233-249.
- [40] Zohar, A., & Schwartz, N. (2005). Assessing teachers' pedagogical knowledge in the context of teaching higher-order thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(13), 1595-1620.
- [41] Illene, S., Feranie, S., & Siahaan, P. (2023). Create multiple-choice tests based on experimental activities to assess students' 21st century skills in the heat and heat transfer topic. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(1), 44-57.
- [42] Widyaningsih, S. W., Yusuf, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Istiyono, E. (2021). The Development of the HOTS Test of Physics Based on Modern Test Theory: Question Modeling through E-Learning of Moodle LMS. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(4), 51-68.
- [43] Nurulsari, N., Rosidin, U., & Dwi Indriyani, E. V. (2021). Development of HOTS Assessment Instruments on Newton's Law of Motion by Using Multiple Types of Problems in High School Physics Lessons. *Jurnal Pembelajaran Fisika*, 9(2), 129-141.
- [44] Suprpto, E., Sumiharsono, R., & Ramadhan, S. (2020). The Analysis of Instrument Quality to Measure the Students' Higher Order Thinking Skill in Physics Learning. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 17(4), 520-527.
- [45] Maryani, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., Wilujeng, I., Purwanti, S., & Fitriawanawati, M. (2021). HOTS Multiple Choice and Essay Questions: A Validated Instrument to Measure Higher-Order Thinking Skills of Prospective Teachers. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 18(4), 674-690.

- [46] Darmana, A., & Sutiani, A. (2020, February). Development of The Thermochemistry-Hots-Tawheed Multiple Choice Instrument. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1462, No. 1, p. 012057). IOP Publishing.
- [47] Megawan, M., & Istiyono, E. (2019, June). Physics creative thinking measurement using two-tier multiple choice to support science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1233, No. 1, p. 012068). IOP Publishing.
- [48] Istiyono, E. (2019, June). Constructing Reasoning Multiple Choice Test to Measure Bloomian Higher Order Thinking Skills in Physics of XI Grade Students. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1233, No. 1, p. 012037). IOP Publishing.
- [49] Istiyono, E., Dwandaru, W. S. B., Fenditasari, K., Ayub, M. R. S. S. N., & Saepuzaman, D. (2023). The Development of a Four-Tier Diagnostic Test Based on Modern Test Theory in Physics Education. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 12(1).
- [50] Erfianti, L., Istiyono, E., & Kuswanto, H. (2019). Developing lup instrument test to measure higher order thinking skills (HOTS) Bloomian for senior high school students. *International Journal of Educational Research Review*, 4(3), 320-329.
- [51] Rintayati, P., Lukitasari, H., & Syawaludin, A. (2021). Development of Two-Tier Multiple Choice Test to Assess Indonesian Elementary Students' Higher-Order Thinking Skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(1), 555-566.
- [52] Sumarni, S. (2020). Development and Validation of Open Ended Based on Worksheet for Growing Higher Level Thinking Skills of Students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 445-455.
- [53] Romli, S., Distrik, I. W., Herlina, K., Umam, R., Ramadhani, R., & Sumarni, S. (2020). Development and Validation of Open Ended Based on Worksheet for Growing Higher Level Thinking Skills of Students. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 445-455.
- [54] Sunarti, T., & Jauhariyah, M. N. R. (2021, March). Train the skills of making HOTS-based physics questions to physics teachers in Mojokerto. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1805, No. 1, p. 012027). IOP Publishing.
- [55] Zaki, M., Amalia, R., & Sofyan, S. (2020, April). Development of high order thinking skills (HOTS) test instrument on exponent for junior high school students. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1521, No. 3, p. 032096). IOP Publishing.
- [56] Fanani, R. D., Supardi, Z. A. I., & Suprpto, N. (2023). The Development of HOTS-based Question Instrument in Temperature and Heat Material. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(9), 6890-6895.
- [57] Retnawati, H., Djidu, H., Apino, E., & Anazifa, R. D. (2018). Teachers' Knowledge about Higher-Order Thinking Skills and Its Learning Strategy. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 76(2), 215-230.
- [58] Takko, M., Jamaluddin, R., Kadir, S. A., Ismail, N., Abdullah, A., & Khamis, A. (2020). Enhancing higher-order thinking skills among home science students: The effect of cooperative learning student teams-achievement divisions (STAD) module. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(7), 204-224.
- [59] Rifâ, A., Serevina, V., & Delina, M. (2018). The development of High Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) assessment instrument for temperature and heat learning. *Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika*, 4(1), 19-26.
- [60] Istikomah, I., Suyatna, A., Viyanti, V., & Andra, D. (2021). The Development of Computer Based Test Instruments using Wondershare Quiz Creator to Measure HOTS in Temperature and Heat. *Jurnal Pendidikan MIPA*, 22(1), 45-51.
- [61] Akhsan, H., Wiyono, K., Ariska, M., & Melvany, N. E. (2020, March). Development of HOTS (higher order thinking skills) test instruments for the concept of fluid and harmonic vibrations for high schools. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1480, No. 1, p. 012071). IOP Publishing.
- [62] Astra, I. M., Susila, A. B., & Sofiana, M. (2019). Development of an electronic module with a discovery learning model to improve Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) learning outcomes in a senior high school study of harmonic oscillation. In *Empowering Science and Mathematics for Global Competitiveness* (pp. 308-313). CRC Press.
- [63] Zahra, S., & Suwarna, I. P. (2023). Exploration of Increasing Students' Critical Thinking in the

- Guided Discovery Learning Model on Light Waves. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 7(2), 354-368.
- [64] Agustihana, S., & Suparno, S. (2019). Development of HOTS Oriented Cognitive Problems in Thermodynamics for Senior High Schools. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika Dan Aplikasinya (JPFA)*, 9(1), 44-54.
- [65] Saefullah, A., Fitriyani, A., Ruhayat, Y., & Rostikawati, D. A. (2020). Blended Learning: The Effect on Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) in Thermodynamics. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 3(3), 262-271.
- [66] Linuwih, S., & Safutra, Y. (2023, June). Development of HOTS questions on first law of thermodynamics materials to measure higher order thinking skills for SMAN 1 Jakenan students. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2614, No. 1). AIP Publishing.
- [67] Serevina, V., Sari, Y. P., & Maynastiti, D. (2019, April). Developing high order thinking skills (HOTS) assessment instrument for fluid static at senior high school. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1185, No. 1, p. 012034). IOP Publishing.
- [68] Pratiwi, D. E., Suyatna, A., & Viyanti, V. (2020, February). Design of Computer-Based Testing for Higher-order Thinking Skills on Static Fluid Material. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1467, No. 1, p. 012059). IOP Publishing.
- [69] Sari, S., & Suyatna, A. (2021, February). Need assesment and design of e-modules to stimulate HOTS on dynamic fluid materials with the STEM approach. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1796, No. 1, p. 012003). IOP Publishing.
- [70] Qotrunnada, N. A., & Prahani, B. K. (2022). Profile of PBL model assisted by digital books to improve problem solving ability of high school students on dynamic fluids. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 8(3), 1175-1183.
- [71] Al Fath, R., & Dewi, W. S. (2022). The Effect of Student Worksheets Based on Problem Solving on Students' Critical Thinking Ability in Class XI SMA on Dynamic Fluid Materials. *Pillar of Physics Education*, 15(2), 138-147.
- [72] Yusuf, I., Widyaningsih, S. W., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Istiyono, E. (2020, April). Higher order thinking skills (HOTS)-oriented e-module in electric circuit. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1521, No. 2, p. 022027). IOP Publishing.
- [73] Kristiani, K., & Sulistyaningrum, C. D. (2024). Development of hots-based cognitive assessment instruments: ADDIE model. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 18(2), 489-498.
- [74] Milia, A. H., Trisna, S., & Yanti, I. R. (2022). Development of HOTS assessment instruments on static electricity materials for high school level. *Berkala Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika*, 10(1), 73-83.
- [75] Dasilva, B. E. (2019, December). Development of the Android-Based Interactive Physics Mobile Learning Media (IPMLM) to Improve Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) of Senior High School Students. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1397, No. 1, p. 012010). IOP Publishing.
- [76] Istiyono, E., Dwandaru, W. S. B., Setiawan, R., & Megawati, I. (2020). Developing of Computerized Adaptive Testing to Measure Physics Higher Order Thinking Skills of Senior High School Students and Its Feasibility of Use. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(1), 91-101.
- [77] Reeves, T. D., & Marbach-Ad, G. (2016). Contemporary test validity in theory and practice: A primer for discipline-based education researchers. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(1), rm1.
- [78] Hajjar, S. T. (2018). Statistical analysis: Internal-consistency reliability and construct validity. *International Journal of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Methods*, 6(1), 27-38.
- [79] Burud, I., Nagandla, K., & Agarwal, P. (2019). Impact of distractors in item analysis of multiple choice questions. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 7(4), 1136-1139.
- [80] D'Sa, J. L., & Visbal-Dionaldo, M. L. (2017). Analysis of multiple choice questions: item difficulty, discrimination index and distractor efficiency. *International Journal of Nursing Education*, 9(3).
- [81] Zamanian, M., & Heydari, P. (2012). Readability of Texts: State of the Art. *Theory & Practice in Language Studies (TPLS)*, 2(1).
- [82] Azevedo, J. M., Oliveira, E. P., & Beites, P. D. (2019). Using learning analytics to evaluate the quality of multiple-choice questions: A perspective with classical test theory and item response

- theory. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 36(4), 322-341.
- [83] Bichi, A. A. (2016). Classical Test Theory: an introduction to linear modeling approach to test and item analysis. *International Journal for Social Studies*, 2(9), 27-33.